Few strategies are proposed in this section to support future research on emissions measurement from the non-road equipment. Improvement is required in several steps of the MOVES. It will be useful if the equipment names are incorporated in addition to SCC numbers. Fuel type and its usage is not considered in this study. MOVES have an option to change the fuel type and check the change in emission quantities. This might be a useful study to focus on in the future. Idle time of the equipment plays a major role in the change of the emission quantities measured. Idle time must be considered while estimating emissions. There should be an input variable in MOVES for idle time too.

6.0 REFERENCES

- EPA (2014) "MOVES 2014a User Guide," EPA-420-B-15-095, Assessment and Standards Division Office of Transportation and Air Quality, US Environmental Protection Agency, Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- EPA (2016a) "Vehicles and Engines," US Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/nonroad-engines (Accessed in 11/10/2016).
- EPA (2016b) "National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)," US Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants (Accessed on 10/18/2016).
- EPA (2017) "State and County Emission Summaries," US Environmental Protection Agency, https://www3.epa.gov/cgibin/broker?_service=data&_debug=0&_program=d ataprog.dw_do_all_multi.sas&stfips=41 (Accessed on 08/20/2017)
- EPA (2017a) "Overview of Greenhouse Gases," US Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/overview-greenhouse-gases (Accessed on 20/04/2017).
- EPA (2017b) "MOVES and Other Mobile Source Emission Models," US Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/moves (Accessed on 04/09/2017).
- Frey, H. C., Rasdorf, W., and Lewis, P. (2010) "Comprehensive Field Study of Fuel Use and Emissions of Nonroad Diesel Construction Equipment," *Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., Pages 69–76.
- Kean, A. Sawyer, R. F., and Harley, R. A. (2000) "A Fuel-Based Assessment of Off-Road Diesel Engine Emissions," *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, Volume 50, Number 11, Pages 1929-1939.
- Marshall, S. K., Rasdorf, W., Lewis, P., and Frey, H. C. (2012) "Methodology for Estimating Emissions Inventories for Commercial Building Projects", *Journal* of Architectural Engineering, American Society of Civil Engineers, Volume 18, Number 3, Pages 251-260.

- Lee, Y. S., Skibniewski, M. J., and Jang, W. S. (2009) "Monitoring and Management of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Construction Equipment Using Wireless Sensors," <u>Proceedings of 26th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction</u>, International Association for Automation and Robotics in Construction, Austin TX, USA, June 24-27, 2009, Pages 227-234.
- Lewis, P., Rasdorf, W., Frey, H. C., Pang, S-H., and Kim, K. (2009) "Requirements and Incentives for Reducing Construction Vehicle Emissions and Comparison of Non-Road Diesel Engine Emissions Data Sources," *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, American Society of Civil Engineers, Volume 135, Number 5, Pages 341-359.
- Lindgren, M., Larsson, G., Hansson, P. A. (2010) "Evaluation of factors influencing emissions from tractors and construction equipment during realistic work operations using diesel fuel and bio-fuels as substitute," *Journal of Biosystems Engineering*, Elsevier, Volume 107, Issue 2, Pages 123-130.
- William Rasdorf, Christopher Frey, Phil Lewis, Kangwook Kim, Shih-Hao Pang, and Saeed Abolhassan (2010) "Field Measurements for Real-World Measurements of Emissions from Diesel Construction Vehicles", *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, American Society of Civil Engineers, Volume 16, Number 3, Pages 216-225.
- UCAIR (2016) "Tier 3: A Promising Tool for Cleaning Our Air," Utah Clean Air, http://www.ucair.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/UCAIR-Tier-3-White-Paper.pdf (Accessed on 11/10/2016).

High-Rise Modular Building: Ten-Year Journey and Future Development

Wei Pan¹; Yi Yang²; and Lin Yang³

¹Dept. of Civil Engineering, The Univ. of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong. E-mail: wpan@hku.hk

²Dept. of Civil Engineering, The Univ. of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong.

³Dept. of Civil Engineering, The Univ. of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong.

