Structures Congress 2017

Bridges and Transportation Structures



Selected Papers from the Structures Congress 2017 Denver, Colorado April 6–8, 2017



EDITED BY J. G. (Greg) Soules, P.E., S.E., P.Eng

This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.



STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING INSTITUTE

Structures Congress 2017 Bridges and Transportation Structures

SELECTED PAPERS FROM THE STRUCTURES CONGRESS 2017

April 6–8, 2017 Denver, Colorado

SPONSORED BY The Structural Engineering Institute (SEI) of the American Society of Civil Engineers

EDITED BY J. G. (Greg) Soules, P.E., S.E., P.Eng





Published by the American Society of Civil Engineers

Published by American Society of Civil Engineers 1801 Alexander Bell Drive Reston, Virginia, 20191-4382 www.asce.org/publications | ascelibrary.org

Any statements expressed in these materials are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily represent the views of ASCE, which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein. No reference made in this publication to any specific method, product, process, or service constitutes or implies an endorsement, recommendation, or warranty thereof by ASCE. The materials are for general information only and do not represent a standard of ASCE, nor are they intended as a reference in purchase specifications, contracts, regulations, statutes, or any other legal document. ASCE makes no representation or warranty of any kind, whether express or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed in this publication, and assumes no liability therefor. The information contained in these materials should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for any general or specific application. Anyone utilizing such information assumes all liability arising from such use, including but not limited to infringement of any patent or patents.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Photocopies and permissions. Permission to photocopy or reproduce material from ASCE publications can be requested by sending an e-mail to permissions@asce.org or by locating a title in ASCE's Civil Engineering Database (http://cedb.asce.org) or ASCE Library (http://ascelibrary.org) and using the "Permissions" link.

Errata: Errata, if any, can be found at https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784480403

Copyright © 2017 by the American Society of Civil Engineers. All Rights Reserved. ISBN 978-0-7844-8040-3 (PDF) Manufactured in the United States of America.

Preface

The Structures Congress has a robust technical program focusing on topics important to Structural Engineers.

The papers in the proceeding are organized in 4 volumes

Volume 1 includes papers on Blast and Impact Loading and Response of Structures Volume 2 includes papers on Bridges and Transportation Structures Volume 3 includes papers on Buildings and Nonbuilding and Special Structures Volume 4 includes papers on Other Structural Engineering Topics including; Business and Professional Practice, Natural Disasters, Nonstructural Systems and Components, Education, Research, and Forensics

Acknowledgments

Preparation for the Structures Congress required significant time and effort from the members of the National Technical Program Committee, the Local Planning Committee. Much of the success of the conference reflects the dedication and hard work by these volunteers.

We would like to thank GEICO and Pearl for Sponsoring the Congress proceedings and supporting the Structures Congress in such a generous way.



The Joint Program Committee would like to acknowledge the critical support of the sponsors, exhibitors, presenters, and moderators who contributed to the success of the conference through their participation.

On behalf of our dedicated volunteers and staff, we would like to thank you for spending your valuable time attending the Structures Congress. It is our hope that you and your colleagues will benefit greatly from the information provided, learn things you can implement and make professional connections that last for years.

Sincerely,

J. Greg Soules, P.E., S.E., P.Eng, SECB, F.SEI, F.ASCE

iii

Contents

Bridges and Transportation Structures

Feeding System of the Segments in the Main Span for the New Champlain Cable-Stayed Bridge118
Gonzalo Osborne, Taner Aydogmus, and Jeff Rogerson
Experimental and Analytical Investigation of the Reynolds Number Effect on Wind Forces for Multi-Girder Bridges
Retrofitting Distortion-Induced Fatigue in Skewed Girders to Cross-Frame Connections
Redundancy and Fracture Resilience of Built-Up Steel Girders
Impact Factor Determination for High-Speed Rail Bridges175 Andrew R. Kimmle and Carlos G. Matos
Fanny Appleton Pedestrian Bridge: From Base Technical Concept toFinal Design188W. R. Goulet and M. C. Barth
Investigation into a Simplified Dynamic Analysis for Simple Span High-Speed Rail Structures
A Methodology for the Dynamic Analysis of Railway Bridges Subjected to Vehicular Loads
A Computational Framework for the Aerodynamic Shape Optimization of Long-Span Bridge Decks
Proposed Framework for the Performance-Based Seismic Design of Highway Bridges
Enhancing the Modeling of UHPC Connections Subjected to Fatigue Loading for Modular Concrete Bridge Deck Design254 Mi G. Chorzepa and Amin Yaghoobi
Infrastructure Recovery for Resilience Quantification

