_

Inch-Pound Units

International System of Units

Guide to Design and Construction of Externally Bonded Fabric-Reinforced Cementitious Matrix (FRCM) and Steel-Reinforced Grout (SRG) Systems for Repair and Strengthening Masonry Structures

Reported by ACI Committee 549



American Concrete Institute Always advancing



Guide to Design and Construction of Externally Bonded Fabric-Reinforced Cementitious Matrix (FRCM) and Steel-Reinforced Grout (SRG) Systems for Repair and Strengthening Masonry Structures

Copyright by the American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of ACI.

The technical committees responsible for ACI committee reports and standards strive to avoid ambiguities, omissions, and errors in these documents. In spite of these efforts, the users of ACI documents occasionally find information or requirements that may be subject to more than one interpretation or may be incomplete or incorrect. Users who have suggestions for the improvement of ACI documents are requested to contact ACI via the errata website at http://concrete.org/Publications/ DocumentErrata.aspx. Proper use of this document includes periodically checking for errata for the most up-to-date revisions.

ACI committee documents are intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. Individuals who use this publication in any way assume all risk and accept total responsibility for the application and use of this information.

All information in this publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement.

ACI and its members disclaim liability for damages of any kind, including any special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, including without limitation, lost revenues or lost profits, which may result from the use of this publication.

It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish health and safety practices appropriate to the specific circumstances involved with its use. ACI does not make any representations with regard to health and safety issues and the use of this document. The user must determine the applicability of all regulatory limitations before applying the document and must comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) health and safety standards.

Participation by governmental representatives in the work of the American Concrete Institute and in the development of Institute standards does not constitute governmental endorsement of ACI or the standards that it develops.

Order information: ACI documents are available in print, by download, through electronic subscription, or reprint and may be obtained by contacting ACI.

Most ACI standards and committee reports are gathered together in the annually revised the ACI Collection of Concrete Codes, Specifications, and Practices.

American Concrete Institute 38800 Country Club Drive Farmington Hills, MI 48331 Phone: +1.248.848.3700 Fax: +1.248.848.3701

www.concrete.org

ACI 549.6R-20

Guide to Design and Construction of Externally Bonded Fabric-Reinforced Cementitious Matrix (FRCM) and Steel-Reinforced Grout (SRG) Systems for Repair and Strengthening Masonry Structures

Prepared by the ACI 549-L - RILEM TC 250 Liaison Subcommittee

Gianmarco de Felice*, Chair

Christian Carloni*, Secretary

Reported by ACI Committee 549

Antonio Nanni*, Chair

- Nemkumar Banthia Dale P. Bentz Christian Carloni^{*} Paolo Casadei^{*} Gianmarco de Felice^{*} Michael E. Driver
- Ashish Dubey Usama A. Ebead Mahmut Ekenel Brad L. Erickson Garth J. Fallis Barzin Mobasher

Corina-Maria Aldea, Secretary

Hani H. Nassif James E. Patterson Bekir Yilmaz Pekmezci Alva Peled Larry Rowland Surendra P. Shah Yixin Shao Lesley H. Sneed J. Gustavo Tumialan

Gordon B. Batson James I. Daniel Brad L. Erickson Garth J. Fallis L Barzin Mobasher Su Consulting Members

John Jones Antoine E. Naaman

Paul Nedwell P. Paramasivam Parviz Soroushian

ACI 549-L - RILEM TC 250 Liaison Subcommittee

Maria Antonietta Aiello* Marco Corradi* Tommaso D'Antino Stefano De Santis* Emmanuel Ferrier Arkadiusz Kwiecien^{*} Gian Piero Lignola^{*} Paulo B. Lourenço

The committee would like to thank the following for their contributions to this guide: A. Bellini^{*}, A. Cascardi^{*}, G. Castori^{*}, M. Di Ludovico^{*}, H. Hadad^{*}, P. Meriggi^{*}, C. Papanicolaou^{*}, G. Thermou^{*}, G. Tomaselli^{*}

*ACI members, RILEM members, and contributors who prepared this report.

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, and Commentaries are intended for guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The American Concrete Institute disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising therefrom.

Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer. Marialaura Malena* Claudio Mazzotti* Daniel Oliveira Andrea Prota* Thanasis C. Triantafillou Maria Rosa Valluzzi*

This guide addresses the use of externally bonded (EB) fabricreinforced cementitious matrix (FRCM) and steel-reinforced grout (SRG) systems for repair and strengthening of masonry structures. FRCM and SRG are composite materials composed of a reinforcement in the form of open fabric bonded on the masonry surface through an inorganic matrix. In particular, the structural reinforcement for FRCM consists of an open grid fabric of continuous fibers made of carbon, alkali-resistant (AR) glass, polyparaphenylene benzobisoxazole (PBO), aramid, or basalt fibers, while SRG systems use steel cords of twisted wires arranged to form a unidirectional fabric. The matrixes are typically based on combinations of portland cement, silica fume, and fly ash as the binder (cement-based), or on natural hydraulic lime (lime-based), or even on geopolymer (geopolymer-based). FRCM and SRG systems represent an alternative to traditional strengthening techniques such as steel tie

ACI 549.6R-20 was adopted and published November 2020.

