#### Infrastructure Resilience Publication No. 3





# Resilience-Based Performance

Next Generation Guidelines for Buildings and Lifeline Standards

**Risk and Resilience Measurement Committee** 



### **Resilience-Based Performance**

Next Generation Guidelines for Buildings and Lifeline Standards

> Prepared by Risk and Resilience Measurement Committee

Sponsored by Infrastructure Resilience Division of the American Society of Civil Engineers



Published by the American Society of Civil Engineers

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: American Society of Civil Engineers. Infrastructure Resilience Division. Risk and Resilience Measurement Committee, editor. | American Society of Civil Engineers. Infrastructure Resilience Division, sponsoring body.

Title: Resilience-based performance : next generation guidelines for buildings and lifeline standards / prepared by Risk and Resilience Measurement Committee ; sponsored by Infrastructure Resilience Division of the American Society of Civil Engineers.

Description: Reston, Virginia : American Society of Civil Engineers, [2019] | Includes bibliographical references.

Identifiers: LCCN 2018061671 | ISBN 9780784415276 (print : alk. paper) | ISBN 9780784482063 (PDF)

Subjects: LCSH: Buildings–Performance–Standards–United States. | Emergency management– Standards–United States. | Community life–United States. | Infrastructure (Economics)– United States.

Classification: LCC TH453 .R47 2019 | DDC 690.02/1873-dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018061671

Published by American Society of Civil Engineers 1801 Alexander Bell Drive Reston, Virginia 20191-4382 www.asce.org/bookstore | ascelibrary.org

Any statements expressed in these materials are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily represent the views of ASCE, which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein. No reference made in this publication to any specific method, product, process, or service constitutes or implies an endorsement, recommendation, or warranty thereof by ASCE. The materials are for general information only and do not represent a standard of ASCE, nor are they intended as a reference in purchase specifications, contracts, regulations, statutes, or any other legal document. ASCE makes no representation or warranty of any kind, whether express or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed in this publication, and assumes no liability therefor. The information contained in these materials should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for any general or specific application. Anyone utilizing such information assumes all liability arising from such use, including but not limited to infringement of any patent or patents.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

*Photocopies and permissions.* Permission to photocopy or reproduce material from ASCE publications can be requested by sending an e-mail to permissions@asce.org or by locating a title in the ASCE Library (http://ascelibrary.org) and using the "Permissions" link.

Errata: Errata, if any, can be found at https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784415276.

Copyright © 2019 by the American Society of Civil Engineers. All Rights Reserved. ISBN 978-0-7844-1527-6 (print) ISBN 978-0-7844-8206-3 (PDF)

Manufactured in the United States of America.

25 24 23 22 21 20 19 1 2 3 4 5

Cover photography by Michael Mieler, used with permission.

## Contents

		ents			
Executiv	/e Sum	mary	ix		
Chapte	r 1: Int	roduction	1		
Chapte		nceptual Framework for Developing Resilience-Based			
2.1		nptions Relevant to the Proposed Framework			
2.2	Types	of Assessment	8		
Chapte		aracterizing a Community and Its Supporting rastructure	11		
3.1		ure of a Community			
3.2		Community Functions			
3.3		orting Infrastructure			
	3.3.1	Buildings and Building Clusters			
	3.3.2	5			
	3.3.3	Interdependence across Building and Lifeline Systems.			
Chapte	r 4: Ha	zard Assessment	23		
4.1	Hazard Sources and Perils				
4.2	Categories and Current Practice for Single Hazards				
4.3	Categ	Categories and Current Practice for Design against			
		Multihazards and Multiple Hazards			
4.4	Hazar	d Characterization			
	4.4.1				
	4.4.2				
		Time-Based Characterization			
4.5	Summ	nary	36		
Chapte	r 5: Me	etrics and Assessment Methods for Community			
	Re	silience	39		
5.1	Measu	uring Community Resilience	39		
5.2		sing Community Resilience			
5.3	Resilie	ence Objectives for Communities	44		

Chapter	6: Me	trics and Assessment Methods for Building and			
	Life	eline Performance	49		
6.1	Buildings				
	6.1.1	Building Codes, Performance Standards and Guidelines.	49		
	6.1.2	Metrics of Building Performance	52		
	6.1.3	Building Cluster Performance Objectives	53		
	6.1.4	Building Performance Assessment Methods	54		
6.2	Energy				
	6.2.1	Metrics	58		
	6.2.2	Assessment Methods and Models	59		
6.3	Transportation				
		Metrics			
	6.3.2	Assessment Methods and Models	63		
6.4	Water and Wastewater				
	6.4.1				
		Assessment Methods and Models			
6.5	Summ	ary	66		
Chapter	7: Su	mmary and Next Steps	75		
7.1	Summ	nary	75		
7.1	Next S	Steps	76		
Append	ix: Glo	ssary of Resilience Terms	79		
Index	ndex				

#### Preface

Since the turn of the century, there has been a marked increase in research, education, and advocacy toward developing strategies for increasing the resilience of communities to natural and man-made hazards. While resilience is defined in many ways, it generally refers to the ability of different social units (individuals, households, organizations, communities, regions and nations) to minimize the effect of, adapt to, and recover from disruptive events. Achieving resilience is a complex problem that must consider and integrate what communities need from their social, economic, natural, and built environments. This work specifically focuses on what enhancements are needed in the design and construction of buildings and lifeline systems to support a community's social stability, economic vitality, and environmental sustainability.

