GEOTECHNICAL SPECIAL PUBLICATION NO. 252

EARTHWORK PROJECT MANAGEMENT, SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS, AND WAVE-BASED TESTING TECHNIQUES

SELECTED PAPERS FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE GEO-HUBEI 2014 INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SUSTAINABLE INFRASTRUCTURE

> July 20-22, 2014 Yichang, Hubei, China

SPONSORED BY The Geo-Institute of the American Society of Civil Engineers

> EDITED BY Shen-En Chen, Ph.D., P.E. Dave Ta-Teh Chang, Ph.D. Yu-Lin Lee, Ph.D.





Published by the American Society of Civil Engineers

Published by American Society of Civil Engineers 1801 Alexander Bell Drive Reston, Virginia, 20191-4382 www.asce.org/bookstore | ascelibrary.org

Any statements expressed in these materials are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily represent the views of ASCE, which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein. No reference made in this publication to any specific method, product, process, or service constitutes or implies an endorsement, recommendation, or warranty thereof by ASCE. The materials are for general information only and do not represent a standard of ASCE, nor are they intended as a reference in purchase specifications, contracts, regulations, statutes, or any other legal document. ASCE makes no representation or warranty of any kind, whether express or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed in this publication, and assumes no liability therefor. The information contained in these materials should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for any general or specific application. Anyone utilizing such information assumes all liability arising from such use, including but not limited to infringement of any patent or patents.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers-Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Photocopies and permissions. Permission to photocopy or reproduce material from ASCE publications can be requested by sending an e-mail to permissions@asce.org or by locating a title in ASCE's Civil Engineering Database (http://cedb.asce.org) or ASCE Library (http://ascelibrary.org) and using the "Permissions" link.

Errata: Errata, if any, can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/9780784478523

Copyright © 2014 by the American Society of Civil Engineers. All Rights Reserved. ISBN 978-0-7844-1359-3 (CD) ISBN 978-0-7844-7852-3 (E-book PDF) Manufactured in the United States of America.

Preface

Earthwork projects are critical components in civil construction and often require detailed management techniques and unique solution methods to address failures. Being earth bound, earthwork is influenced by geomaterial properties at the onset of a project. Hence, an understanding of the in-situ soil properties is essential.

Slope stability is a common problem facing earthwork construction, such as excavations and shored structures. Analytical methods for slope stability remain critical for researchers due to the mechanical complexity of the system.

Striving for better earthwork project managements, the geotechnical engineering community continues to find improved testing techniques for determining sensitive properties of soil and rock, including stress-wave based, non-destructive testing methods. To minimize failure during earthwork construction, past case studies and data may reveal useful lessons and information to improve project management and minimize economic losses.

This Geotechnical Special Publication contains papers that were accepted and presented at the GeoHubei 2014 International Conference on Sustainable Civil Infrastructures: Innovative Technologies and Materials, held in Yichang, Hubei, China, July 20 to 22, 2014. The three major topics covered are:

- Earthwork project management;
- Advanced stability analysis techniques; and
- Wave-based nondestructive soil testing methods.

The editors would like to thank the many individuals who assisted in reviewing the abstracts and papers. Their efforts ensured the papers contained within this publication were of the utmost quality.

The following reviewers assisted ably in the review process for the papers in this GSP and their contribution is acknowledged:

Dave Ta Teh Chang, Chung Yuan Christian University, Taiwan Shen-En Chen, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA Yu-Lin Lee, Chung Hua University, Taiwan Yangguang Liu, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA Benjamin H. Smith, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA Jianming Song, Xiamen University of Technology, China Peng Wang, China University of Mining Technology, China

Table of Contents

Earthwork Project Management

Use of DM Techniques in Earthworks Management: A Case Study				
M. Parente, A. Gomes Correia, and P. Cortez				
Studies on Stress-Strain Features of Structured Granite Residual Soil	9			
Xiaowen Zhou, Lingwei Chen, and Qiang Li				
Surrounding Rock Classification in Advance Based on Digital Drilling	16			
Technology and GA-SVM				
Daohong Qiu, Shucai Li, Yiguo Xue, and Maowang Yan				

