

cultivating allies, and ultimately achieving a critical mass of support on the ABET Board of Directors. Persistence will continue to serve ASCE well in overcoming opposition to other aspects of the Raise the Bar initiative.

- Changes to accreditation criteria require intensive communication and coordination with all relevant constituencies—but most importantly with civil engineering department heads. And this communication must continue long after the new criteria are implemented, because of the high rate of turnover among department heads and other educational leaders.

Recommendations for the Future

Over the past decade, ASCE's Raise the Bar initiative has achieved many successes, but has also experienced a number of failures, false starts, and less-than-optimal paths to desired goals. Nonetheless, successes and failures alike have contributed to advancing the initiative—enhancing our understanding of a very complex professional environment, while informing our subsequent efforts to move forward. As new Raise the Bar leaders take charge, they should take advantage of these lessons to the greatest extent possible.

Based on the historical analysis presented in this paper, the author provides the following recommendations for the future of the Raise the Bar initiative:

- Implement future updates of the Civil Engineering BOK and associated accreditation criteria according to a long-term schedule based on a predictable eight-year cycle.
- For all future accreditation criteria updates, use the approach depicted in Figure 1 for translating BOK outcomes into criteria provisions.
- Continue to use Bloom's Taxonomy as the basis for defining desired levels of achievement in both BOK outcomes and accreditation criteria.
- In conjunction with the development of new accreditation criteria, create two separate supplemental guidance documents—one providing a scholarly rationale for each provision of the new criteria and one providing specific operational guidelines for criteria compliance.
- Develop a plan for the implementation of **B + M^{ABET} & E** as an alternate path to BOK fulfillment and licensure.

References

1. "Summary Report: 1995 Civil Engineering Education Conference (CEEC '95)." American Society of Civil Engineers, Denver, CO, June 8-11, 1995.

2. “Engineering the Future of Civil Engineering—Report of the Task Committee on the First Professional Degree.” American Society of Civil Engineers, May 7, 2001.
3. Russel, Jeffrey S. et al. “ASCE's Raise The Bar Initiative: Master Plan For Implementation.” *Proceedings of the 2003 Annual Conference of the American Society for Engineering Education*, June 2003.
4. Body of Knowledge Committee of the Committee on Academic Prerequisites for Professional Practice. *Civil Engineering Body of Knowledge for the 21st Century: Preparing the Civil Engineer for the Future*. Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers, 2004.
5. ABET EAC. “Criteria for Accrediting Engineering Programs – Effective for Reviews during the 2012-2013 Accreditation Cycle,” October 2011. Accessed at http://www.abet.org/uploadedFiles/Accreditation/Accreditation_Process/Accreditation_Documents/Current/eac-criteria-2012-2013.pdf, January 10, 2012.
6. Curriculum Committee of the Committee on Academic Prerequisites for Professional Practice. “Development of Civil Engineering Curricula Supporting the Body of Knowledge for Professional Practice,” American Society of Civil Engineers, December 2006.
7. “ASCE Policy Statement 465: Academic Prerequisites for Licensure and Professional Practice.” American Society of Civil Engineers, June 2, 2010. Accessed at <http://www.asce.org/Content.aspx?id=8376>, January 10, 2012.
8. Center for the Study of Higher Education. “Engineering Change.” Pennsylvania State University, College of Education. Accessed at <http://www.ed.psu.edu/cshe/abet/ec2000.html>, January 15, 2011.
9. Ressler, S.J. “Assessing the Standards for Assessment: Is it Time to Update Criterion 3?” *Proceedings of the 2010 Annual Conference of the American Society for Engineering Education*, June 2010.
10. Committee on Curricula and Accreditation. “Commentary on the ABET Engineering Criteria for Civil and Similarly Named Programs in the Context of the Civil Engineering Body of Knowledge,” American Society of Civil Engineers, May 10, 2007.
11. “Resolution to the Engineering Deans’ Council from the Public Policy Committee,” June 13, 2005.
12. Smerdon, Ernest T. “Time for a Change: ABET Should Lift its Restriction Against Accrediting both Undergraduate and Master’s Programs at the Same School,” *Prism*, American Society for Engineering Education, 14(9). Accessed at http://www.prism-magazine.org/summer05/last_word.cfm, January 10, 2012.
13. Musselman, Craig N. “It is Time to Lift the Prohibition Against Dual-Level Accreditation,” *PE*, National Society of Professional Engineers, March 2007, 8.
14. Smerdon, Ernest T., et al. “Progress on Raising the Bar: Issues Related to the Prohibition on Dual-Level Accreditation of Engineering Programs, *Proceedings of the 2006 Annual Conference of the American Society for Engineering Education*, June 2006.
15. National Academy of Engineering. *Educating the Engineer of 2020: Adapting Engineering Education to the New Century*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2005.
16. National Academy of Engineering. *The Engineer of 2020: Visions of Engineering in the New Century*, National Academies Press, Washington, D.C., 2004.
17. Task Committee to Plan a Summit on the Future of the Civil Engineering Profession. *The Vision for Civil Engineering in 2025—Based on the Summit on the Future of Civil Engineering, June 21 – 22, 2006*. Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers, 2007. Accessed at http://www.asce.org/uploadedFiles/Vision_2025_-_New/TheVisionforCivilEngineeringin2025_ASCE.pdf, January 16, 2011.

