Structures Congress 2018

Buildings and Disaster Management





Proceedings of the Structures Congress 2018

- Fort Worth, Texas
- April 19-21, 2018



James Gregory Soules, P.E., S.E., P.Eng.

EDITED BY



STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING INSTITUTE

Structures Congress 2018

Buildings and Disaster Management

SELECTED PAPERS FROM THE STRUCTURES CONGRESS 2018

April 19–21, 2018 Fort Worth, Texas

SPONSORED BY The Structural Engineering Institute (SEI) of the American Society of Civil Engineers

EDITED BY James Gregory Soules, P.E., S.E., P.Eng





Published by the American Society of Civil Engineers

Published by American Society of Civil Engineers 1801 Alexander Bell Drive Reston, Virginia, 20191-4382 www.asce.org/publications | ascelibrary.org

Any statements expressed in these materials are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily represent the views of ASCE, which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein. No reference made in this publication to any specific method, product, process, or service constitutes or implies an endorsement, recommendation, or warranty thereof by ASCE. The materials are for general information only and do not represent a standard of ASCE, nor are they intended as a reference in purchase specifications, contracts, regulations, statutes, or any other legal document. ASCE makes no representation or warranty of any kind, whether express or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed in this publication, and assumes no liability therefor. The information contained in these materials should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for any general or specific application. Anyone utilizing such information assumes all liability arising from such use, including but not limited to infringement of any patent or patents.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Photocopies and permissions. Permission to photocopy or reproduce material from ASCE publications can be requested by sending an e-mail to permissions@asce.org or by locating a title in ASCE's Civil Engineering Database (http://cedb.asce.org) or ASCE Library (http://ascelibrary.org) and using the "Permissions" link.

Errata: Errata, if any, can be found at https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784481325

Copyright © 2018 by the American Society of Civil Engineers. All Rights Reserved. ISBN 978-0-7844-8132-5 (PDF) Manufactured in the United States of America.

Preface

The Structures Congress has a robust technical program focusing on topics important to Structural Engineers.

The papers in the proceeding are on the following topics

- Advances in Structural Engineering Research
- Analysis, Design & Performance
- Avoiding Disproportionate Collapse
- Bridge Analysis, Design and Repair
- Bridge Management, Inspection and Sustainability
- Building Structures- Case Studies & Concepts
- Buildings Special Topics in Structures
- Business and Professional Practice
- Codes and Standards Learn from the Experts
- Design for Lateral Loads/Systems
- Extreme Bridge Loads
- Forensic Investigation
- Long Span Bridges & Vibrations
- Materials- Design & Construction
- Natural Disasters Moving Toward Improved Resilience
- Nonbuilding Structures and Nonstructural Components
- Special Topics in Structures
- Transformation in SE Education

Acknowledgments

Preparation for the Structures Congress required significant time and effort from the members of the National Technical Program Committee, the Local Planning Committee and staff. Much of the success of the conference reflects the dedication and hard work by these volunteers.

The National Technical Program Committee, the Local Planning Committee and staff would like to acknowledge the critical support of the sponsors, exhibitors, presenters, and moderators who contributed to the success of the conference through their participation.

Thank you for spending your valuable time attending the Structures Congress. It is our hope that you and your colleagues will benefit greatly from the information provided, learn things you can implement and make professional connections that last for years.

Sincerely,

J. G. (Greg) Soules, P.E., S.E., P.Eng, SECB, F.SEI, F.ASCE CB&I, LLC Chair, National Technical Program Committee

Contents

Design of Structures with Dampers per ASCE 7-16 and Performance for Large Earthquakes
Amir S. J. Gilani and H. Kit Miyamoto
Approximations in Alternate Load Path Capacity of Welded Unreinforced Flange-Bolted Web Connections
Seismic Evaluation of Dual Steel Frames with Brace Buckling Consideration
Comparative Cost of Structures Using Moderately or Highly Ductile Steel Moment Frames
Investigation of Vehicle Shock Absorbers for Low-Cost Seismic Protection of Structures
Experimental Study of Cyclic Flange Local Buckling49 Tung-Yu Wu, Sherif El-Tawil, and Jason P. McCormick
What Is Your Structural Model Not Telling You? Finding Hidden Modelling Errors and Inaccuracies in Your Analysis Results
Simplified Design Methods for HSS Helicoidal Steel Beams
On the Analytical and Numerical Investigation of 3D Steel Framed Gravity Systems Exposed to Interior Gravity Column Loss
Use of Performance-Based Methods to Evaluate Structural Response to Hurricane Loading
Seismic Performance of Reinforced Concrete Frame Buildings Equipped with Friction Dampers
Efficient Design of Spanning Trusses Guided by Design Diagrams

