

ACI 342R-16

# Report on Flexural Live Load Distribution Methods for Evaluating Existing Bridges

Reported by ACI Committee 342



American Concrete Institute  
*Always advancing*

This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)



## Report on Flexural Live Load Distribution Methods for Evaluating Existing Bridges

Copyright by the American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of ACI.

The technical committees responsible for ACI committee reports and standards strive to avoid ambiguities, omissions, and errors in these documents. In spite of these efforts, the users of ACI documents occasionally find information or requirements that may be subject to more than one interpretation or may be incomplete or incorrect. Users who have suggestions for the improvement of ACI documents are requested to contact ACI via the errata website at <http://concrete.org/Publications/DocumentErrata.aspx>. Proper use of this document includes periodically checking for errata for the most up-to-date revisions.

ACI committee documents are intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. Individuals who use this publication in any way assume all risk and accept total responsibility for the application and use of this information.

All information in this publication is provided “as is” without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement.

ACI and its members disclaim liability for damages of any kind, including any special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, including without limitation, lost revenues or lost profits, which may result from the use of this publication.

It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish health and safety practices appropriate to the specific circumstances involved with its use. ACI does not make any representations with regard to health and safety issues and the use of this document. The user must determine the applicability of all regulatory limitations before applying the document and must comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) health and safety standards.

Participation by governmental representatives in the work of the American Concrete Institute and in the development of Institute standards does not constitute governmental endorsement of ACI or the standards that it develops.

Order information: ACI documents are available in print, by download, on CD-ROM, through electronic subscription, or reprint and may be obtained by contacting ACI.

Most ACI standards and committee reports are gathered together in the annually revised ACI Manual of Concrete Practice (MCP).

**American Concrete Institute**  
**38800 Country Club Drive**  
**Farmington Hills, MI 48331**  
**Phone: +1.248.848.3700**  
**Fax: +1.248.848.3701**

[www.concrete.org](http://www.concrete.org)

# Report on Flexural Live Load Distribution Methods for Evaluating Existing Bridges

Reported by ACI Committee 342

Jeffrey L. Smith, Chair  
Riyadh A. Hindi, Secretary

Rita K. Oglesby, Secretary

Om P. Dixit  
Andrew J. Foden  
Andre G. Garner  
Devin K. Harris  
Mohamed A. Mahgoub

Bruno Massicotte  
John J. Myers  
Larry D. Olson  
Ayman E. Salama  
Johan L. Silfwerbrand

Mark Erik Williams  
*Consulting Members*  
F. Michael Bartlett  
Fernando A. Branco

Angel E. Herrera  
Barney T. Martin Jr.  
Madhwesh Raghavendrchar  
Jaroslav Simek

*This report provides a synthesis of the topic of flexural live load distribution and its applicability to concrete bridges. Flexural live load distribution is critical to describing how loads are transmitted through a bridge system. This report is intended to provide engineers, including load rating engineers, with basic guidance on the methods and tools available for determining live load distribution behavior of in-service bridges. Included in the report are descriptions, a brief history, and background of the flexural load distribution phenomena and a summary of design and analysis methods used to describe the phenomena in practice. A series of case studies are presented in the latter part of the report to serve as a comparison summary of commonly used live load distribution methods and their performance in describing the behavior of in-service structures. The report also provides performing bridge load ratings with a practical synopsis of the various methods available for determining the live load distribution factor. While this report is limited to flexural live load distribution, it provides the foundation for a future committee guide on the in-service evaluation of concrete bridges.*

**Keywords:** bridge analysis; bridge load rating; distribution factor; equivalent beam analysis; finite element; grillage analysis; live load testing; load resistance; transverse flexural load distribution.

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, and Commentaries are intended for guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The American Concrete Institute disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising therefrom.

Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer.

## CONTENTS

### CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE, p. 2

- 1.1—Introduction, p. 2
- 1.2—Scope, p. 2

### CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS, p. 3

- 2.1—Notation, p. 3
- 2.2—Definitions, p. 3

### CHAPTER 3—BASIS OF CODE CRITERIA FOR TRANSVERSE LIVE LOAD DISTRIBUTION, p. 4

- 3.1—Introduction, p. 4
- 3.2—Transverse load distribution, p. 4
- 3.3—Empirical formulas for transverse live load distribution, p. 4
- 3.4—AASHTO Standard Specification for Highway Bridges, p. 4
- 3.5—AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, p. 5
- 3.6—Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code, p. 6
- 3.7—American Railway Engineering and Maintenance-of-Way Association, p. 7
- 3.8—Summary, p. 8

### CHAPTER 4—SUMMARY AND USE OF REFINED METHODS OF ANALYSIS, p. 8

- 4.1—Introduction, p. 8
- 4.2—General approach, p. 9
- 4.3—Equivalent beam line method, p. 9

ACI 342R-16 was adopted and published January 2016.  
Copyright © 2016, American Concrete Institute.