Abstract

Along with the sweeping adoption of prefabrication in the construction industry there has been increasing attention to and practice of exploring volumetric modular building technologies. While the modular approach has been reported with the benefits including shortened construction period, improved site health and safety, reduced construction waste, enhanced life cycle cost performance, lessons have also been learnt. More recently there have been cases of adopting modular construction for high-rise buildings that are a significant building type in cities. The aim of this paper is to review the 10-year journey of high-rise modular building and elicit learning for its future development. The research was carried out through a critical literature review, case studies with seven representative high-rise modular buildings constructed during the 10-year period from 2007 to 2017 selected worldwide from U.K., U.S., Singapore, Australia and China, and interviews with the project teams for verification and with industry stakeholders for consultation. The case studies together enabled a longitudinal examination of the adoption of high-rise modular buildings. Despite the available modular buildings in concrete and composite materials, steel framed solutions appear to be the norm for high-rise. Compared with the normal design decision criteria for prefabricated buildings, structural stability, wind load resistance, connection details emerge to be prominent considerations for highrise modular solutions. While the many benefits claimed from prefabrication also apply to the use of modular building for high-rise, policy promotion, and client leadership are revealed to be the main drivers. Partnering between the client and its professional advisors and supply chains, particularly early contractors' involvement, proves to be essential to secure project success. While there is in general premium direct building cost, cost neutrality can be demonstrated taking into account financial gains and operational savings. Learning for the future development of high-rise modular building is presented.

Keywords: Modular building; High-rise building; Prefabrication; Off-site construction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Modular building represents a game-changing approach to construction, and is known in different terms in different regions, such as Permanent Modular Construction (PMC) in the United States (Smith, 2015), Prefabricated Prefinished Volumetric Construction (PPVC) in Singapore (Building and Construction Authority, 2014), and Modular Integrated Construction in Hong Kong. Modular building offers an innovative way of construction, in which room-sized volumetric units are fitted out in the factories, delivered to the site and installed as the main structural elements of the building. Lawson et al. (2011) reported that modular construction has been a viable and widely used approach for residential buildings of 4 to 8 storeys high. While the modular approach has been reported with the benefits including shortened construction period, improved site

This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.

health and safety, reduced construction waste, enhanced life cycle cost performance, lessons have also been learnt (Pan et al., 2008). More recently there have been cases of adopting modular construction for high-rise buildings that are a significant building type in cities. However, the number of high-rise modular buildings worldwide is still limited (less than 1%). Mills et al. (2015) reported that there was only one completed modular building more than 30 stories at the time. Many studies have contributed to the managerial and technical know-hows for delivering modular buildings, such as feasibility studies (Velamati, 2012), decision-support tools development (Pan, 2006, Pan et al., 2012a), process visualization (Olearczyk et al., 2009) and factory design (Nasereddin et al., 2007). However, previous studies largely focused on low-rise modular buildings, while the understanding of challenges to and strategies for implementing high-rise modular buildings is insufficient. Therefore, the aim of this paper is to review the ten-year journey of highrise modular building from 2007 to 2017 and elicit learning for its future development. This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 explains the methodology used to examine the development of high-rise modular buildings. Section 3 examines the challenges to the adoption of high-rise modular buildings identified from previous research. Section 4 summarises the case studies of seven examples of pioneering high-rise modular buildings worldwide and reveals learning for future development. Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. METHODOLOGY

This study reviews the ten-year journey of high-rise modular building and elicits learning for its future development. Work presented in this paper was carried out through a comprehensive literature review, case studies with seven representative high-rise modular buildings constructed during the ten-year period from 2007 to 2017 selected worldwide from UK, US, Singapore, Australia and China, and interviews with the project teams for verification and with industry stakeholders for consultation. Case study as a research method enables the researcher to "undertake an investigation into a phenomenon in its context" and to answer "why?" and "how?" guestions (Rowley, 2002, Yin, 1994). The case study in this study aimed to highlight the challenges that real-life projects encountered and to identify how these challenges were addressed. A holistic perspective was used to analyze each case as a unit (Rowley, 2002). Projects information was firstly collected from multiple sources, including each project's websites, articles in academic journals and conference, press release, books, reports from relevant institution. Secondly, site visits and face-to-face semi-structured interviews with different stakeholders representing clients, contractors, architects, module suppliers and engineers were conducted in Singapore, Mainland China and UK. The following questions guided the case studies: (1) What were the benefits from the adoption of modular approach for high-rise buildings? (2) What were the challenges to the adoption of modular approach for high-rise buildings? (3) How were the challenges addressed?

