



Guide Specifications and Commentary for

Vessel Collision Design of Highway Bridges

Second Edition, 2009



AASHTO
THE VOICE OF TRANSPORTATION

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

Guide Specifications and Commentary for Vessel Collision Design of Highway Bridges

Second Edition, 2009



American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

[This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

Copyright 2009, by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. All Rights Reserved. Printed in the United States of America. This book, or parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form without written permission of the publishers.

This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE 2008–2009
--

Voting Members

Officers:

President: Allen Biehler, Pennsylvania

Vice President: Larry L. “Butch” Brown, Sr., Mississippi

Secretary-Treasurer: Carlos Braceras, Utah

Regional Representatives:

REGION I: Carolann Wicks, Delaware, One-Year Term
Joseph Marie, Connecticut, Two-Year Term

REGION II: Larry L. “Butch” Brown, Mississippi, One-Year Term
Dan Flowers, Arkansas, Two-Year Term

REGION III: Kirk T. Steudle, Michigan, One-Year Term
Nancy J. Richardson, Iowa, Two-Year Term

REGION IV: Rhonda G. Faught, New Mexico, One-Year Term
Will Kempton, California, Two-Year Term

Nonvoting Members

Immediate Past President: Pete K. Rahn, Missouri

AASHTO Executive Director: John Horsley, Washington, DC

HIGHWAYS SUBCOMMITTEE ON BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES, 2008

MALCOLM T. KERLEY, *Chair*

KEVIN THOMPSON, *Vice Chair*

M. MYINT LWIN, Federal Highway Administration, *Secretary*

FIRAS I. SHEIKH IBRAHIM, Federal Highway Administration, *Assistant Secretary*

ALABAMA, John F. Black, William F. Conway, George H. Conner

ALASKA, Richard A. Pratt

ARIZONA, Jean A. Nehme

ARKANSAS, Phil Brand

CALIFORNIA, Kevin Thompson, Susan Hida, Barton J. Newton

COLORADO, Mark A. Leonard, Michael G. Salamon

CONNECTICUT, Gary J. Abramowicz, Julie F. Georges

DELAWARE, Jiten K. Soneji, Barry A. Benton

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Nicolas Glados, L.