Copyright © 2020, American Concrete Institute.

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing

rods, section enlargement, or even fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) systems. FRCM and SRG systems can be used for various structural purposes—for example, they are used to: 1) increase the loadbearing capacity of structural members; 2) improve the seismic capacity of buildings; 3) counteract specific incipient or already developed damage; 4) limit opening of cracks; and 5) strengthen local weaknesses. Based on experimental research, analytical work, and field applications, this guide provides the recommendations for the design and structural evaluation of FRCM and SRG systems according to both American and European existing regulations and guidelines.

Keywords: composites; confinement; earthquake-resistant; fabric-reinforced cementitious matrix; lap splices; mortar matrix; steel-reinforced grout; structural analysis; structural design.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION, p. 2

1.1—Introduction, p. 2

1.2—Scope, p. 3

CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS, p. 3

2.1—Notation, p. 3

2.2—Definitions, p. 10

CHAPTER 3—SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND, p. 10

- 3.1—FRCM/SRG systems characteristics, p. 10
- 3.2—Historical development, p. 11
- 3.3—FRCM/SRG mechanical properties, p. 11
- 3.4—Strengthening of masonry, p. 15

3.5—Examples of commercially available FRCM/SRG systems, p. 23

CHAPTER 4—FIELD APPLICATION EXAMPLES, p. 24

- 4.1—Application procedure, p. 25
- 4.2—Strengthening of masonry walls, p. 28
- 4.3—Strengthening of masonry arches and vaults, p. 32
- 4.4—Confinement of masonry columns, p. 37

CHAPTER 5—MATERIAL CHARACTERISTICS AND SYSTEM QUALIFICATION, p. 39

- 5.1-Experimental tests for material characterization, p. 39
- 5.2—Determination of qualification parameters, p. 44

CHAPTER 6—GENERAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS, p. 46

- 6.1—Design philosophy, p. 47
- 6.2-Strengthening limits, p. 48
- 6.3—FRCM/SRG design properties, p. 48
- 6.4—Design supported by testing, p. 49

CHAPTER 7—OUT-OF-PLANE STRENGTHENING OF WALLS, p. 49

- 7.1—Out-of-plane flexural strengthening, p. 49
- 7.2—Crowning-beams and ties, p. 52

CHAPTER 8—IN-PLANE STRENGTHENING OF WALLS, p. 56

8.1—Introduction, p. 56



8.2—Design assumptions, p. 56

8.3—In-plane shear capacity of unreinforced masonry walls (URM), p. 58

8.4—In-plane shear capacity of FRCM/SRG-strengthened masonry walls, p. 59

8.5—In-plane flexural strength of FRCM/SRG-strengthened masonry walls, p. 60

8.6-Experimental validation, p. 61

CHAPTER 9—CONFINEMENT OF COLUMNS, p. 62

- 9.1—Introduction, p. 62
- 9.2—Design rules, p. 62
- 9.3—Design axial strength, p. 64
- 9.4—Design limitations, p. 65
- 9.5—Experimental validation, p. 65

CHAPTER 10—STRENGTHENING OF VAULTS, p. 65

- 10.1—Introduction, p. 65
- 10.2—Design assumptions, p. 66
- 10.3—Design by kinematic approach, p. 67
- 10.4—Design by static approach, p. 70

CHAPTER 11—REINFORCEMENT DETAILS, DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND SUBMITTALS, p. 72

11.1—Bond and delamination, p. 72

11.2—Transverse connectors against leaf/wythe separation, p. 72

- 11.3—Engineering requirements, p. 73
- 11.4—Drawings and specifications, p. 73
- 11.5—Submittals, p. 73
- 11.6—Quality control, p. 73
- 11.7—Inspection and assessment, p. 74