18. ASCE. *Civil Engineering Body of Knowledge for the 21st Century: Preparing the Civil Engineer for the Future*, 2nd Edition, Reston, VA, 2008.
19. Bloom, Benjamin S. *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*, New York: Longman, 1956.
20. Ressler, S. J., “Influence of the New Civil Engineering Body of Knowledge on Accreditation Criteria.” *Proceedings of the 2008 Annual Conference of the American Society for Engineering Education*, June 2008.
21. Body of Knowledge Experiential Fulfillment Committee, “Civil Engineering Body of Knowledge—Guidelines for Attainment of the Experiential Outcomes (Draft).” ASCE, May 1, 2010. Accessed at [http://www.asce.org/uploadedFiles/Competency -
Raise_The_Bar/Reports/Guidelines%20For%20Attainment%20of%20Experiential%20Outcomes%20DRAFT-
051710--web.pdf](http://www.asce.org/uploadedFiles/Competency_-_Raise_The_Bar/Reports/Guidelines%20For%20Attainment%20of%20Experiential%20Outcomes%20DRAFT-051710--web.pdf)
22. Abbott, Andrew. *The System of Professions: An Essay on the Division of Expert Labor*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1988.

Appendix A. BOK1-Compliant Civil Engineering Program Criteria and General Criteria for Master's Level Programs, as submitted to the EAC of ABET

PROGRAM CRITERIA FOR
CIVIL
AND SIMILARLY NAMED ENGINEERING PROGRAMS
Lead Society: American Society of Civil Engineers

These program criteria apply to engineering programs including "civil" and similar modifiers in their titles.

1. Curriculum

The program must demonstrate that graduates can: apply knowledge of mathematics through differential equations, calculus-based physics, chemistry, and at least one additional area of science, consistent with the program educational objectives; apply knowledge of four technical areas appropriate to civil engineering; conduct civil engineering experiments and analyze and interpret the resulting data; design a system, component, or process in more than one civil engineering context; explain basic concepts in management, business, public policy, and leadership; and explain the importance of professional licensure.

2. Faculty

The program must demonstrate that faculty teaching courses that are primarily design in content are qualified to teach the subject matter by virtue of professional licensure, or by education and design experience. The program must demonstrate that it is not critically dependent on one individual.

II. GENERAL CRITERIA FOR MASTERS LEVEL PROGRAMS

Masters level programs must develop, publish, and periodically review, educational objectives and program outcomes. The criteria for masters level programs are fulfillment of the baccalaureate level general criteria, fulfillment of program criteria appropriate to the masters level specialization area, and one academic year of study beyond the baccalaureate level. The program must demonstrate that graduates have an ability to apply masters level knowledge in a specialized area of engineering related to the program area.