Donald Cooney, Konjit “Connie” Eskender

FLORIDA, Robert V. Robertson, Jr., Marcus Ansley, Andre Pavlov

GEORGIA, Paul V. Liles, Jr., Brian Summers

HAWAII, Paul T. Santo

IDAHO, Matthew M. Farrar

ILLINOIS, Ralph E. Anderson, Thomas J. Domagalski

INDIANA, Anne M. Rearick

IOWA, Norman L. McDonald

KANSAS, Kenneth F. Hurst, James J. Brennan, Loren R. Risch

KENTUCKY, Allen Frank

LOUISIANA, Hossein Ghara, Arthur D’Andrea, Paul Fossier

MAINE, David Sherlock, Jeffrey S. Folsom

MARYLAND, Earle S. Freedman, Robert J. Healy

MASSACHUSETTS, Alexander K. Bardow

MICHIGAN, Steven P. Beck, David Juntunen

MINNESOTA, Daniel L. Dorgan, Kevin Western

MISSISSIPPI, Mitchell K. Carr, B. Keith Carr

MISSOURI, Dennis Heckman, Michael Harms

MONTANA, Kent M. Barnes

NEBRASKA, Lyman D. Freemon, Mark Ahlman, Hussam “Sam” Fallaha

NEVADA, Mark P. Elicegui, Marc Grunert, Todd Stefonowicz

NEW HAMPSHIRE, Mark W. Richardson, David L. Scott

NEW JERSEY, Richard W. Dunne

NEW MEXICO, Jimmy D. Camp

NEW YORK, George A. Christian, Donald F. Dwyer, Arthur P. Yannotti

NORTH CAROLINA, Greg R. Perfetti

NORTH DAKOTA, Terrence R. Udland

OHIO, Timothy J. Keller, Jawdat Siddiqi

OKLAHOMA, Robert J. Rusch, Gregory D. Allen

OREGON, Bruce V. Johnson, Hormoz Seradj

PENNSYLVANIA, Thomas P. Macioce, Harold C. “Hal” Rogers, Jr., Lou Ruzzi

PUERTO RICO, Jaime Cabré

RHODE ISLAND, David Fish

SOUTH CAROLINA, Barry W. Bowers, Jeff Sizemore

SOUTH DAKOTA, Kevin Goeden

TENNESSEE, Edward P. Wasserman

TEXAS, William R. Cox, David P. Hohmann

U.S. DOT, M. Myint Lwin, Firas I. Sheikh Ibrahim, Hala Elgaaly

UTAH, Richard Miller

VERMONT, William Michael Hedges

VIRGINIA, Malcolm T. Kerley, Kendal Walus, Prasad L. Nallapaneni, Julius F. J. Volgyi, Jr.

WASHINGTON, Jugesh Kapur, Tony M. Allen, Bijan Khaleghi

WEST VIRGINIA, Gregory Bailey

WISCONSIN, Scot Becker, Beth A. Cannestra, Finn Hubbard

WYOMING, Gregg C. Fredrick, Keith R. Fulton

ALBERTA, Tom Loo

NEW BRUNSWICK, Doug Noble

NOVA SCOTIA, Mark Pertus

ONTARIO, Bala Tharmabala

SASKATCHEWAN, Howard Yea

GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE, Kary H. Witt

N.J. TURNPIKE AUTHORITY, Richard J. Raczynski

N.Y. STATE BRIDGE AUTHORITY, William J. Moreau

PENN. TURNPIKE COMMISSION, Gary L. Graham

**SURFACE DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION
COMMAND TRANSPORTATION**

ENGINEERING AGENCY, Robert D. Franz

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS—

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, Paul C. T. Tan

U.S. COAST GUARD, Nick E. Mpras, Jacob Patnaik

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE—
FOREST SERVICE**, John R. Kattell

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	ix
SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 PURPOSE	1
1.1.1 Interdisciplinary Team	1
1.1.2 New Bridges	1
1.1.3 Existing Bridges.....	2
1.2 BACKGROUND.....	3
1.2.1 AASHTO Guide Specification (1991).....	4
1.2.2 <i>AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications</i>	6
1.3 BASIC CONCEPTS	7
1.4 DESIGN ANALYSIS.....	7
1.5 FLOW CHARTS	8
REFERENCES	11
SECTION 2 SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS	13

Design Provisions

SECTION 3 GENERAL PROVISIONS	19
3.1 GENERAL	19
3.2 APPLICABILITY OF SPECIFICATION	19
3.3 OPERATIONAL CLASSIFICATION	20
3.4 DATA COLLECTION	21
3.5 VESSEL TYPE AND CHARACTERISTICS	23
3.5.1 Barge Vessels.....	23
3.5.2 Ship Vessels.....	26
3.5.3 Special Vessels.....	34
3.6 DESIGN VESSEL	34
3.7 DESIGN IMPACT SPEED.....	35
3.8 VESSEL COLLISION ENERGY	36
3.9 SHIP COLLISION FORCE ON PIER	39
3.10 SHIP BOW DAMAGE DEPTH	46
3.11 SHIP COLLISION FORCE ON SUPERSTRUCTURE	47
3.11.1 Bow Collision	47
3.11.2 Deckhouse Collision	47
3.11.3 Mast Collision.....	48
3.12 BARGE COLLISION FORCE ON PIER	48
3.13 BARGE BOW DAMAGE DEPTH.....	52
3.14 IMPACT LOAD COMBINATION	53
3.15 LOCATION OF IMPACT FORCES	54
3.15.1 Substructure Design	54
3.15.2 Superstructure Design.....	56

