

IMPROVING THE SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF EXISTING BUILDINGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2009 ATC & SEI CONFERENCE ON IMPROVING
THE SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF BUILDINGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES

December 9–11, 2009
San Francisco, California

ORGANIZED BY

Applied Technology Council

The Structural Engineering Institute (SEI)
of the American Society of Civil Engineers

EDITED BY

Barry Goodno, Ph.D., P.E.



Published by the American Society of Civil Engineers

This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

IMPROVING THE SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF EXISTING BUILDINGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2009 ATC & SEI CONFERENCE ON IMPROVING
THE SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF BUILDINGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES

December 9–11, 2009
San Francisco, California

ORGANIZED BY

Applied Technology Council

The Structural Engineering Institute (SEI)
of the American Society of Civil Engineers

EDITED BY

Barry Goodno, Ph.D., P.E.



Published by the American Society of Civil Engineers

[This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

Copyright and Disclaimer

ISBN: 978-0-7844-1084-4

Any statements expressed in these materials are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily represent the views of ASCE, which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein. No reference made in this publication to any specific method, product, process or service constitutes or implies an endorsement, recommendation, or warranty thereof by ASCE. The materials are for general information only and do not represent a standard of ASCE, nor are they intended as a reference in purchase specifications, contracts, regulations, statutes, or any other legal document.

ASCE makes no representation or warranty of any kind, whether express or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed in this publication, and assumes no liability therefore. This information should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for any general or specific application. Anyone utilizing this information assumes all liability arising from such use, including but not limited to infringement of any patent or patents.

Copyright © 2010 by the American Society of Civil Engineers and the Applied Technology Council
All Rights Reserved.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Photocopies and reprints.

You can obtain instant permission to photocopy ASCE publications by using ASCE's online permission service (www.pubs.asce.org/authors/RightslinkWelcomePage.html). Requests for 100 copies or more should be submitted to the Reprints Department, Publications Division, ASCE, (address above); email: permissions@asce.org. A reprint order form can be found at www.pubs.asce.org/authors/reprints.html.

American Society of Civil Engineers
ASCE International Headquarters
1801 Alexander Bell Drive
Reston, VA 20191-4400 USA

Call Toll-Free in the U.S.: 1-800-548-2723 (ASCE)
Call from anywhere in the world: 1-703-295-6300
Internet: <http://www.pubs.asce.org>

Foreword

Buildings are the backbone of the world's infrastructure - they house our families and businesses, provide emergency shelter, provide places for education, enable our industries, and bring about an improved standard of living for people throughout the world. Without this backbone, civilization doesn't exist. Each year buildings and other structures are designed and built with a continually improving understanding of their performance during earthquakes, yet the vast majority of structures were built with substantially less understanding of seismic actions than we currently possess.

The challenges to improving the seismic performance of existing buildings and other structures are as broad and varied as the individual structures themselves. How should they be evaluated and strengthened? What plans exist? What materials were used? What assumptions were made? Were they built as designed, and if not, what modifications were made but possibly (probably) not documented?

To begin addressing these and other critical issues, the Applied Technology Council and the Structural Engineering Institute of ASCE organized this conference held on December 9-11, 2009 in San Francisco, California. This inaugural conference is the first conference dedicated solely to improving the seismic performance of existing buildings and other structures. The program was planned to provide a forum for the presentation and exchange of new information on the seismic evaluation and seismic rehabilitation of existing buildings, including case studies, new discoveries, innovative use of new technologies and materials, implementation issues, needed improvements to existing standards and methods, and socio-economic issues.

The goal of the Conference, and hence these proceedings, was to provide an invaluable opportunity to advance the profession's understanding of the tools, techniques and innovations available to assist in meeting the challenges of seismic evaluation and rehabilitation. For those new to the profession, these proceedings are an opportunity to get up to speed on core issues surrounding seismic rehabilitation.

Thank you to everyone who participated in making the Conference a tremendous success whether it was attending, presenting, or helping to organize the event.