Structural Optimization for Efficient Bridge Designs: The Prototype for the LAX Pedestrian Bridges
Considerations for Optimizing Design with Respect to Snow—A Case Study of U.S. Bank Stadium
Experimental Study on Long-Span Composite Floor Beams Subject to Fire: Baseline Data at Ambient Temperature
A Unified Mechanical Model for Fire Design of Simple Steel Connections
Design and Construction of Long-Span Composite Beam Specimens for Large Structural-Fire Tests
The Tallest Modular Tower Design Using a Performance Based Approach
Performance-Based Design for Wind-Excited Tall Buildings Equipped with High Performance Control Systems
Application of Statistical Learning Models for Efficient Seismic Risk Assessment of Large Property Portfolios
Structural Peer Review Practice in New York City201 Dan Eschenasy
An Overview of the Changes to AISC 341—Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings
Seismic Collapse Response of a Four Story Steel Special Moment Frame with Deep Columns
Design Optimization of Shear Wall High-Rise Building Structures

Experimental Studies on Channel-Stiffened Steel Plate Shear Walls233 Qiuhong Zhao and Jing Qiu
In-Plane Flexibility of Reinforced Concrete Floor Diaphragms with Openings
Direct Design Method and Design Diagram for Reinforced Concrete Columns and Shear Walls
Reducing Construction Defects and Design Errors in Timber Buildings262 William J. Kirkham
Non-Destructive In-Place Concrete Compressive Strength Estimation Using Post-Installed Concrete Pullout Test—Methodology and Field Experience271 Zhengqi Li, Wesley Bullock, Jigar Desai, and Timothy Clepper
Nonlinear Finite Element Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls with Staggered Openings under Seismic Loads
Rigidity of Metal Plate Connected Truss Joints
Reinforcement of Masonry Vaults for Seismic Loading
Peeling Prevention in Strengthened RC Beams Using End Cover Replacement317 Hesham M. El-Emam, Hani A. Salim, Amal Badawy, and Hossam E. M. Sallam
Evaluation of Transverse Reinforcement Requirements for High-Strength Concrete Columns
Comparison of Structural Details and Energy Demands for Residential Structures with Advanced and Traditional Wood Framing
Vulnerability Assessment of Port Structures Subjected to Storm Surge and Waves
Georgios P. Balomenos and Jamie E. Padgett
Flood Damage Resistant Materials: Reducing Damages Related to Flood Events
Juan Nieves, Edward Laatsch, and Philip Line

Innovative Technologies to Combat Expansive Soils Robert Chase Gooding, Jim Fontaine, and Justin Zuckerbrow	368
Inelastic Displacement Ratios of SSI Systems under Repeated Earthquakes Ertugrul Demirol, Argyrios Fragkos, Sinthujan Arulanantham, and Ashraf Ayoub	379
Comparative Assessment of Soil-Structure Interaction Regulations of ASCE 7-16 and ASCE 7-10	388
Farid Khosravikia, Mojtaba Mahsuli, and Mohammad Ali Ghannad	
Foundation Retrofit of Three Structures Utilizing Micropiles	400

Design of Structures with Dampers per ASCE 7-16 and Performance for Large Earthquakes

Amir S. J. Gilani¹ and H. Kit Miyamoto²

¹Manager Earthquake Engineering, Miyamoto International, Inc., 1450 Halyard Dr, West Sacramento, CA 95691. E-mail: agilani@miyamotointernational.com ²President, Miyamoto International, Inc., 707 Wilshire Blvd. Suite #5100 Los Angeles, CA 90017. E-mail: kit@miyamotointernational.com