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

- 4.4—Grillage analogy method, p. 10
- 4.5—Three-dimensional frame analysis method, p. 11
- 4.6—Finite element method, p. 11
- 4.7—Summary, p. 12

## CHAPTER 5—IN-SERVICE EVALUATION AND LOAD RATING OF CONCRETE BRIDGES, p. 12

- 5.1—Introduction, p. 12
- 5.2—Load rating for concrete bridges, p. 12
- 5.3—Load distribution for in-service evaluation and load rating, p. 13
- 5.4—Load testing, p. 13
- 5.5—Characterizing lateral load distribution from load testing, p. 14
- 5.6—Summary, p. 15

## CHAPTER 6—CASE STUDIES OF ANALYSIS METHODS AND LOAD TESTS, p. 15

- 6.1—Introduction, p. 15
- 6.2—Literature survey, p. 15
- 6.3—Slab bridges, p. 16
- 6.4—Simply supported concrete girder bridges (with concrete decks), p. 18
- 6.5—Continuous concrete girder bridges (with concrete decks), p. 21
- 6.6—Steel girder bridges (with concrete decks), p. 23
- 6.7—Summary, p. 25
- 6.8—Synthesis of case studies, p. 25
- 6.9—Recommendations from findings, p. 26

## CHAPTER 7—SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS, p. 26

- 7.1—Refined analysis methods, p. 27
- 7.2—Load testing and instrumentation, p. 27
- 7.3—Bridge types, p. 27
- 7.4—Correlation of distribution factors, p. 27

## CHAPTER 8—REFERENCES, p. 27

- Authored documents, p. 27

## CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE

### 1.1—Introduction

Maintenance of an aging transportation infrastructure, including concrete bridges, is essential to the sustainability of resources and economic prosperity. With a national inventory of more than 600,000 bridges in the United States, 66 percent of which are concrete, maintenance and preservation represent a challenge for transportation agencies ([Federal Highway Administration 2014](#)). For these agencies, the challenge is to avoid or minimize bridge replacement and rehabilitation in the face of increased traffic volume and truck loads, along with dwindling financial resources.

Transportation agencies are responsible for ensuring both the safety and functionality of these bridges, and meeting this challenge requires a realistic measure of the actual behavior and in-service performance. This characterization of behavior is essential to determine the actual load-carrying capacity or remaining capacity of a bridge, which is typi-

cally determined through a process called load rating. Load rating of a bridge defines the expected resistance or capacity based on its existing condition state and operating environment. As with bridge design, a challenge that exists for describing a bridge's capacity is the complex system interaction that exists amongst the superstructure components. For example, in a beam-slab bridge, the complexity is derived from the coupled interaction of two-way plate behavior within the bridge deck and the one-way beam behavior inherent to the girders. For both design and evaluation, a methodology for transverse distribution of loads, or live load distribution, is typically used to represent this phenomenon and provide a method to quantify relative load sharing behavior within the system.

In practice, this phenomenon is typically defined using prescriptive formulas that simplify the complex behavior into simple factors, but in recent decades, several refined methods for determining live load distribution have evolved. These methods provide alternative mechanisms to describe live load distribution behavior, which can often be more representative than the empirical methods included in most bridge design codes and specifications. The advantage of considering these methods is that they have the potential to describe the physical phenomenon and actual load distribution behavior, which in turn provides the bridge engineer with a mechanism to make more informed decisions regarding load restrictions, maintenance, and replacement of existing bridges.

### 1.2—Scope

This report is intended for the bridge engineering community, particularly engineers responsible for bridge load rating, to provide basic guidance on the methods and tools available for determining live load distribution behavior of in-service bridges. The objective is to present guidance on available methods for determining live load distribution, including approximate formulas, structural analysis, or load testing. The selection of a particular method of analysis is presented within the context of the intended level of refinement and bridge type, such as slab, beam-slab, and box girder. Included in this report are descriptions, a brief history, and background of the flexural load distribution phenomena and a summary of design and analysis methods used to describe the phenomena in practice. This report provides an overview of criteria for transverse load distribution, including their limitations and acceptability; a summary and description of the use of refined methods of analyses for transverse load distribution; and load test methods. A series of case studies are presented in the latter part of the report to serve as a comparative analysis of commonly used live load distribution methods and their performance in describing the behavior of in-service structures.

While this distribution phenomenon is relevant to a variety of force effects, this report focuses exclusively on flexure. The treatment of shear is a topic of future work by the committee and will be part of a guide on the in-service evaluation of concrete bridges, but is beyond the scope of this report. For a treatment of shear load distribution, the