Barry Goodno, Ph.D., P.E., F.ASCE
Georgia Institute of Technology
Chair, Program Steering Committee

Christopher Rojahn, P.E.
Executive Director, ATC

James A. Rossberg, P.E.
Director, SEI of ASCE

Acknowledgments

Program Steering Committee

Robert Bachman, P.E., M. ASCE
Robert E. Bachman SE

Jerry Hajjar, Ph.D., P.E., F. ASCE
University of Illinois

William Holmes, P.E., M. ASCE
Rutherford & Chekene

Dawn E. Lehman Ph.D
University of Washington

Jack Moehle, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center

Chris Poland, M. ASCE
Degenkolb Engineers

Gregory Soules, P.E., S.E., F. ASCE
Chicago Bridge and Iron Company

Michael Valley, P.E., M.ASCE

John W. Wallace, Ph.D., P.E
University of California

Contents

Plenary Papers

National Seismic Hazard and Risk—The Problem	1
Mary Lou Zoback, Chesley Williams, Patricia Grossi, and Taronne Tabucchi	
Performance-Based Seismic Upgrade of Building Structural Systems: A 2020 Vision	10
Ronald O. Hamburger	
Progress of Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings in the U.S.	17
William T. Holmes	

Analysis and Rehabilitation Case Studies 1

Case Studies in Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation

Seismic Assessment and Retrofit of BART Parking Structures	32
M. IJ. Schotanus, P. C. Revelli, D. E. Campi, and E. S. Fok	
Seismic Assessment and Retrofit of Engineering Systems in Wellington Hospitals—A Case Study	44
S. R. Uma, Graeme Beattie, and A. B. King	
Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation of a Three Story Pre-Northridge Steel Frame Essential Service Facility	56
W. Liu, J. D. Givens, R. Kantikar, and C. Blaney	
Seismic Rehabilitation of an Existing Braced Frame Hospital Building by Direct Replacement with Buckling-Restrained Braces	68
Cale Ash and Stacy Bartoletti	
Seismic Upgrade of a 15-Story Steel Moment Frame Building—Satisfying Performance Criteria with Application of Experimental and Analytical Procedures	75
I. J. Malley, M. Sinclair, T. Graf, C. Blaney, M. Fraynt, C. Uang, J. Newell, and T. Ahmed	

ASCE 41 Case Studies

Evaluation of the ASCE 41 Linear Elastic Procedure for Seismic Retrofit of Existing Structures: Pros and Cons of the Method	85
Luis A. Toranzo-Dianderas	
Immediate Occupancy Seismic Upgrade of an Operating High-Tech Manufacturing Facility	92
M. Lisbeth Blaisdell, Andrew D. Mitchell, and Laurie K. Johnston	
Nonlinear Analysis of Pre-Northridge Steel High-Rise Building Using Modal-Pushover-Based Ground Motion Scaling Procedure	103

Ali Sumer, Ryan A. Kersting, and David A. Hutchinson

Seismic Rehabilitation of Santa Monica Place Mall Based on ASCE 41 114

F. Tasbihgoo, C.-M. Lin, and L. Ho

Seismic Mitigation Program Case Studies

Observations from California's Unreinforced Masonry and Public School Programs and the California Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan 125

Fred Turner and Julie Norris

Risk Based Seismic Evaluation of Pre-1973 Hospital Buildings Using the HAZUS Methodology 137

Chris Tokas and Roy Lobo

Seismic Analysis and Retrofit of Existing Department of Defense Structures in Accordance with the Unified Facilities Criteria 153

Nathan C. Gould, Regan M. Milam, and James R. Snow

The Policy Problem of Non-Ductile Concrete Buildings in Los Angeles: Costly Earthquakes, Uncertain Owners 161

Fynnwin Prager, Jennie Tucker, and Lena Prudence Sneberger

Analysis and Rehabilitation Case Studies 2

Incremental Seismic Rehabilitation and Cost-Benefit Studies

Financial Benefit of Retrofitting Seismic-Risk Buildings with Passive Control Devices 173