CHAPTER 12—DESIGN EXAMPLES, p. 74

CHAPTER 13—REFERENCES, p. 151

Authored documents, p. 151

CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION

1.1—Introduction

Fabric-reinforced cementitious matrix (FRCM) and steelreinforced grout (SRG) composites have recently emerged as a viable technology for repairing and strengthening masonry structures, as they offer important advantages in terms of tensile strength, weight, and thickness (Triantafillou and Papanicolaou 2005; Barton et al. 2005; Prota et al. 2006; Papanicolaou et al. 2007a; Borri et al. 2009a; Nanni 2012; De Luca and Tumialan 2014; de Felice et al. 2014). The structural performance of FRCM/SRG, when externally bonded (EB) to masonry, relies on the capacity of the reinforcement to bear the tensile stress, while the compressive stress is carried by the masonry structural element. FRCM/SRG systems prove to be competitive for repair, retrofit, and rehabilitation of existing masonry structures

when compared to conventional techniques, such as steel tie rods, section enlargement, and reinforced concrete overlays. When compared to fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) systems, the substitution of the polymeric matrix with an inorganic one overcomes some of the limitations of FRP in terms of compatibility with the masonry substrate, resistance at high temperature, vapor permeability, application on wet surfaces, and removability (possibility of being removed without significant damage in the original substrate). The advantages of FRCM/SRG composites, especially when lime-based mortars are used as matrixes, make them suitable for the rehabilitation of historic structures and architectural heritage (Valluzzi et al. 2014b).

In FRCM/SRG composites, the matrix has both roles to cover and protect the reinforcement that is embedded inside, and to ensure the stress transfer between the masonry substrate and the reinforcement. The matrix is generally made of fine-grained mortar with a combination of portland cement, silica fume, fly ash, ground-granulated blast furnace slag, and natural pozzolan as the binder (cement-based), or natural hydraulic lime (lime-based), or even of geopolymers (geopolymer-based). Organic components could be also added in the matrix to improve the bond and the workability; however, they may induce a decrease in vapor permeability and fire resistance.

The fabric consists of an open grid of yarns made of carbon, alkali-resistant (AR) glass, basalt, aramid or polyparaphenylene benzobisoxazole (PBO)-continuous fibers. Fabrics are usually arranged in two directions by means of weaving, knitting tufting, or braiding. The spacing of the yarns needs to allow the inorganic matrix to penetrate the fabric. When the reinforcement consists of steel cords of twisted wires arranged to form a unidirectional fabric, the technology is known as SRG (Casadei et al. 2005; Barton et al. 2005; Huang et al. 2005; Borri et al. 2011; De Santis and de Felice 2015a).

Based on the outcomes of the scientific research performed in the past 15 years, the structural members strengthened with FRCM and with SRG composites have analogous behavior. Therefore, the same approach can be used for the design of EB reinforcements with FRCM and SRG systems. On the other hand, some differences exist concerning durability and performance under elevated temperatures; the research on these issues is ongoing.

This guide is the outcome of the work carried out by the ACI 549-L Liaison Committee between ACI Committee 549, "Thin Reinforced Cementitious Products and Ferrocement," and RILEM Committee TC 250-CSM (de Felice et al. 2018a), "Composites for Sustainable Strengthening of Masonry." The joint committee provides guidance for the design of FRCM and SRG systems for repair and strengthening of masonry structures, according to both American and European approaches. The sections dealing with scientific background (Chapter 3); field application examples (Chapter 4); general design considerations (Chapter 6); and reinforcement details and drawing specification (Chapter 11) are common to the two approaches (American and European). To the contrary, a two-column format is used in the other chapters dealing with material characteristics and systems qualification (Chapter 5), and with the design rules for outof-plane strengthening of walls (Chapter 7), for the in-plane strengthening of walls (Chapter 8), for the confinement of columns (Chapter 9), and for the strengthening of vaults (Chapter 10), and with design examples (Chapter 12). The left column, identified by the ACI logo, is written according to the ASTM standards, the International Code Council-Evaluation Services (ICC-ES) Acceptance Criteria AC434, and ACI 549.4R. The right column, identified by the RILEM logo, is written according to the European Committee for Standardizaton (CEN) EN standards, RILEM TC 232-TDT (Brameshuber 2016), and RILEM TC 250-CSM (de Felice et al. 2018a) recommendations. Despite a number of names and acronyms (including TRM and FRM) used in the scientific literature when referring to externally bonded (EB) mortar-based reinforcements, as clarified in the historical development section of this guide (3.2), only FRCM and SRG are used in this guide.

1.2—Scope

Note that this guide is based on the most recent knowledge and interactions with other technical organizations, such as the working group of the Italian National Research Council (CNR), which was established for the development of guidelines for both the qualification of FRCM/SRG composites and the design of FRCM/SRG-strengthened structures. However, the scientific research on the structural behavior of FRCM/SRG-reinforced masonry structures and on design methods is still under development. Based on current knowledge, conservative values are proposed for the safety coefficients included in the design algorithms provided in this guide. The design algorithms may be subject to future update or revision, and less conservative values, or differentiated values for specific materials or applications, may be assigned to tuning coefficients, strength reduction factors, and partial coefficients based on validation with wider databases of experimental data.

CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

2.1—Notation

	aci		rilem
$A_e =$	area of the effectively confined masonry, in. ² (mm ²)	$A_e =$	area of the effectively confined masonry, mm ² (in. ²)
$A_f =$	area of the fabric effective in shear, in. ² (mm ²)	$A_f =$	area of the fabric effective in shear, mm ² (in. ²)
<i>A_m</i> =	cross-sectional area of the column, in. ² (mm ²)	$A_m =$	cross-sectional area of the column, mm ² (in. ²)
$A_s =$	area of the steel rein- forcement, in ² (mm ²)	$A_s =$	area of the steel reinforcement, mm ² (in. ²)

aci

<i>b</i> =	width of the crowning beam cross section, in. (mm)	<i>b</i> =	width of the crowning beam cross section, mm (in.)	$F_{f}' =$	resultant loads for the FRCM/SRG in tension determined	$F_{f}' =$	resultant loads for the FRCM/SRG in tension determined
<i>b</i> _c =	short side dimen- sion of compression member with rectan- gular cross section, in. (mm)	<i>b_c</i> =	short side dimen- sion of compression member with rectan- gular cross section, mm (in.)		under the preliminary assumption that both the masonry and the FRCM/SRG attain their ultimate strain, lb (N)		under the preliminary assumption that both the masonry and the FRCM/SRG attain their ultimate strain, N (lb)
<i>c</i> =	cohesion of masonry, psi (MPa)	<i>c</i> =	cohesion of masonry, MPa (psi)	$F_m' =$	resultant loads for the masonry in compres- sion determined under the preliminary assumption that both the masonry and the	$F_m' =$	resultant loads for the masonry in compres- sion determined under the preliminary assumption that both the masonry and the
$c_u =$	neutral axis depth from the compres- sive edge of the cross section, in. (mm)	$C_u =$	neutral axis depth from the compres- sive edge of the cross section, mm (in.)				
$c_u' =$	neutral axis depth determined under the preliminary assump-	$c_u' =$	neutral axis depth determined under the preliminary		FRCM/SRG attain their ultimate strain, lb (N)		FRCM/SRG attain their ultimate strain, N (lb)
	tion that both the masonry and the FRCM/SRG attain their ultimate strain, in. (mm)		assumption that both the masonry and the FRCM/SRG attain their ultimate strain, mm (in.)	$F_{s}' =$	resultant loads for the internal reinforce- ment determined under the preliminary assumption that both	<i>F</i> _{<i>s</i>} ' =	resultant loads for the internal reinforce- ment determined under the preliminary assumption that both the masonry and the FRCM/SRG attain their ultimate strain, N (lb)
<i>D</i> =	diameter of the confined column, in. (mm)	<i>D</i> =	diameter of the confined column, mm (in.)		the masonry and the FRCM/SRG attain their ultimate strain, the (AD)		
$E_{2c} =$	slope of linear portion of stress-strain model for FRCM-confined masonry, psi (MPa)			$F_{strip} =$	maximum tensile load in the FRCM/SRG strip, lb (N)	$F_{strip} =$	maximum tensile load in the FRCM/SRG strip, N (lb)
$E_f =$	stiffness of cracked FRCM/SRG spec- imen, psi (MPa)	<i>E_f</i> =	design value of the elastic modulus of FRCM/SRG, MPa (psi)			$f_{c,mat} =$	characteristic compressive strength of the mortar matrix (Eurocode EN 998-2 and EN 12190) MPa
		$E_1 =$	stiffness of uncracked FRCM/SRG spec-	6		ſ	(psi)
		E ₂ =	imen, MPa (psi) stiffness of cracked FRCM/SRG spec- imen_MPa (psi)	Jccm =	sive strength of confined masonry, psi (MPa)	Jccm =	sive strength of confined masonry, MPa (psi)
$E_f^* =$	stiffness of uncracked FRCM/SRG spec- imen, psi (MPa)					$f_{fbk} =$	characteristic value of maximum axial stress in the textile attained in the bond test, MPa
$E_m =$	Young's modulus of masonry, psi (MPa)	$E_m =$	Young's modulus of masonry, MPa (psi)	<i>f</i> –	offostivo toncilo stross	f -	(psi)
		$E_{mat} =$	modulus of elasticity of mortar from compression test (Eurocode EN 998-2 and EN 13412), MPa (psi)	Jje —	level in the FRCM/ SRG reinforcement, psi (MPa)	Jje -	level in the FRCM/ SRG reinforcement, MPa (psi)
				$f_{fu} =$	ultimate tensile strength of FRCM/ SRG, psi (MPa)	$f_{fu} =$	ultimate tensile strength of FRCM/ SRG, MPa (psi)
$E_s =$	Young's modulus of steel reinforcement, psi (MPa)	$E_s =$	Young's modulus of steel reinforcement, MPa (psi) tensile modulus of	$f_l =$	maximum confining pressure due to FRCM jacket, psi (MPa)	$f_l =$	maximum confining pressure due to FRCM jacket, MPa
			elasticity of fabric, MPa (psi)	$f_{l,eff} =$	effective confining pressure due to FRCM/SRG jacket, psi (MPa)	$f_{l,eff} =$	(ps1) effective confining pressure due to FRCM/SRG jacket, MPa (psi)

This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.