Appendix B

Comparison of BOK1 Requirements and ABET Criteria

Civil Engineering Body of Knowledge		ABET Engineering Criteria		
Outcome Statement	Specific Provisions or Requirements	General Criteria for Baccalaureate Level Programs	General Criteria for Master's Level Programs	CE Program Criteria
1. An ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, science, and engineering	Breadth of coverage in mathematics, science and civil engineering topics	3(a) An ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, science, and engineering		Apply knowledge of mathematics through differential equations, calculus-based physics, chemistry, and at least one additional area of basic science, consistent with the program educational objectives; apply knowledge of four technical areas appropriate to civil engineering.
	Mathematics through differential equations, probability and statistics, calculus-based physics, biology, chemistry, ecology, geology, geomorphology, engineering economics, mechanics, material properties, systems, geo-spatial representation, and information technology			
	Understand fundamentals of several recognized major civil engineering areas			
2. An ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	Design and conduct field and laboratory studies, gather data, create numerical and other models, and then analyze and interpret the results—in at least one of the evolving or current major civil engineering areas	3(b) An ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data		Conduct civil engineering experiments and analyze and interpret the resulting data
3. An ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	Problem definition, scope, analysis, risk assessment, environmental impact statements, creativity, synthesizing alternatives, iteration, regulations, codes, safety, security, constructability, sustainability, and multiple objectives and various perspectives	3(c) An ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs		Design a system, component, or process in more than one civil engineering context
	Bidding versus qualifications-based selection; estimating engineering costs; interaction between planning, design and construction; design review; owner-engineer relationships; and life-cycle assessment			
	Understanding large-scale systems, including the need to integrate information, organizations, people, processes, and technology			
	Design experiences integrated throughout the professional component of the curriculum			
4. An ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	Lead a design team or other team	3(d) An ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams		
	Participate as a member of a team			
	Team formation and evolution, personality profiles, team dynamics, collaboration among diverse disciplines, problem solving, time management, and being able to foster and integrate diversity of perspectives, knowledge, and experiences			

Civil Engineering Body of Knowledge		ABET Engineering Criteria		
Outcome Statement	Specific Provisions or Requirements	General Criteria for Baccalaureate Level Programs	General Criteria for Master's Level Programs	CE Program Criteria
5. An ability to identify, formulate and solve engineering problems	Assessing situations in order to identify engineering problems, formulate alternatives, and recommend feasible solutions	3(e) An ability to identify, formulate and solve engineering problems		
6. An understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	Hold paramount public safety, health, and welfare	3(f) An understanding of professional and ethical responsibility		Explain the importance of professional licensure
	Thoughtful and careful weighing of alternatives when values conflict understanding of and commitment to practice according to the seven Fundamental Canons of Ethics and the associated Guidelines to Practice Under the Fundamental Canons of Ethics			
7. An ability to communicate effectively	Listening, observing, reading, speaking, and writing	3(g) An ability to communicate effectively		
	Fundamentals of interacting effectively with technical and non-technical or lay individuals and audiences in a variety of settings Versatility with mathematics, graphics, the worldwide web and other communication tools			
8. The broad education necessary to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	Appreciate, from historical and contemporary perspectives, culture, human and organizational behavior, aesthetics and ecology and their impacts on society	3(h) the broad education necessary to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global, and societal context		
	History and heritage of the civil engineering profession			
9. A recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in, life-long learning	Life-long learning mechanisms—additional formal education, continuing education, professional practice experience, active involvement in professional societies, community service, coaching, mentoring, and other learning and growth activities	3(i) A recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in, life-long learning		
	Personal and professional development—developing understanding of and competence in goal setting, personal time management, communication, delegation, personality types, networking, leadership, the socio-political process, effecting change, career management, increasing discipline knowledge, understanding business fundamentals, contributing to the profession, self-employment, additional graduate studies, and achieving licensure and specialty certification			

Civil Engineering Body of Knowledge		ABET Engineering Criteria		
Outcome Statement	Specific Provisions or Requirements	General Criteria for Baccalaureate Level Programs	General Criteria for Master's Level Programs	CE Program Criteria
10. A knowledge of contemporary issues	relationship of engineering to critical contemporary issues such as multicultural globalization of engineering practice; raising the quality of life around the globe; the growing diversity of society; and the technical, environmental, societal, political, legal, aesthetic, economic, and financial implications of engineering projects	3(j) A knowledge of contemporary issues		
11. An ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	<p>Role and use of appropriate information technology, contemporary analysis and design methods, and applicable design codes and standards as practical problem-solving tools to complement knowledge of fundamental concepts</p> <p>Ability to select the appropriate tools for solving different types and levels of problems</p>	3(k) An ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice		
12. An ability to apply knowledge in a specialized area related to civil engineering	Specialized technical coursework (or equivalent) in such areas as environmental engineering, structural engineering, construction engineering and management, public works management, transportation engineering and water resources management		<p>One academic year of study beyond the basic level</p> <p>Ability to apply advanced level knowledge in a specialized area of engineering</p>	
13. An understanding of the elements of project management, construction, and asset management	<p>Project management—project manager responsibilities, defining and meeting client requirements, risk assessment and management, stakeholder identification and involvement, contract negotiation, project work plans, scope and deliverables, budget and schedule preparation and monitoring, interaction among engineering and other disciplines, quality assurance and quality control, and dispute resolution processes.</p> <p>Construction—owner-engineer-contractor relationships; project delivery systems (e.g., design-bid-build, design-build); estimating construction costs; bidding by contractors; labor and labor management issues; and construction processes, methods, systems, equipment, planning, scheduling, safety, cost analysis and cost control.</p> <p>Asset management—effective and efficient long-term ownership of capital facilities via systematic acquisition, operation, maintenance, preservation, replacement, and disposition.</p>			Explain basic concepts in management