3. IDENTIFIED CHALLENGES THROUGH LITERATURE REVIEW

Challenges to the use of prefabrication and modular approach in construction have been examined in previous research worldwide. For instance, the study carried out by McGraw-Hill Construction (2011) identified three major challenges perceived by the clients in terms of using prefabrication and modularization in USA, which were (1) the early commitment to design and engineering work, (2) the higher requirements for transportation, and (3) the constrained number of suppliers. In respect of technical challenges, Blismas (2007) identified four factors that will hinder the design and construction of modular construction, which were (1) a longer lead time in comparison with traditional practice, (2) the inability to change design, (3) the low level of Information Technology integration in construction industry, and (4) the fragmented nature of the construction sector.

Modules are usually the largest units that are transportable and will travel long distance from factories to the site. The design of modules should meet customers' demand, aesthetical, structural and functional requirements and be subject to regulations and manufacturing feasibility. Lawson et al. (2011) stated that "the design of high-rise modular buildings is strongly influenced by structural, fire, and services requirements". Manufacturing and installation tolerance has a strong impact on the structural design of module (Lawson et al., 2011). Javanifard et al. (2013) identified logistics constraints in the course of tall modular buildings construction, including module storage issues, vertical transportation for site workers and crane options and operations. Blismas (2007) concluded three difficulties in in logistics and site operations, namely (1) module stock control, (2) site-specific constraints and (2) high risks in crane operations. The transportation limitation is associated with the size/weight of modules, road widths, bridge load capacity, transport curfews and requirement of escorts (Mullens, 2011).

Numerous studies showed consistency in the findings that cost is of vital importance to stakeholders during the decision-making stage (Pan et al., 2007, 2012b). Blismas (2007) suggested that offsite construction is deemed to be costly in comparison to conventional construction because of the additional costs associated with initial set-up, design, cranes and transportation. Similarly, a higher initial cost, a perceived higher capital cost, the difficulties in attaining economies of scale were also identified by Pan et al. (2007) and Rahman (2013) as challenges to the adoption of offsite construction in UK and China. However, it is suggested by Lawson that, the reduction of site preliminaries, consultant fees, improved quality and faster construction could yield 11 to 19% of the total building cost savings in comparison with conventional construction.

Previous studies also suggested that it is more challenging for the building industry to use modular approach if the lack of relevant guidelines, official policy, specific legislation has not been addressed (Blismas and Wakefield, 2009). Furthermore, improving the social acceptance of offsite construction is deemed as one of the primary challenges facing the modular building industry (Jellen and Memari, 2013).

4. CASE STUDIES AND LEARNING FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

Seven representative high-rise modular buildings constructed during the ten-year period from 2007 to 2017 selected worldwide from UK, US, Singapore, Australia and China were examined through literature review, face-to-face interviews and site visits. An overview of the seven selected cases (Figure 1) is provided in Table 1, with their details provided in Table 2.



Figure 1. Case modular buildings (From left to right: Apex House, Paragon, Soho Apartment (courtesy of google map), Gangnan Road Housing)

The technical practicability of using modular construction for high-rise buildings in congested areas was demonstrated through the case studies. The seven cases further reflected several challenges to the adoption of high-rise modular buildings, including difficulties in structural system design, low production efficiency, transportation and logistics restrictions, limited capability of supply chains, higher requirements of stakeholders' collaboration for comprehensive planning and design and the limited market preference.

Early decision-making is very essential to the success of modular building project. B2 BKLYN switched to modular approach when the conventional structure design has started, while in Soho Apartment clients decided to use modularization after receiving the planning approval of using conventional construction. The increased complexity in design in the two projects was partly because of the late decision-making. It is found that other projects, such as Apex House, NTU1&2, benefited a lot from the early engagement of all the stakeholders and early decision-making.

Despite the available modular buildings in concrete and composite materials, steel framed solutions appear to be the norm for high-rise. Extended height of modular buildings adds difficulties to for stability and robustness. Compared with the normal design decision criteria for prefabricated buildings, structural stability, wind load resistance, connection details emerge to be prominent considerations for high-rise modular solutions. Manufacturers' qualification, manufacturing efficiency, factory location have great impacts on project quality, speed and cost. Module manufacture, transportation, and storage should be taken into consideration in the early stage of projects. It is found that in the current marketplace, the capacity and capability of module manufacture are very limited.