Seda Dogruel

Incremental Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings 184

Barry H. Welliver

Integrated Incremental Seismic Rehabilitation: A Practical Approach to Reducing Risk in Existing Vulnerable Buildings 190

D. B. Hattis and F. Krimgold

Preliminary Results of a Cost-Benefit Assessment of Replacing Seismically Vulnerable Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures 201

A. B. Liel and G. G. Deierlein

Roble Hall at Stanford University: A Case Study in the Evolution of Seismic Rehabilitation Standards 212

Bret Lizundia

Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation of School Buildings: An International Perspective

High Performance Fiber Reinforced Concrete Jacketing in a Seismic Retrofitting Application 224

C. Beschi, A. Meda, and P. Riva

Performance-Based Retrofit of School Buildings in British Columbia, Canada	234
Graham Taylor, Carlos E. Ventura, Freddy Pina, and W. D. Liam Finn	
Seismic Assessment on In Situ School Testing in Taiwan Using Methodology of ASCE/ SEI 41-06	246
Tsung-Chih Chiou, Yuan-Tao Weng, Shyh-Jiann Hwang, and Kenneth J. Elwood	
Seismic Risk Reduction for Schools with Stone Slab Roof Systems in Delhi	258
W. T. Holmes, J. E. Rodgers, S. Wij, M. Gupta, H. Kumar, L. T. Tobin, and A. Seth	
Earthquake Performance Assessment and Retrofit of Public Buildings in Istanbul: ISMEP Project	
Development of Guidelines and Effective Retrofit Strategies for Public Schools and Hospitals in Istanbul, Turkey	268
H. Kit Miyamoto, Amir S. J. Gilani, S. B. Erdurmus, and M. E. Akdogan	
Displacement-Based Seismic Rehabilitation of Non-Ductile RC Frames with Added Shear Walls	281
Haluk Sucuoğlu and Can Karageyik	
Improving the Seismic Performance of Existing Structures in Istanbul, Turkey	294
Rafael Alaluf and Craig A. Cole	
Parametric Evaluation of Seismic Retrofitting Techniques Applied to the Public School Buildings in Istanbul	307
C. Tuzun, C. Zulfikar, C. Yenidogan, U. Hancilar, M. E. Akdogan, and M. Erdik	
Performance Comparisons of Seismic Assessment Methods with PSD Test Results of a Deficient RC Frame	319
G. Ozcebe, E. G. Kurt, B. Binici, O. Kurc, E. Canbay, and U. Akpinar	

Analysis and Rehabilitation Case Studies 3

Practical Issues with Retrofit of Soft Story Residential Buildings

Evaluation and Retrofit Provisions for Bay Area Soft Story Woodframe Buildings	331
Stephen K. Harris, David L. McCormick, Stephen T. Bono, and David Bonowitz	
Manufactured, Pre-Engineered Moment Resisting Frames Used in Soft-Story Building Retrofits of Light-Framed Construction	343
Chris Burnett and Paul McEntee	
Recommended Directions for IEBC Appendix Chapter A4: Earthquake Hazard Reduction in Existing Wood-Frame Residential Buildings with Soft, Weak, or Open-Front Walls	350
Kelly E. Cobeen, Gary R. Searer, Terrence F. Paret, and Sigmund A. Freeman	
Soft/ Weak Story Problems and Solutions for Residential Structures	358
Gary R. Searer, Joseph Valancius, and Kelly E. Cobeen	

Seismic Performance and Rehabilitation of Non-Building Structures

Probabilistic Evaluation of Seismic Performance of Vincent Thomas Bridge under Spatially Variable Ground Motions 367

D. Karmakar, S. Ray Chaudhuri, and M. Shinozuka

Seismic Performance Evaluation of Container Cranes 379

S. Ray Chaudhuri, D. Karmakar, U. J. Na, and M. Shinozuka

The Excellent Seismic Performance of Steel Orthotropic Bridges 389

Carl Huang, Alfred R. Mangus, and Craig Copelan

Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation Using Performance-Based Objectives