ABSTRACT

An impediment to the use of seismic protection devices has been the difficulty for practicing engineers to design buildings with isolation system or damping devices. ASCE/SEI task committees charged with development of new generation of codes for seismic design and retrofit of buildings have updated the relevant code sections with one goal being to encourage the use of such devices. An effort was undertaken to develop a step-by-step design guideline for such design. Following the preparation of guideline, incremental analysis of four steel SMF building models was undertaken. The benchmark model was designed using the strength and drift requirements of ASCE 7-16. The other models were based on provisions of Chapter 18 of ASCE 7-16. For one model the lower base shear value was used, and for a third model, the drift ratios were further limited to obtain enhanced performance. Lower- and upper-bound analyses as required by ASCE 7-16 were conducted to size the dampers. The models were then subjected to incremental nonlinear analysis and key response parameters were evaluated. In all cases, the use of dampers resulted in reduction in the hinging of SMF members. It was notes that the best performing model was the model designed for 100% of nominal base shear and above minimum effective damping had superior performance, remaining elastic at design earthquake, and having almost no residual displacement at very large earthquakes.

INTRODUCTION

Overview: Fluid viscous dampers (FVDs) were originally developed as shock absorbers for the defense and aerospace industries. FVDs consist of a cylinder and a stainless steel piston. The cylinder is filled with incompressible silicone fluid. The damper is activated by the flow of silicone fluid between chambers at opposite ends of the unit, through small orifices. Figure 1 shows the damper cross section. In recent years, they have been used extensively for seismic application for both new and retrofit construction. During seismic events, the devices become active and the seismic input energy is used to heat the fluid and is thusly dissipated. Subsequent to installation, the dampers require minimal maintenance. They have been shown to possess stable and dependable properties for design earthquakes. Figure 2 shows the diagonal dampers placed in a reinforced concrete moment frame building.



Figure 1. FVD cross section (Taylor 2017)



Figure 2. Diagonal FVD in a building

The combination of fluid viscous dampers and steel or reinforced concrete special moment resisting frames (SMF) provide an attractive option for the design of new buildings in the regions of high seismicity. The resulting building is a highly damped, low-frequency building that limits seismic demand on structural and nonstructural components. FVDs can be incorporated into new construction to produce large equivalent viscous damping thus reduce the demand on the structural system.

The main advantage of this design is the reduction in the steel or concrete tonnage. Since the design of SMF is generally governed by the story drift ratios (SDRs), larger steel or concrete sizes would be required to meet this requirement. However, since in this design, FVDs are used to control SDR, smaller member sizes can be used, and this saving in material would compensate for the cost of the dampers.

ASCE 7-16 design procedure: The general approach is to design the SMF members for the strength requirements of the building code only. Such building would then meet all the relevant requirements of ASCE (2016) except the limitations for the SDRs. FVDs are then added to design to reduce the SDRs and provide compliance with all the code requirements. Since the force in FVDs is primarily out-of-phase with the inertial forces, the demand on the existing members of foundation is not significantly increased. However, a second design check for the model with the dampers in necessary to assure that the design is still satisfactory.

The provisions in the ASCE 7 (2016) provide information on the bounding analysis. For viscous dampers it is anticipated that the property modification factors (λ factors) to be in the range of +/-15%. The upper bound analysis would govern the requirement for the damper force, whereas the lower bound analysis will determine the damper constant necessary to meet the SDR requirements.

When a building is designed according to the Chapter 18 of ASCE 7-16, it is permissible to reduce the base shear demand to as low as 75% of the computed demand to account for the beneficial effect of supplementary damping. The effect of this reduction in strength on the response of the structure to large earthquakes is not well known.

Additionally, currently there are no provisions on the minimum effective damping to be added as part of the design process. Research (Miyamoto and Gilani 2015) has shown that enhanced performance with a reduced SDR can be archived for the design by using larger dampers. While the larger (or more) dampers will add slightly to the initial cost, both the seismic performance and the life-cycle cost are significantly improved.

In this paper, analytical investigation of an example steel SMF with dampers is presented. The models were designed per ASCE 7-16 for the design earthquake (DE) and then subjected to larger earthquake and key responses and level of expected damage (assumed correlated to the plastic hinging and plastic hinge rotations) was investigated. Table 1 summarizes the ley