3.16 MINIMUM IMPACT REQUIREMENT	56
3.17 BRIDGE PROTECTION SYSTEMS	57
REFERENCES	58
SECTION 4 DESIGN VESSEL SELECTION	61
4.1 GENERAL	61
4.1.1 Design Method	61
4.1.2 Selection of Design Method	61
4.1.2.1 Method I	61
4.1.2.2 Method II	62
4.1.2.3 Method III	62
4.2 WATERWAY CHARACTERISTICS	62
4.2.1 Channel Layout	63
4.2.2 Water Depths	64
4.2.3 Water Currents	64
4.3 BRIDGE CHARACTERISTICS	65
4.4 VESSEL CHARACTERISTICS	65
4.5 IMPACT DISTRIBUTION	65
4.6 DESIGN LOADS	65
4.7 METHOD I	66
4.7.1 General	66
4.7.2 Design Vessel Acceptance Criteria	66
4.8 METHOD II	66
4.8.1 General	66
4.8.2 Design Vessel Acceptance Criteria	67
4.8.3 Annual Frequency of Collapse	69
4.8.3.1 Vessel Frequency (N)	69
4.8.3.2 Probability of Aberrancy (PA)	70
4.8.3.3 Geometric Probability (PG)	73
4.8.3.4 Probability of Collapse (PC)	75
4.8.3.5 Protection Factor (PF)	78
4.9 METHOD III	80
4.9.1 General	80
4.9.2 Design Vessel Acceptance Criteria	80
4.9.3 Disruption Cost	81
REFERENCES	84
SECTION 5 SUBSTRUCTURE PROVISIONS	87
5.1 GENERAL	87
5.2 ANALYSIS	87
5.3 FOUNDATION DESIGN	87
REFERENCES	89
SECTION 6 CONCRETE AND STEEL DESIGN	91
6.1 GENERAL	91
6.2 REINFORCED CONCRETE	91
6.3 STRUCTURAL STEEL	91
REFERENCES	92

SECTION 7 BRIDGE PROTECTION DESIGN PROVISIONS	93
7.1 GENERAL	93
7.2 DESIGN LOADS	93
7.3 PHYSICAL PROTECTION SYSTEMS	96
7.3.1 Fender Systems	97
7.3.1.1 Timber Fenders	97
7.3.1.2 Rubber Fenders	100
7.3.1.3 Concrete Fenders	102
7.3.1.4 Steel Fenders	102
7.3.2 Pile-Supported Systems	104
7.3.3 Dolphin Protection	114
7.3.4 Island Protection	126
7.3.5 Floating Protection Systems	138
7.4 MOVABLE BRIDGE PROTECTION	144
7.5 MOTORIST WARNING SYSTEMS	145
7.5.1 Hazard Detection Systems	146
7.5.2 Verification Devices	147
7.5.3 Traffic Control and Information Devices	148
7.6 AIDS TO NAVIGATION ALTERNATIVES	148
7.6.1 Operational Alternatives	150
7.6.2 Standard Navigation Alternatives	150
7.6.3 Electronic Navigation Systems	151
REFERENCES	152
 SECTION 8 BRIDGE PROTECTION PLANNING GUIDELINES	 155
8.1 GENERAL	155
8.2 LOCATION OF CROSSING	156
8.3 BRIDGE ALIGNMENT	156
8.4 TYPE OF BRIDGE	156
8.5 NAVIGATION SPAN CLEARANCES	156
8.5.1 Horizontal Clearances	156
8.5.2 Vertical Clearances	159
8.6 APPROACH SPANS	159
8.7 PROTECTION SYSTEMS	159
8.8 PLANNING PROCESS	160
8.8.1 Route Location Study	160
8.8.2 Bridge Type, Size, and Location Study	160
8.8.3 Preliminary and Final Design	161
REFERENCES	162

Risk Assessment Example

1.0 INTRODUCTION	163
1.1 DATA COLLECTION	164
1.1.1 Published Sources	164
1.1.2 Interviews and Correspondence	164
1.2 WATERWAY CHARACTERISTICS	164
1.2.1 General Description	164
1.2.2 Navigation Channel	167

