211 20-1

Guide for Selecting Proportions for No-Slump Concrete

Reported by ACI Committee 211

Michael J. Boyle Chair

Terrence E. Arnold* William L. Barringer Muhammed P. Basheer Casimir Bognacki Gary L. Brenno Marshall L. Brown Ramon L. Carrasquillo James E. Cook John F. Cook Raymond A. Cook David A. Crocker D. Gene Daniel

Francois de Larrard Donald E. Dixon Calvin L. Dodl Darrell F. Elliot Michael R. Gardner John T. Guthrie G. Terry Harris, Sr. Godfrey A. Holmstrom Richard D. Hill David L. Hollingsworth George W. Hollon Said Iravani

CONTENTS Chapter 1—Scope and limits, p. 211.3R-2

Chapter 2—Preliminary considerations, p. 211.3R-2 2.1—General

ACI 211.3R-02 (Reapproved 2009)

Royce J. Rhoads

John P. Ries

G. Michael Robinson Donald L. Schlegel*†

James M. Shilstone

Ava Shypula

Jeffrey F. Speck

William X. Sypher

Stanley J. Virgalitte

Woodward L. Vogt

Dean J. White, II

Richard M. Wing

Tarif M. Jaber

Robert S. Jenkins

Frank A. Kozeliski

Colin L. Lobo

Mark D. Luther

Howard P. Lux

Gart R. Mass*

Ed T. McGuire

Dipak T. Parekh

James S. Pierce*

Michael F. Pistilli

Steven A. Ragan*

- 2.2-Methods for measuring consistency
- 2.3-Mixing water requirement

Chapter 3—Selecting proportions, p. 211.3R-4

- 3.1-General
- 3.2-Slump and maximum-size aggregate
- 3.3—Estimating water and aggregate grading requirements
- 3.4—Selecting water-cementitious materials ratio
- 3.5—Estimate of coarse aggregate quantity

Chapter 4—Proportioning computations (SI units), p. 211.3R-7

- 4.1-General proportioning criteria
- 4.2—Example of proportioning computations
- 4.3—Batching quantities for production-size batching
- 4.4—Adjustment of trial mixture

ACI 211.3R-02 supersedes ACI 211.3R-97 and became effective January 11, 2002. Copyright © 2002, American Concrete Institute.

*Members of subcommittee who prepared revisions. *Chair of Subcommittee C.

The subcommittee thanks Gary Knight and Tom Holm for providing assistance for some of the graphics in this report.

This guide is intended as a supplement to ACI 211.1. A procedure is presented for proportioning concrete that has slumps in the range of zero to 25 mm (1 in.) and consistencies below this range, for aggregates up to 75 mm (3 in.) maximum size. Suitable equipment for measuring such consistencies is described. Tables and charts similar to those in ACI 211.1 are provided which, along with laboratory tests on physical properties of fine and coarse aggregate, yield information for obtaining concrete proportions for a trial mixture.

This document also includes appendixes on proportioning mixtures for roller-compacted concrete, concrete roof tile, concrete masonry units, and pervious concrete for drainage purposes. Examples are provided as an aid in calculating proportions for these specialty applications.

Keywords: durability; mixture proportioning; no-slump concrete; rollercompacted concrete; slump test; water-cementitious materials ratio.

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, Manuals, Standard Practices, and Commentaries are intended for guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The American Concrete Institute disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising therefrom.

Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer.

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduc-tion or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

Chapter 5—References, p. 211.3R-8

5.1—Referenced standards and reports

5.2—Cited references

Appendix 1—Proportioning computations (inch-pound units), p. 211.3R-9

Appendix 2—Laboratory tests, p. 211.3R-11

Appendix 3—Roller-compacted concrete mixture proportioning, p. 211.3R-12

Appendix 4—Concrete roof tile mixture proportioning, p. 211.3R-20

Appendix 5—Concrete masonry unit mixture proportioning, p. 211.3R-21

Appendix 6—Pervious concrete mixture proportioning, p. 211.3R-24

CHAPTER 1—SCOPE AND LIMITS

ACI 211.1 provides methods for proportioning concrete with slumps greater than 25 mm (1 in.) as measured by ASTM C 143/C 143M. This guide is an extension of ACI 211.1 and addresses the proportioning of concrete having slump in the range of zero to 25 mm (1 in.).

The paired values stated in inch-pound and SI units are the results of conversions that reflect the intended degree of accuracy. Each system is used independently of the other in the examples. Combining values from the two systems may result in nonconformance with this guide.