(aci)

 $f_{mc} =$

compressive strength of mortar from compression test (ASTM C109/ C109M), psi (MPa)

$f_{mu} =$	compressive strength of masonry, psi (MPa)	$f_{mu} =$	compressive strength of masonry, MPa (psi)
		$f_t =$	tensile strength of fabric, MPa (psi)
		$f_{t,max} =$	maximum stress of fabric in direct tensile test, MPa (psi)
		$f_{td} =$	design value of the tensile strength of masonry with respect to diagonal tension failure mode, MPa (psi)
		$f_{tk} =$	characteristic value of the tensile strength of the fabric, MPa (psi)
		$f_{vd} =$	shear strength of unreinforced masonry (URM) calculated in accordance with Eurocode 6 (EN 1996:1995-02), MPa (psi)
$f_{yd} =$	design yielding strength of steel rein- forcement, psi (MPa)	$f_{yd} =$	design yielding strength of steel rein- forcement, MPa (psi)
H =	height of the masonry wall, in. (mm)	H =	height of the masonry wall, mm (in.)
$H_c =$	effective height of the column, in. (mm)	$H_c =$	effective height of the column, mm (in.)
<i>h</i> =	height of the crowning beam cross section, in. (mm)	<i>h</i> =	height of the crowning beam cross section, mm (in.)
<i>h</i> _c =	long side dimension of compression member with rectangular cross section, in. (mm)	<i>h</i> _c =	long side dimen- sion of compression member with rectan- gular cross section, mm (in.)
<i>I</i> =	moment of inertia of the crowning beam strengthened with FRCM/SRG, in. ⁴ (mm ⁴)	Ι =	moment of inertia of the crowning beam strengthened with FRCM/SRG, mm ⁴ (in. ⁴)
		<i>i</i> =	grid spacing of the fabric, mm (in.)
		<i>k</i> =	effectiveness factor, depending on the properties of the matrix
		<i>k'</i> =	factor depending on $\rho_{mat}, f_{c,mat}, \text{ and } f_{mu}$
<i>ka</i> =	shape factor in the determination of $f_{l,eff}$ (based on the geometry of cross section)	<i>k</i> _{<i>a</i>} =	shape factor in the determination of $f_{l,eff}$ (based on the geometry of cross section)
$k_b =$	efficiency factor for FRCM/SRG reinforce- ment in the determina- tion of ε_{ccm} (based on the geometry of cross section)		

		$k_{bc} =$	coefficient that accounts for the boundary conditions of the wall
$k_n =$	fractile coefficient that depends on the number of tested specimens, defined in Annex D of Eurocode 0 (EN 1990:2002-02)		
$k_v =$	vertical efficiency factor for FRCM/SRG reinforcement in the determination of $f_{l.eff}$ (based on the geom- etry of cross section)	$k_v =$	vertical efficiency factor for FRCM/ SRG reinforcement in the determination of <i>f_{l,eff}</i> (based on the geometry of cross section)
<i>L</i> =	width of the masonry wall or vault, in. (mm)	<i>L</i> =	width of the masonry wall or vault, mm (in.)
		$L_c =$	length of the part of the wall in compres- sion, mm (in.)
$L_{df} =$	development length over which the bond capacity of FRCM/ SRG is developed, in. (mm)	$L_{df} =$	development length over which the bond capacity of FRCM/ SRG is developed, mm (in.)
$L_{eff} =$	effective bond length of the FRCM/SRG system, in. (mm)	$L_{eff} =$	effective bond length of the FRCM/SRG system, mm (in.)
$M_{Ed} =$	existing bending moment, inlb (N-mm)	$M_{Ed} =$	existing bending moment, N-mm (inlb)
$M_n =$	nominal flexural strength, inlb (N-mm)	$M_n =$	nominal flexural strength, N-mm (inlb)
		$M_n^{URM} =$	nominal flexural strength of the wall without FRCM/SRG reinforcement, N-mm (inlb)
		$M_{Rd} =$	design flexural strength, N-mm (inlb)
		$= \frac{M_{Rd}^{URM}}{2}$	design value of the in-plane flexural strength of the unre- inforced wall, N-mm (inlb)
$N_{Ed} =$	existing axial load, lb (N)	$N_{Ed} =$	existing axial load, N (lb)
$N_{Edj} =$	axial load at the section <i>j</i> of the arch, lb (N)	$N_{Edj} =$	axial load at the section <i>j</i> of the arch, N (lb)
$N_n =$	tensile strength of the crowning beam, lb (N)	$N_n =$	tensile strength of the crowning beam, N (lb)
		$N_{Rd} =$	design tensile strength of the crowning beam, N (lb)