Civil Engineering Body of Knowledge		ABET Engineering Criteria		
Outcome Statement	Specific Provisions or Requirements	General Criteria for Baccalaureate Level Programs	General Criteria for Master's Level Programs	CE Program Criteria
14. An understanding of business and public policy and administration fundamentals	Business—legal forms of ownership, organizational structure and design, income statements, balance sheets, decision (engineering) economics, finance, marketing and sales, billable time, overhead, and profit			Explain basic concepts in business and public policy
	Public policy and administration—political process, public policy, laws and regulations, funding mechanisms, public education and involvement, government-business interaction, and public service responsibility of professionals			
15. An understanding of the role of the leader and leadership principles and attitudes.	Leading—broad motivation, direction, and communication knowledge and skills			Explain basic concepts in leadership
	Attitudes—commitment, confidence, curiosity, entrepreneurship, high expectations, honesty, integrity, judgment, persistence, positiveness, and sensitivity			
	Behaviors—earning trust, trusting others, formulating and articulating vision, communication, rational thinking, openness, consistency, commitment to organizational values, and discretion with sensitive information			

Appendix C. BOK2 Outcomes and Levels of Achievement¹³

Outcome number and title	Level of achievement					
	1 Know- ledge	2 Compre- hension	3 Appli- cation	4 Analy- sis	5 Synthe- sis	6 Evalu- ation
Foundational						
1. Mathematics	B	B	B			
2. Natural sciences	B	B	B			
3. Humanities	B	B	B			
4. Social sciences	B	B	B			
Technical						
5. Materials science	B	B	B			
6. Mechanics	B	B	B	B		
7. Experiments	B	B	B	B	M/30	
8. Problem recognition and solving	B	B	B	M/30		
9. Design	B	B	B	B	B	E
10. Sustainability	B	B	B	E		
11. Contemp. Issues & hist. perspectives	B	B	B	E		
12. Risk and uncertainty	B	B	B	E		
13. Project management	B	B	B	E		
14. Breadth in civil engineering areas	B	B	B	B		
15. Technical specialization	B	M/30	M/30	M/30	M/30	E
Professional						
16. Communication	B	B	B	B	E	
17. Public policy	B	B	E			
18. Business and public administration	B	B	E			
19. Globalization	B	B	B	E		
20. Leadership	B	B	B	E		
21. Teamwork	B	B	B	E		
22. Attitudes	B	B	E			
23. Life-long learning	B	B	B	E	E	
24. Professional and ethical responsibility	B	B	B	B	E	E

Key:

B

Portion of the BOK fulfilled through the bachelor's degree

M/30

Portion of the BOK fulfilled through the master's degree or equivalent (approximately 30 semester credits of acceptable graduate-level or upper-level undergraduate courses)

E

Portion of the BOK fulfilled through the pre-licensure experience

Chapter 6

Response of Three Curricula to ASCE's Educational Recommendations

Kenneth J. Fridley, Ph.D., F.ASCE, *University of Alabama*

Kevin D. Hall, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE, *University of Arkansas*

James K. Nelson, Ph.D., P.E., C.Eng., F.ASCE, *University of Texas-Tyler*

Originally published as "The Raise the Bar Initiative: Response of Three Curricula to ASCE's Educational Recommendations," in *Proceedings of the 2012 Conference of the American Society for Engineering Education*, June 2012. © Copyright 2012, American Society for Engineering Education. Reproduced with permission.