In addition to the general discussion on proportioning no-slump concrete, this guide includes proportioning procedures for these classes of no-slump concrete: roller-compacted concrete (Appendix 3); roof tiles (Appendix 4); concrete masonry units (CMU) (Appendix 5); and pervious concrete (Appendix 6).

CHAPTER 2—PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS 2.1—General

The general comments contained in ACI 211.1 are pertinent to the procedures discussed in this guide. The description of the constituent materials of concrete, the differences in proportioning the ingredients, and the need for knowledge of the physical properties of the aggregate and cementitious materials apply equally to this guide. The level of overdesign indicated in ACI 301 and ACI 318/318R should be applied to the compressive strength used for proportioning.

2.2—Methods for measuring consistency

Workability is the property of concrete that determines the ease with which it can be mixed, placed, consolidated, and finished. No single test is available that will measure this property in quantitative terms. It is usually expedient to use some type of consistency measurement as an index to workability. Consistency may be defined as the relative ability of freshly mixed concrete to flow. The slump test is the most familiar test method for consistency and is the basis for the measurement of consistency under ACI 211.1.

Table 2.1—Comparison of consistency measurements for slump and Vebe apparatus

Consistency description	Slump, mm	Slump, in.	Vebe, s
Extremely dry		_	32 to 18
Very stiff	_		18 to 10
Stiff	0 to 25	0 to 1	10 to 5
Stiff plastic	25 to 75	1 to 3	5 to 3
Plastic	75 to 125	3 to 5	3 to 0
Very plastic	125 to 190	5 to 7-1/2	

Table 2.2—Approximate	relative	water	content	for
different consistencies				

	Approximate relative water content, %		
Consistency description	Thaulow ⁵	Table 6.3.3, ACI 211.1	
Extremely dry	78		
Very stiff	83	_	
Stiff	88		
Stiff plastic	93	92	
Plastic	100	100	
Very plastic	106	106	

No-slump concrete will have poor workability if consolidated by hand-rodding. If vibration is used, however, such concrete might be considered to have adequate workability. The range of workable mixtures can therefore be widened by consolidation techniques that impart greater energy into the mass to be consolidated. The Vebe apparatus, ^{1,2} the compacting factor apparatus,³ the modified compaction test, and the Thaulow drop table⁴ are laboratory devices that can provide a useful measure of consistency for concrete mixtures with less than 25 mm (1 in.) slump. Of the three consistency measurements, the Vebe apparatus is frequently used today in roller-compacted concrete and will be referred to in this guide. The Vebe test is described in Appendix 2. If none of these methods are available, consolidation of the trial mixture under actual placing conditions in the field or laboratory will, of necessity, serve as a means for determining whether the consistency and workability are adequate. Suitable workability is often based on visual judgement for machine-made precast concrete products.

A comparison of Vebe test results with the conventional slump test is shown in Table 2.1. Note that the Vebe test can provide a measure of consistency in mixtures termed "extremely dry." Vebe time at compaction is influenced by other factors such as moisture condition of aggregates, time interval after mixing, and climatic conditions.

2.3—Mixing water requirement

In ACI 211.1, approximate relative mixing water requirements are given for concrete conforming to the consistency descriptions of stiff plastic, plastic, and very plastic, as shown in Table 2.2 of this guide. Considering the water requirement for the 75 to 100 mm (3 to 4 in.) slump as 100%, the relative water contents for those three consistencies are 92, 100, and 106%, respectively. Thaulow⁵ extended this concept of relative water contents to include stiffer mixtures, as shown in Table 2.2.

211.3R-2

This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.

GUIDE FOR SELECTING PROPORTIONS FOR NO-SLUMP CONCRETE



Fig. 2.1—Approximate mixing water requirements for different consistencies and maximum-size aggregate for nonair-entrained concrete.



Fig. 2.2—Approximate mixing water requirements for different consistencies and maximum-size aggregate for air-entrained concrete.

Figures 2.1 and 2.2 have been prepared based on the results from a series of laboratory tests in which the average air contents were as indicated in Fig. 2.3. These tests show that the factors in Table 2.2 need to be applied to the quantities given in ACI 211.1 to obtain the approximate water content for the six consistency designations. Approximate relative mixing water requirements are given in kg/m³ (lb/yd³) using the relative water contents shown by Thaulow⁵ for the stiff, very stiff, and extremely dry consistencies. For a given combination of materials, a number of factors will affect the actual mixing water requirement and can result in a considerable difference from the values shown in Fig. 2.1 and 2.2. These factors include particle shape and grading of the aggregate, air content and temperature of the concrete, the effectiveness of mixing, chemical admixtures, and the method of consolidation. With respect to mixing, for example, spiral-blade and pan-type mixers are more effective for no-slump concretes than are rotating-drum mixers.

This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.