		$N_{Rd,c} =$	design axial strength of the column strengthened with FRCM/SRG, N (lb)
<i>n</i> =	number of wall sides strengthened with FRCM/SRG	<i>n</i> =	number of wall sides strengthened with FRCM/SRG
<i>n</i> _f =	number of plies of fabric in the FRCM/ SRG reinforcement	$n_f =$	number of plies of fabric in the FRCM/ SRG reinforcement
$P_D =$	dead load applied on the column, lb (N)		
$P_L =$	service load applied on the column, lb (N)		
$P_i =$	weight of the part of the vault placed between two hinges, including the arch self-weight, the backfill load, and that of the superstructure pertaining to the part itself, lb (N)	<i>P</i> _{<i>i</i>} =	weight of the part of the vault placed between two hinges, including the arch self-weight, the backfill load, and that of the superstructure pertaining to the part itself, N (lb)
$R^{2} =$	correlation factor	$R^{2} =$	correlation factor
<i>r</i> =	radius of gyration of the cross section of the column about the weak axis, in. (mm)		
<i>r</i> _c =	radius of edges of a rectangular cross section confined with FRCM, in. (mm)	<i>r_c</i> =	radius of edges of a rectangular cross section confined with FRCM, mm (in.)
<i>s</i> =	distance between two FRCM/SRG layers, in. (mm)	<i>s</i> =	distance between two FRCM/SRG layers, mm (in.)
$S_f =$	spacing between strips, in. (mm)	$s_f =$	spacing between strips, mm (in.)
s _{fH} =	spacing between hori- zontal FRCM/SRG strips, in. (mm)	$S_{fH} =$	spacing between hori- zontal FRCM/SRG strips, mm (in.)
$S_{fV} =$	spacing between vertical FRCM/SRG strips, in. (mm)	$S_{fV} =$	spacing between vertical FRCM/SRG strips, mm (in.)
<i>t</i> =	thickness of the masonry wall or vault, in. (mm)	<i>t</i> =	thickness of the masonry wall or vault, mm (in.)
$t_f =$	design thickness of the fabric in the direction of the load, in. (mm)	$t_f =$	design thickness of the fabric in the direction of the load, mm (in.)
$t_{f'} =$	design thickness of each ply of fabric in the load direction, in. (mm)	$t_{f'} =$	design thickness of each ply of fabric in the load, mm (in.)
$t_{f^*} =$	equivalent thickness of the FRCM/SRG strips assumed to be uniformly distributed along the length L of the wall, in. (mm)	$t_{f^*} =$	equivalent thickness of the FRCM/SRG strips assumed to be uniformly distributed along the length L of the wall, mm (in.)

t _{fH} =	equivalent thickness of the FRCM/SRG reinforcement in the horizontal direction, in. (mm)	t _{fH} =	equivalent thickness of the FRCM/SRG reinforcement in the horizontal direction, mm (in.)
$t_{fV} =$	equivalent thickness of the FRCM/SRG reinforcement in the vertical direction, in. (mm)	$t_{fV} =$	equivalent thickness of the FRCM/SRG reinforcement in the vertical direction, mm (in.)
$t_{mat} =$	total thickness of the matrix, in. (mm)	$t_{mat} =$	total thickness of the matrix, mm (in.)
V _{bjs1} =	bed-joint sliding strength of the URM wall at the initial uncracked stage deter- mined in accordance with ASCE 41, lb (N)		
$V_{bjs2} =$	bed-joint sliding strength of the URM wall at the final cracked stage deter- mined in accordance with ASCE 41, lb (N)		
$V_{dt} =$	diagonal tension strength of the URM wall determined in accordance with ASCE 41, lb (N)		
$V_{Ed} =$	existing shear stress, lb (N)	$V_{Ed} =$	existing shear stress, N (lb)
V _{Edi} =	external shear demand in the joint <i>i</i> corre- sponding to the given load multiplier, lb (N)	$V_{Edi} =$	external shear demand in the joint <i>i</i> corresponding to the given load multiplier, N (lb)
$V_f =$	contribution of FRCM/SRG to nominal shear strength, lb (N)	$V_f =$	contribution of FRCM/SRG to design shear strength, N (lb)
$V_n^{URM} =$	nominal lateral strength of URM wall determined in accor- dance with ASCE 41, lb (N)		
V _r =	rocking strength of the URM wall determined in accordance with ASCE 41, lb (N)		
		$V_{Rd} =$	design lateral strength of the wall strength- ened with FRCM/ SRG, N (lb)
V _{Rdi} =	shear capacity in correspondence of masonry cross sections strengthened with composite material for the given design load multiplier, lb (N);	V _{Rdi} =	shear capacity in correspondence of masonry cross sections strength- ened with composite material for the given design load multiplier, N (lb);