Through interviews with stakeholders in Singapore, Mainland China and UK, it was found that the policy promotion and clients' leadership are playing crucial roles in driving the adoption of high-rise modular buildings. For instance, promoting the use of PPVC is the focus of the 2nd construction productivity roadmap proposed by the Building and Construction Authority (BCA) in Singapore. BCA has implemented a wide range of strategies and measures to generate sufficient lead demand from public sector, to stimulate private sector's demand, and to increase industry engagement for promoting PPVC and enhancing supply chain capabilities. The number of PPVC supplier has reached 18 in 2016,

while in 2013 there was only one. It was found that the modular building industry in UK is mainly motivated by the market and the clients who intend to benefit from faster, safer and quicker construction. In addition, the vast majority of interviewees highlighted the significance of the partnering between the client and its professional advisors and supply chains, particularly early contractors' involvement.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has reviewed seven representative high-rise modular buildings constructed during the ten-year period from 2007 to 2017 and explored the future development of high-rise modular building construction. The cases were selected worldwide from UK, US, Singapore, Australia and China. Personal interviews were conducted with the project teams for verification and with industry stakeholders for consultation. Despite the fact that conducted interviews covered different jurisdictions, there is a strong consensus among the views shared by the interviewees.

The findings contribute to the following learning for the future development of high-rise modular buildings. First, comprehensive studies on structural stability, wind load resistance, connection systems should be carried out. Precise manufacturing and rigid quality control are required to ensure the quality of individual modules as well as the building. Second, government should take the leadership and provide initiatives for promoting the adoption of the modular approach by stimulating market demands and formulating relevant policies. Third, strategies for effective collaboration along the supply chains are needed to facilitate the delivery of high-rise modular buildings. In addition, further studies on the economics and life cycle costs of high-rise modular building are needed.

This paper presents a longitudinal investigation of the adoption of high-rise modular buildings, disclosing the main challenges to implementing high-rise modular buildings and highlighting learning points for future development. Future research should study more cases in a wider context for more comprehensive cross comparison and also explore more insights into the deliveries of the specific cases.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research reported in this paper was aligned with and informed by a study supported by the Development Bureau of the Hong Kong SAR Government (Project No.: 200008191). Acknowledged are also the participants in the interviews and the project case studies.

No. Pro	1 Apex	2 Parage		3 Victor	4 B2 BK		5 NTUL				6 Soho Apartr	6 Soho Apartr 7 Gangn Road (
nject	House 1	'n		ia Hall W	TAN		&2				nent	nent an Social
Location	Vorth London, UK	London, UK		/olverhampton, UK	New York USA		Singapore				Darwin, Australia	Darwin, Australia Zhenjiang, China
Stories	28	17		25	32		13		200	29		18
Building type	Student residence	Mixed-use residential	building	Student residence	Residential		Student	residence	Docidontial	Residential building /Hotel	Residential	building
Completion time	2017	2006		2009	2016		2016 & Mid	July 2017	2017	2014	2017	
Site	Congested	Congested		Congested	Congested		I		Commented	Congested	ı	
Highlights	The tallest modular building in Europe hitherto	The first high-	building in UK		The highest modular	building worldwide hitherto	The first public	high-rise modular building in	Singapore		The tallest	modular
Reference and data source	(Horti, 2017, Cousins, 2017, Offsite Hub, 2017) Semi-structured interviews with the designer; Site visit;	(Velamati, 2012, Soltani, 2006, Javanifard et al., 2013 Buildoffsite, 2010. Mittal and Staal, 2008)	Site visit;	(Buildoffsite, 2010, Hayes, 2010, Lawson and Ogden 2010, Lawson et al., 2011, Kalette, 2009)	(Javanifard et al., 2013, Council on Tall Building and Urban Habitat, 2013, Memari et al., 2014, Farnsworth	2014)	Semi-structured interview with the project manage	and the module suppliers; Site visit	(Condinan 2016 Invinoponale 2012)	(Gardiner, 2015, Irwinconsult, 2013)	Semi-structured interviews with the project manager	the module supplier, and site workers;