Wave-Based Nondestructive Soil Testing Methods

Techniques	
Advanced Slope Stability Analysis	
Dar Hao Chen and Li Kun	
Using Electromagnetic Waves to Detect Subsurface Voids	32
J. Martins and A. Gomes Correia	
Stiffness	
Laboratory and Field Mechanical Wave Measurements to Evaluate Material	24

Stability Analysis of Soil Nailing Supporting Structure Based on System 3	;9
Failure Probability Method	
Jian Liu, Ke Shang, and Xing Wu	
Numerical Analysis of Slopes with Rock-Soil Mixtures under Rainfall and 4	8
Underground Water Seepage	

Fangcai Zhu, Yue Yang, and Chunming Chen

Identification and Dynamic Stability Analysis of Engineering Rock Mass				
Equivalent Model				
Wei-jian Yu, Xin-yuan Chen, and Pingyuan Ma				
Earth Pressure Distribution for Deep Excavations in Gravel Formations	64			
Sung-Chi Hsu, Yu-Ping Huang, and Tao-Ming Cheng				
Probabilistic Slope Stability Analysis Using Morgenstern-Price Method	73			
Tao Bai, Xiong Tao, and Deyu Zhang				
A Parametric Study on Shoring Structures with Multi-Row Anchors in	81			
Layered Soil				

Hadi Khabbaz, Eric X. Lin, and Behzad Fatahi

Use of DM techniques in earthworks management: a case study

M. Parente¹, A. Gomes Correia², P. Cortez³

¹ PhD Student; Centre for Territory, Environment and Construction; University of Minho – School of Engineering; 4800-058, Guimarães, Portugal; map@civil.uminho.pt

² Full Professor; Centre for Territory, Environment and Construction; University of Minho – School of Engineering; 4800-058, Guimarães, Portugal; agc@civil.uminho.pt

³Associate Professor; ALGORITMI Research Centre; University of Minho – School of Engineering; 4800-058, Guimarães, Portugal; pcortez@dsi.uminho.pt

ABSTRACT: In most transportation infrastructure projects, earthworks are generally associated with the highest percentage costs and durations. Since these tasks are reliant on heavy machinery and repetitive tasks, they are strongly susceptible to optimization. This paper presents a study based on the application of artificial neural networks (ANN) on data originated from a real earthwork construction project. Results show a good adjustment to the data, while emphasizing the importance of optimal equipment allocation throughout the construction site. Finally, the architecture of an intelligent earthwork optimization system is presented, combining both data mining (DM) and modern optimization in earthwork projects.

INTRODUCTION

In most transportation infrastructure projects, earthworks tasks such as excavation, transportation, spreading and compaction are generally associated with the highest percentage costs and durations. The complexity of these tasks can be split between combinations of repetitive activities, strongly based on the use of mechanical equipment. These activities are susceptible to optimization depending on a large number of factors, including available equipment, material types and weather conditions, among others. Even though productivity, efficiency and safety in construction are increasingly demanding in any construction environment, the planning and execution of earthworks tasks are mostly based on the accumulated experience of experts or otherwise compiled in country-specific guides.

With the recent advances in automation and data collection technology in Civil Engineering, large databases of construction records gradually become available. This includes data related to earthwork design and construction, such as construction techniques, cost and/or duration of construction processes or existent materials. In earthwork construction context, this data are especially associated with the knowledge of the construction layout and the volumes of excavated and transported soil, as well as the volume of that material used in embankment construction. Moreover, the growing development of the technology used in earthwork equipment enhances this data with information regarding various elements, including equipment positioning over time and continuous measurement of material compaction level or bearing capacity. In this context, Data Mining (DM) is the name given to the process

of analyzing raw data (often vast databases, with complex relationships), searching for patterns and tendencies in the data, aiming to extract useful knowledge (e.g. rules) for the domain user. Guided by domain knowledge and under a semiautomated process that uses computational tools, DM is an iterative and interactive process. DM includes machine learning techniques such as multiple regressions (MR), artificial neural networks (ANN) (Haykin 1999) and support vector machines (SVM) (Hearst 1998). These techniques are capable of automatically analysing complex relationships in the data, turning them into knowledge which can be used to predict future performances in new environments and for a better understanding of the problem domain variable relationships.