A major challenge in enhancing a community's built environment stems from the current approach used to establish the performance goals of buildings and infrastructure systems. Current code-based standards are primarily focused on individual facilities and are out of sync with the resilience needs of the broader community. The emphasis on life safety and a lack of consideration of the consequences of loss of functionality will result in extensive socioeconomic disruptions and slow recovery after a major hazard event. A new generation of standards is needed, which redefines the current design approach such that it integrates community-level resilience goals with functional recovery-based design standards for individual facilities. It requires a convergent approach that brings together engineers, social scientists, economists, environmentalists, and more.

Building on the framework that was advanced by the National Institute of Standards and Technology's *Resilience Planning Guide for Buildings and Infra-structure*, the Risk and Resilience Measurements Committee (RRMC) of ASCE has embarked on a long-term project to develop the needed, next-generation, resilience-based design standards for buildings and lifeline systems. This book represents a foundation and first step in what promises to be a long and complex transition.

The RRMC is one of four committees within the newly formed ASCE Infrastructure Resilience Division. The division was organized and chartered to advance resilient practices and improve the performance of civil infrastructure and lifeline systems recognizing their interdependent relationships and using risk and uncertainty principles.

Chris Poland and Henry Burton, Co-chairs, RRMC

This page intentionally left blank

### Acknowledgments

This report was prepared by members of the Risk and Resilience Measurements Committee within the ASCE Infrastructure Resilience Division. The primary authors, their affiliations, and specific contributions include the following:

- Henry Burton, Assistant Professor, University of California, Los Angeles: project co-lead and primary author for Chapters 1, 2, 3 and 6
- Chris Poland, Consulting Engineer: project co-lead and primary author for Chapter 7
- Madeleine Flint, Assistant Professor, Virginia Tech: primary author for Chapters 2 and 4
- Michael Mieler, Risk and Resilience Engineer, Arup: primary author for Chapters 3 and 5
- Mehrdad Sasani, Professor, Northeastern University: primary author for Chapters 3, 4 and 6
- Aaron Michel, Senior Research Scientist, Global Parametrics: primary author for Chapter 4
- Hiba Baroud, Assistant Professor, Vanderbilt University: primary author for Chapter 6
- Bilal Ayyub, Professor, University of Maryland: committee chair and project oversight
- Shady Salem, Doctoral Candidate, McMaster University: primary author for Glossary of Resilience Terms (Appendix)

The following individuals are acknowledged for serving as external reviewers and providing invaluable input on the content of the report:

Emin Atkin, Drexel University Sue McNeil, Rutgers University John W. van de Lindt, Colorado State University Craig Davis, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power Therese McAllister, National Institute of Standards and Technology Richard Wright, ASCE IRD Executive Committee Yue Li, Case Western University

Reproductions or modifications to figures 2-2, 2-3, 4-1, 4-2 and 4-3 should acknowledge their author, Madeleine Flint.

This page intentionally left blank

#### **Executive Summary**

Built infrastructure, which includes buildings, building clusters, lifeline systems, and other engineered systems, is critical to the functionality of communities. Through a complex network of interactions and interdependencies, the built environment supports economic, social, cultural, and other types of community development. However, the physical, functional, geo-spatial, and informational interdependencies within the components and systems of the built environment are such that physical damage caused by natural hazard events can have enduring adverse effects on a range of community activities. A community that is resilient to natural hazards can minimize the initial impacts of, adapt to, and quickly recover from a natural hazard event. The performance of built infrastructure under normal operating and extreme loading, and its specific role in supporting normal functioning, are key considerations in assessing and enhancing community resilience. However, current codes and standards generally do not explicitly consider the function of an infrastructure system or component in establishing its desired performance in hazard events. In most cases, the safety of users is the primary (and often, only) criterion.

The primary objective of this book is to create a roadmap for the next generation of resilience-based performance standards and guidelines for the built environment. Central to the notion of resilience-based standards is an explicit link between the performance targets for buildings and lifelines and the high-level resilience goals of the community. A conceptual framework is proposed, which takes on a hierarchical structure, connecting community resilience goals with the performance objectives defined at the infrastructure system scale (e.g., building clusters and lifelines) and the component level (e.g., individual buildings and lifeline components and subsystems). A focused review of the ongoing research and practice toward the development of resilience-based standards is also presented. The specific goals of this review are: to summarize and synthesize the state of research and practice on infrastructure performance and community resilience, to identify the existing tools and methods that are relevant to advancing the proposed conceptual framework, and to highlight future research needs. The review is divided into four thematic areas: characterizing communities and their supporting infrastructure, characterizing hazards, measuring and assessing community resilience, and measuring and assessing infrastructure performance. The main findings from these four areas are summarized in the paragraphs that follow.

The proposed conceptual framework begins with the implementation of a stakeholder-driven process to establish community resilience goals. Performance objectives for "vital functions"—those goods, services, activities, and exchanges that support normal community functioning (e.g., energy, transportation,