Fragility Curves for Reinforced Concrete Moment Frames 403

Laura N. Lowes and Jingjuan Li

Performance Based Seismic Retrofit of the Los Angeles Downtown Women's Center Project 415

Saif M. Hussain, Paul Van Benschoten, Silian Lin, and David Johnson

Seismic Assessment of Buildings, Considering Post-Earthquake Safety 427

Karl Telleen, Joe Maffei, and Jay Yin

Suggested Improvements to Guidelines, Standards, and Analysis Procedures 1

Improving ASCE 31 and 41

An Action Plan for Improving the Seismic Performance of Existing Buildings: ATC 71 442

A. T. Merovich

Concept Paper on Utilizing the FEMA P695 (ATC-63) Ground Motion Spectral Shape Guidelines to Adjust the Target Displacement in the ASCE/ SEI 41 Nonlinear Static Procedure 453

C. B. Haselton, C. A. Kircher, and A. B. Liel

Evaluation of Coefficient Method for Seismic Assessment of Existing Buildings Built on Soft Soil Sites 465

Jorge Ruiz-García

Resilience Criteria for Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings: A Proposal to Supplement ASCE 31 for Intermediate Performance Objectives 477

David Bonowitz

Current Experimental and Analytical Research on Existing Reinforced Concrete Columns

Experimental Study on Dynamic Behavior of Multi-Story Reinforced Concrete Frames with Non-Seismic Detailing 489

Soheil Yavari, Kenneth J. Elwood, Shih-Han Lin, Chiun-lin Wu, Shyh-Jiann Hwang, and Jack P. Moehle

Local Deformation Measures for RC Column Shear Failures Leading to Collapse	500
Matthew R. LeBorgne and Wassim M. Ghannoum	
Progressive Collapse Simulation of Reinforced Concrete Buildings Using Column Models with Strength Deterioration after Yielding	512
Toshikazu Kabeyasawa, Toshimi Kabeyasawa, and Yousok Kim	
Response Estimation of Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Columns Subjected to Lateral Loads	524
H. Sezen and M. S. Lodhi	
Simultaneous Shear and Axial Failures of Reinforced Concrete Columns	536
Kurt W. Henkhaus, Julio A. Ramirez, and Santiago Pujol	
Development of Guide for Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Concrete Buildings	
Guide for Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Concrete Buildings: Vision	547
K. J. Elwood	
Guide for Seismic Rehabilitation of Concrete Buildings: Summary of Future Changes	551
H. Sezen, J. Dragovich, W. Ghannoum, L. N. Lowes, S. F. Brena, and K. J. Elwood	
A Practical Model for Beam-Column Connection Behavior in Reinforced Concrete Frames	560
Anna Birely, Laura Lowes, and Dawn Lehman	
Seismic Performance Evaluation of Rehabilitated Reinforced Concrete Columns through Jacketing	572
Sergio F. Breña and Sergio M. Alcocer	
Suggested Improvements to Guidelines, Standards, and Analysis Procedures 2	
All About PMLs	
A Definition Undone: Explicit Estimation of PMLs in the Age of Reliance on Design Ground Motion Records	584
Mahmoud M. Hachem, Terrence F. Paret, and Gary R. Searer	
Report Cards for Buildings: A Proposed Rating System for Earthquake Performance	593
Ron Mayes, Kate Stillwell, Marguerite Bello, Mathew Bittleston, Stephen Bono, David Bonowitz, Evelyn Bravo, Doug Hohbach, David McCormick, Lee Pursell, and Ann Roche	
The Problems with PMLs	605
Gary R. Searer, Terrence F. Paret, Brian E. Kehoe, and Mahmoud M. Hachem	
Improving Acceleration Demands for Acceleration-Sensitive Nonstructural Components in Buildings	
A Comprehensive Study of Floor Acceleration Demands in Multi-Story Buildings	616