$V^{R}_{n} =$	nominal lateral strength related to the shear-controlled failure modes of the			$x_{ass} =$	position of the center of rotation in the arch collapse mechanism, in. (mm)	$x_{ass} =$	position of the center of rotation in the arch collapse mechanism, mm (in.)
	FRCM/SRG-strength- ened wall			$x_i =$	position of the hinge, in. (mm)	$x_i =$	position of the hinge, mm (in.)
		$V_{Rd}^{OP} =$	design shear strength under out-of-plane loads of the wall strengthened with FRCM/SRG, N (lb)	$y_s =$	distance of the steel reinforcement from the compressed edge of the cross section of the wall, in. (mm)	$y_s =$	distance of the steel reinforcement from the compressed edge of the cross section of the wall, mm (in.)
		$V_{Rdt} =$	design lateral strength of the URM wall corresponding to diagonal tension, N	<i>z</i> =	distance of the hinge from the end of the FRCM/SRG reinforce- ment, in. (mm)	<i>z</i> =	distance of the hinge from the end of the FRCM/SRG rein- forcement, mm (in.)
		V_{Rd}^{URM}	design lateral strength of URM wall, N (lb)			$\alpha_1 =$	coefficient calibrated by comparison between experimental
		$V_{Rjs} =$	design lateral strength of the URM wall corresponding to joint sliding evaluated				results and average theoretical data, applied to ε_{fb} in the evaluation of ε_{fd}
		$V^{R}_{Rtc} =$	in accordance with Eurocode 6 (EN 1996:1995-02), N (lb) design lateral strength			$\alpha_2 =$	coefficient calibrated by comparison between experimental results and average
			of the reinforced wall against toe-crushing, N (lb)		R		theoretical data, applied to ε_{tk} in the evaluation of ε_{fd}
		$V_{Rtc} =$	design lateral strength of the URM wall	$\alpha_V =$	ratio between V_{Edi} and V_{Rdi}	$\alpha_V =$	ratio between V_{Edi} and V_{Rdi}
$V^{R}_{tc} =$	nominal lateral		corresponding to toe crushing, N (lb)	β =	reduction factor applied to the neutral axis depth for the	β =	reduction factor applied to the neutral axis depth for the
	forced wall related				stress block diagram	$\beta_s =$	slenderness factor
	mechanisms, lb (N)			γ =	reduction factor	γ =	reduction factor
$V_{tc} =$	toe-crushing strength of the URM wall determined in accor- dance with ASCE 41.				compressive strength of masonry for the stress block diagram		compressive strength of masonry for the stress block diagram
	lb (N)		1			$\gamma_k =$	safety factor
$W_{MRj} =$ $w_f =$	maximum resisting work, inlb (N-mm) total width of the FRCM/SRG strips	$W_{MRj} =$ $w_f =$	maximum resisting work, N-mm (inlb) total width of the FRCM/SRG strips			$\gamma_M =$	on the design axial strain of the FRCM/ SRG composite
	bonded to the wall along its width L, in. (mm)		bonded to the wall along its width <i>L</i> , mm (in.)			γ _m =	compressive strength of masonry safety
w _{f1} =	width of the single strip of FRCM/SRG, in. (mm)	<i>w</i> _{f1} =	width of the single strip of FRCM/SRG, mm (in.)				factor calculated in accordance with Eurocode 6 (EN 1996:1995-02)
<i>w</i> _{<i>fH</i>} =	width of the horizontal strips of the FRCM/ SRG reinforcement, in. (mm)	w _{fH} =	width of the hori- zontal strips of the FRCM/SRG rein- forcement, mm (in.)		1	$\gamma_s =$	surface mass density of the fabric, g/m ² (lb/in. ²)
w _{fV} =	width of the vertical strips of the FRCM/ SRG reinforcement,	$w_{fV} =$	width of the vertical strips of the FRCM/ SRG reinforcement,	$\Delta F_{Exp} =$	experimental increase in the ultimate load of the vault, lb (N)	$\Delta F_{Exp} =$	experimental increase in the ultimate load of the vault, N (lb)
	in. (mm)		mm (in.)	$\Delta F_{th} =$	theoretical increase in the ultimate load of the vault, lb (N)	$\Delta F_{th} =$	theoretical increase in the ultimate load of the vault, N (lb)

This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.