This paper presents a study based on the application of ANN in an earthwork construction database. The DM algorithms have been tested in order to achieve the best possible adjustment to the data. Results show the weight of each earthwork construction process and its influence on the production line, as well as on the final construction rate. These also emphasize the importance of optimization in terms of resource distribution in the production line of each work front. Finally, the architecture of an Intelligent Earthwork Optimization System based on technologies such as artificial intelligence, DM, geographic information systems (GIS) and modern optimization is presented, capable of automatically performing the referred optimization process.

DATA MINING IN EARTHWORK CONSTRUCTION

ANN in Earthwork Construction

DM represents the overall process of discovering useful knowledge from data. This process that involves several steps, such as selection, pre-processing and processing of data, application of DM algorithms, interpretation and processing of knowledge (Fayyad et al. 1996). In this context, the application of DM algorithms refers to the process of analyzing large databases for patterns and trends in data in order to infer trends and rules among the variables, being able to handle large volumes of data in a short time and transforming them into knowledge. The rapid development of these methodologies can be traced to the increasing emergence of electronic data management methods, having successfully been applied to several different areas (Gomes Correia et al. 2012; Liao et al. 2012), including engineering, marketing, health care or manufacturing and production. It is also often framed in the context of a methodology, such as CRISP-DM (Cross Industry Standard Process for Data Mining) (Chapman et al. 2000), a highly accepted tool-neutral methodology, becoming easier to understand, implement and analyze (Fig.1).



FIG. 1. CRISP-DM methodology, adapted from (Chapman et al. 2000)

Successful DM applications in earthwork construction comprise the use of different DM techniques to predict variables related to execution times and costs. In this context, DM techniques, such as MR (Edwards and Griffiths 2000) and ANN (Hola and Schabowicz 2010; Schabowicz and Hoła 2008; Shi 1999; Tam et al. 2002), have been used to predict productivity or several parts and tasks of the earthwork process, such as excavator and hauling processes. In a different perspective, Marques et al. (2008) carried out a comparison between the predictive effectiveness of different DM techniques, including MR, ANN and SVM, applied to the compaction data present in the *Guide des Térrasements Routiers* (GTR) compaction guide (SETRA and LCPC 1992), a broadly used reference for supporting the design of compaction processes.

In all of these studies, it is evident that the selection and allocation of earthworks equipment has a major impact in execution times and costs, where equipment productivity is a key factor. Since it is well established that productivity depends not only on equipment specifications, but also their allocation and work conditions, DM becomes an essential tool to analyse the complex relationships between the factors that affect it. Whereas nowadays the equipment productivity during design phase is subject to a rough estimate based on the experience of the engineer, DM models can be used during this phase to accurately predict the real productivity of equipment for each potential setup, ultimately allowing for an optimal allocation of resources.

Earthworks database

Generally, DM applications on earthwork data are based on the learning and predictive capabilities of AI algorithms. In fact, these features have great potential for engineering applications, considering that the subsequently gained experience by DM models can then used as a basis in new construction projects. Thus, DM earthwork models rely on the existence of databases to which the learning algorithms are applied, while their outcome is limited to the type of present data and the experience gained. Thus, the availability of proper data becomes essential for successfully building, training and testing DM algorithms, such as ANN and SVM, in earthwork construction.

In this work, a database devised from the earthworks of a Portuguese road construction site was used for that purpose. The data regards the activities of earthwork equipment throughout 6 months of construction phase, featuring around 1250 entries (after data preparation) with information on date, work hours, atmospheric conditions, number and distance of load trips and resource types, as depicted in Table 1.