Table 1. Overview of seven examples of pioneering high-rise modular buildings

		Table 2. Sumn	ary of seven examples of pioneering high-rise modular l	ouildings
No. Cas	ē	Achievements	Challenges/Problems	Strategies/learning points
1 Apex	•	The tallest modular •	Constrained access to site as the major limitation during •	Digital manufacturing to enhance the
House		building in Europe	the module installation.	capacity and capability of module
	•	One-year saved •	Skilled workers shortage on- and off-site.	manufacturer and its suppliers
	•	Awarded BREAAM •	Cost savings from manufacturing was not as high as •	Training in factory
		Excellent	expected.	Comprehensive logistics plan up front
		•	The slow reaction of the upstream suppliers to the •	Long-term partnership between main team
			manufacturer partly led to the low productivity and the	members
			• •	
2 Parago	n•	14-month saved •	The project team could refer to very little knowledge and •	Early involvement of module manufacturer
	•	Reduced over two-	experience as there is no modular building over 9-storey	from the outset of design and planning
		thirds of on-site wastes	were constructed before Paragon.	phases.
	•	Reduced noise and dust •	The structure engineer suggested that the key challenge to •	An early design freeze and early start of
		in site operation	design was "stability, disproportionate collapse and	module production.
	•	On-site logistics	differential movement between the steel modules and the •	Working with experienced module suppliers
		minimized	concrete core".	
	•	Excellent acoustic •	Dull and unattractive building design perceived by the	
		insulation	public.	
		•	Constrained access to site during module installation.	
3 Victori	a •	12-month saved •	Constrained access to site during module installation.	Early involvement of stakeholders
Hall	•	60% transportation	•	A comprehensive of technology evaluation
		reduced		and feasibility study beforehand
	•	productivity increased		
		by 50%		
	•	43% manufacturing		
		waste recycled		
	•	70% reduction of		

landfill

			Jel	ם שווחוווק הבצוצוו המנ		
Increasing the level of completion offsite			5	Three Star for Green	•	
consumers	eavy modules.	installation of he		workers	using	Ho
Providing fully furnished homes to	nents for the vertical transportation and •	Higher requirem	ite •	83.3% reduction of s	ial •	So
transportation and lifting	ative perception of prefabrication	Consumers' nega	•	buildings in China	ad	Ro
Specialized equipment for module	savings observed •	Not much time s	•	The highest modular	ngnan •	7 Ga
	ructural design	Challenges in str	•			
column to enhance the structural stability	ity of module manufacturers	Limited capabili	•			
Concrete poured into the steel-framed	ility and regulatory compliance •	deal with feasibi		demand		
training for factory staffs	reased challenges in module design to	to Australia) inci		decreased labour	artment •	Ap
Providing construction knowledge and	ce transportation of modules (from China •	The long-distanc	•	reduced complexity	•	6 So
infront						
Comprehensive study of logistic plan	•					
Clear scope of work among all stakeholders	•	installation				
weight	THIS HEAVY INDULIES ON SHE/INDULIE		•			
Waight	fine hours modulog on gita/modulo	Diffimiltion in lif	•			
limits when designing Module Size and		design				
Shipping cost, transportation dimensional	ity in structural and architectural system	Higher complexi	•	manpower		
Considering Crane Capacity and cost,	nce, knowledge and practical skills •	Lack of experien	•	25-40% saving in	•	
capacity when selecting of PPVC specialist	ocurement and payment methods	Lack of clear pro	•	construction time		
Considering factory's location, capability,	d expensive cross-border transportation; •	Complicated and	•	15-20% saving in	U1&2 •	5 NT
	local labour union	Weak buy-in of	•			
	ns due to inferior quality control	Leakage problen	•			
stakeholders	y overrun	Time and money	•			
Closer collaboration among all the	•	contractor				
High level of quality control on- and off-site	on between the client and the main •	Poor collaboratic	•			
approach		modular factory;				
Stakeholders' understanding of modular	and investment in developing own •	Too much time a	•	hitherto		
Early engagement of team members	•	construction		building worldwide	LYN	BK
Early decision-making	iged to modular approach halfway of •	The design chan	•	The highest modular	•	4 B2
Strategies/learning points	Challenges/Problems			Achievements	Case	No.