(aci)

		$\Delta_f =$	standard deviation of the axial stress in		$\epsilon_{fu} =$	ultimate tensile strain of FRCM/SRG, in./in.	$\epsilon_{fu} =$	ultimate tensile strain of FRCM/SRG, mm/
			by the shear bond tests performed in accordance with RILEM TC 250-CSM Recommendation (de Felice et al. 2018a)		ε _{f@0.90ffu} =	(mm/mm) strain corresponding to the 90 percent of the ultimate tensile strength of FRCM/ SRG in tensile test, mm/mm (in./in.)		mm (in./in.)
		$\Delta_t =$	standard deviation of the tensile strength of the fabric provided by the tensile tests on bare fabrics	-	$\epsilon_{f@0.60ffu} =$	strain corresponding to the 60 percent of the ultimate tensile strength of FRCM/ SRG in tensile test,		
$\Delta M^{Exp} =$	experimental increase in flexural strength, inlb (N-mm)	$\Delta M^{Exp} =$	experimental increase in flexural strength, N-mm (inlb)		ε _{lim} =	mm/mm (in./in.) limit strain introduced to prevent exces-		
$\Delta M^{th} =$	theoretical increase in flexural strength, inlb (N-mm)	$\Delta M^{th} =$	theoretical increase in flexural strength, N-mm (inlb)			sive damage in the structural member reinforced with		
$\Delta N_{exp} =$	experimental axial strength increase, lb	$\Delta N_{exp} =$	experimental axial strength increase, N (lb)		e =	FRCM/SRG, in./in. (mm/mm)	e =	ultimate compressive
$\Delta N_{th} =$	design value of the theoretical axial	$\Delta N_{th} =$	design value of the theoretical axial	-	<i>c</i> _{mu} –	strain of masonry, in./ in. (mm/mm)		strain of masonry, mm/mm (in./in.)
A TPYD	strength increase, lb (N)	ATPYD	strength increase, N (lb)	-	$\varepsilon_s =$	tensile strain attained by the internal steel reinforcement, in./in.	$\epsilon^{2} =$	tensile strain attained by the internal steel reinforcement, mm/
$\Delta V^{\alpha p} =$	strength contribution of FRCM/SRG, lb (N)	$\Delta V^{\alpha p} =$	strength contribu- tion of FRCM/SRG,		$\epsilon_{s}' =$	(mm/mm) tensile strain attained	$\epsilon_{s}' =$	mm (in./in.) tensile strain attained
$\Delta V^{th} =$	theoretical shear strength contribution of FRCM/SRG, lb (N)	$\Delta V^{th} =$	lb (N) theoretical shear strength contribu- tion of FRCM/SRG, lb (N)			by the internal steel reinforcement determined under the preliminary assump- tion that both the masonry and the FRCM/SRG attain their ultimate strain, in./in. (mm/mm) design yielding strain of steel reinforcement, in./in. (mm/mm)		by the internal steel reinforcement determined under the preliminary assumption that both the masonry and the FRCM/SRG attain their ultimate strain, mm/mm (in./in.)
$\Delta \epsilon =$	standard deviation of the tensile strain of the FRCM/SRG system							
$\Delta \theta_j =$	relative rotation between two parts of the arch at the hinge <i>j</i> , rad	$\Delta \theta_j =$	relative rotation between two parts of the arch at the hinge <i>j</i> , rad	_	$\epsilon_{syd} =$		$\varepsilon_{syd} =$	design yielding strain of steel reinforce- ment, mm/mm (in./ in.)
ε _{ccm} =	axial compressive strain corresponding to the compres- sive strength of the confined masonry column, in./in. (mm/	ε _{ccm} =	axial compressive strain corresponding to the compres- sive strength of the confined masonry column, mm/mm				$\varepsilon_t =$	ultimate strain of fabric, mm/mm (in./ in.)
	mm)	$\varepsilon_{fb} =$	(in./in.) tensile strain corre- sponding to the char- acteristic value of the maximum axial stress in the textile attained	-			$\varepsilon_{tk} =$	ultimate tensile strain corresponding to the characteristic value of the tensile strength of the fabric, mm/mm (in./in.)
$\epsilon_{fd} =$	design tensile strain of FRCM/SRG. in./in	$\epsilon_{fd} =$	in the bond test, mm/ mm (in./in.) design tensile strain of FRCM/SRG. mm/	-			$\varepsilon_{t,max} =$	strain corresponding to the maximum stress of fabric in direct tensile test,
ε _{fe} =	(mm/mm) effective tensile strain	ε _{fe} =	mm (in./in.) effective tensile strain	-			ε _{t@0.1ft,max}	mm/mm (in./in.) strain corresponding
	level in the FRCM/ SRG composite mate- rial, in./in. (mm/mm)		level in the FRCM/ SRG composite mate- rial, mm/mm (in./in.)				=	to the 10% of the maximum stress of fabric in direct tensile test, mm/mm (in./in.)

aci