Work	Atm.	Nr of	Excavator	Load	Unload	Resource	Transp.
Hrs.	Cond.	Load	Registry	Zone	Zone	Туре	Volume
7	Rain			7+850	8+625	Excavator50T	
3	Rain			7+850	8+625	Roller15T	
9	Sun	37	20/871	13+750	12+250	Dumper40T	481
9	Sun	39	20/871	13+750	12+250	Dumper50T	634
9	Sun			13+750	12+250	Tractor40T	

 Table 1 Values extracted from the earthwork construction database

Results and Discussion

As previously stated, a DM model is dependent on the available data. As such, in order to achieve an ideal model with predictive capabilities for a specific target variable, it should be built using all the variables with some degree of influence on the value of the target variable. However, data regarding those variables is not complete or even available in most cases. Moreover, an exceedingly high number of variables will generate too much complexity regarding the search of relations and patterns amongst variables, often lowering the model's predictive capability.

In this work, one of the main purposes for the application of DM algorithms to earthwork data was the creation of a model with predictive capabilities regarding the final construction rate. Considering the traditional earthwork construction sequence, the final construction rate corresponds to the rate of the production line itself, with emphasis on the final rate of compaction equipment determined while taking the rates of excavation, transport and spreading equipment rates. Yet, as a consequence of the high number of variables with influence on each process comprising the earthwork production line, building a single DM model targeting the rate of compaction equipment would neither be efficient nor effective, since it would not display a suitable predictive ability. Instead, two sequential models were developed, the first one targeting the prediction of the daily number of load operations using excavation and transportation teams, which was then used as an input for the second model regarding the rate of spreading and compaction teams. Note that, since the prediction of the number of loads is based on real construction data, it already takes into account the durations associated with loading, hauling and return trip. The same occurs with the prediction of final compaction rate, since every associated task, such as spreading or controlling the layer water content, is inherently being taken into account. Figure 2 shows the obtained model results for the target variables, as well as the training variables used to predict them and their relative importance.

Model assessment was mainly based on the value of the error defining the degree of learning of a given model, as well as the correlation between the observed and the predicted values (Hastie et al. 2009). Two metrics were used: root mean squared error (RMSE) and correlation coefficient (R^2):

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y - \hat{y})^2}{N}}; \quad R^2 = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y - \bar{y}) \times (\hat{y} - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y - \bar{y})^2 \times \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{y} - \bar{y})^2}}\right)^2 \tag{1}$$

where

- y is the computed network output vector,
- \hat{y} is the target output vector, and
- *N* is the number of samples in the database.

Results were obtained using the rminer package for the R tool (Cortez 2010). The developed models feature RMSE and R^2 values equal to 8.325 and 0.855 for the first model (number of loads by transportation equipment), and 26.377 and 0.980 for the second model (compaction rate), respectively. Moreover, none of the models showed a mean absolute deviation above 12%. These values were deemed adequate seeing as the data originates from a real construction environment.



FIG. 1 Relation between values yielded by prediction models and the observed values (above), including relative importance (%) of variables for each model (below) for: a.) Number of transport loads, b.) Compaction rate

The analysis of Figure 2b.) shows that the number of loads from transportation equipment as predicted by the corresponding model is the main factor influencing the prediction of final compaction rate. In other words, the daily work rate of the production line up to the compaction process is the main factor affecting the increase or decrease of the final compaction rate.

In order to support the confirmation of this approach, the developed sequential models were used to determine the compaction rate of a virtual construction site with similar characteristics of the original one, but with different excavation and transportation teams (spreading and compaction teams as well as compaction fronts were fixed, so as to facilitate the deductions made from the devised test). In the new distribution, the same equipment used in the original setup was redistributed throughout the same excavation areas and hauling trajectories, altering the excavation-hauling teams locally, but keeping the exact same active equipment fleet globally. Results showed a decrease of approximately 15% in the final compaction rate corresponding to the new equipment distribution. The compaction values found in the GTR compaction guide were used as a reference to control the maximum predicted compaction rates. This decrease could be interpreted by bearing in mind that there is a limit to the maximum rate of a specific compaction team, which is likely being exceeded in some work fronts for the current equipment distribution, while other work fronts are not receiving enough compaction material to achieve a satisfactory compaction rate. Thus, the compactors at the end of the production line