1.2.3 Tide Level and Tidal Range.....	167
1.2.4 Currents.....	167
1.2.5 Water Depths.....	169
1.3 PROPOSED BRIDGE ALTERNATIVES.....	169
1.4 VESSEL FLEET CHARACTERISTICS.....	169
1.4.1 Vessel Categories.....	169
1.4.2 Vessel Traffic Growth.....	174
1.4.3 Vessel Transit Speeds	175
1.4.4 Vessel Transit Path.....	175
1.5 VESSEL IMPACT CRITERIA.....	176
1.5.1 General Requirements.....	176
1.5.2 Extreme Event Load Combinations (Scour)	176
1.5.3 Minimum Impact Load Criteria	176
1.5.4 Maximum Impact Load Criteria.....	176
1.5.5 Operational Classification	176
1.6 MINIMUM IMPACT LOAD ANALYSIS	176
1.7 MAXIMUM IMPACT LOAD (METHOD I) ANALYSIS.....	177
1.8 MAXIMUM IMPACT LOAD (METHOD II) ANALYSIS.....	180
1.8.1 Method II Methodology	180
1.8.1.1 Vessel Frequency (N).....	181
1.8.1.2 Probability of Aberrancy (PA)	181
1.8.1.3 Geometric Probability (PG).....	181
1.8.1.4 Probability of Collapse (PC).....	182
1.8.1.5 Protection Factor (PF)	182
1.8.2 Risk Acceptance Criteria.....	184
1.8.3 Method II Risk Analysis Summary	184
1.9 SUMMARY OF LA 1 PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS	186
1.9.1 Vessel Impact Forces	186
1.9.2 Bridge Main Span Alternatives.....	186
1.9.3 Vessel Bow Overhang Collisions.....	187
1.9.4 Mast Collisions	189
1.10 RISK ASSESSMENT EXAMPLE COMMENTS	189
2.0 VUNERABILITY ASSESSMENTS OF NEW BRIDGES.....	189
3.0 VUNERABILITY ASSESSMENTS OF EXISTING BRIDGES	189
APPENDIX A—PASSED-THE-POINT QUERY FOR CARGO COMMODITY AND VESSEL TRIP DATA (2000)	191
APPENDIX B—METHOD I ANALYSIS: BAYOU LAFOURCHE	195
APPENDIX C—METHOD II ANALYSIS: CONCRETE GIRDER OPTION A3 FUTURE FLEET (2053) – EQUAL RISK.....	201

FOREWORD

The 1980 collapse of the Sunshine Skyway Bridge was a major turning point in awareness and increased concern about vessel collision and the safety of bridges crossing navigable waterways in the United States. Studies initiated as a result of this tragedy led to the 1988 pooled-fund research project sponsored by 11 states and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) which developed a proposed design code for use by bridge engineers in evaluating structures for vessel collision. This effort culminated in 1991 with the adoption by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) of the *Guide Specification and Commentary for Vessel Collision Design of Highway Bridges* (AASHTO, 1991).

The 1991 AASHTO Guide Specification established design provisions for bridges crossing navigable waterways to minimize their susceptibility to damage from vessel collisions. The provisions applied to both new bridges and to the analysis of existing bridges to determine vulnerability and potential retrofit. The intent of the AASHTO provisions is to provide bridge components with a “reasonable” resistance capacity against ship and barge collisions. In navigable waterway areas where collision by merchant vessels may be anticipated, the Guide Specification requires that bridge structures be designed to prevent collapse of the superstructure by considering the size and type of vessel fleet navigating the channel, available water depth, vessel speed, structure response, the risk of collision, and the operational classification of the bridge.

This Second Edition of the Guide Specification was developed to incorporate lessons learned from the use of the original 1991 Vessel Collision Guide Specification; incorporate the current LRFD Bridge Design methodology; clarify some of the risk procedure elements; make minor modifications and corrections; and discuss, and incorporate where deemed necessary, results from barge and ship collision research conducted since the original vessel collision publication. The use of the Guide Specification procedures to evaluate existing bridges has been highlighted in this revised edition, and a new worked example illustrating the vessel collision risk assessment procedures has been provided.

Compared to more mature and established fields such as wind and earthquake engineering, vessel collision design is in its infancy stages. Although there are a number of important research needs within the discipline, the key areas of ship impact forces; barge impact forces; risk acceptance criteria; physical protection systems; and aids-to-navigation improvements should be highlighted as areas of future research.

This Second Edition was prepared by the consulting firm of Moffatt and Nichol. The principal author was Michael A. Knott, P.E. (who was also the principal author of the original 1991 Guide Specification). Moffatt and Nichol provided their services under contract to HDR Engineering on behalf of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).