Pattern Recognition in the National Bridge Inventory for AutomatedScreening and the Assessment of InfrastructureMohamad Alipour, Devin K. Harris, and Laura E. Barnes
Influence of Horizontal Curvature on the Shear Resistance of Steel Plate Girders with Slender Webs
A Railroad Perspective on Bridge Measurement and Monitoring Systems302 Duane Otter, John F. Unsworth, and James N. Carter Jr.
Repairing Distortion-Induced Fatigue Cracking in a SeismicallyRetrofitted Steel Bridge: Field TestMehdi Motaleb, Nick Duong, Will Lindquist, and Riyadh Hindi
Comparison of Models for the Design of Portal Frame Bridges with Regard to Restraint Forces
Behavior of FRP Retrofitted Bridge Timber Piles under Earthquake and Tsunami Loading
A Tunnel Grows in Brooklyn: How an Innovative Portal Structure Minimized Impacts on Bustling Atlantic Avenue and Long Island Rail Road Operations in NYC
Rapid Seismic Repair of Severely Damaged Reinforced ConcreteBridge PiersBruo-Yang Wu and Chris P. Pantelides
Experimental Evaluation and Development of a Self-Centering Friction Damping Brace
Behavior of Retrofitted UHPC Beams Using Carbon Fiber Composites under Impact Loads
Strength, Ductility, and Prestress Losses of Unbonded Post-Tensioning Strands in Self-Centering Structures

Evaluation of Bond Strength for AFRP Reinforcing Bars in Columns with Self-Consolidating Concrete

Emmanuel Chinaka¹; Mehdi Shokouhian²; Steve Efe³; and Monique Head⁴

 ¹CBEIS 232, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Morgan State Univ., 1700 E. Cold Spring Ln., Baltimore, MD 21251. E-mail: <u>emchi1@morgan.edu</u>
²CBEIS 205, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Morgan State Univ., 1700 E. Cold Spring Ln., Baltimore, MD 21251. E-mail: <u>mehdi.shokouhian@morgan.edu</u>
³CBEIS 233, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Morgan State Univ., 1700 E. Cold Spring Ln.,

Baltimore, MD 21251. E-mail: <u>steve.efe@morgan.edu</u> ⁴CBEIS 209, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Morgan State Univ., 1700 E. Cold Spring Ln.,

Baltimore, MD 21251. E-mail: monique.head@morgan.edu

Abstract

Previous research and design codes have focused on developing equations, mostly empirical based on experimental studies, to determine the bond strength of steel bars with different types of concrete. However, limited studies have been conducted on FRP bars particularly embedded in Self-Consolidating Concrete (SCC), but very few using Aramid Fiber Reinforced Polymers (AFRP) bars. This investigation aims to study the influence of two main parameters: 1) superplasticizer dosage and 2) water to cement ratio on the bond strength and bond slip model of the AFRP bars and SCC. Results show a significant effect of superplasticizer on the bond strength of AFRP bars embedded within SCC. Moreover, the effect of the w/c ratio is quantified and its correlation with bond strength is presented. The slippage of the AFRP bars embedded in the concrete was accurately measured to determine a precise bond-slip model that is compared to conventional concrete performance.

1. Introduction

SCC is a type of concrete that was developed and introduced in Japan in the late 1980s by Professor Hajime Okamura (Zia, et al., 2005). SCC has the ability to flow using its self-weight without the need for vibration. This idea and concept were motivated by the lack of workers needed for construction. In the 1990s, the United States began implementing SCC for infrastructure. In North America and other places in the world, SCC has been used for substructure repair such as bridge repair. The annual cost of concrete repairs in North America is about \$20 billion, and a significant amount of the money is spent on bridge substructure repairs (Orangun, et al., 1977). The use of SCC is becoming more widespread due to its high flow ability and durability. The use of SCC allows for a reduction in labor and mechanical vibration, and better construction environment due to the elimination of the noise. Studies have been conducted to understand the movement and flow of SCC, while also understanding the components that will affect it. The reduction of labor costs, better self-leveling, and elimination of consolidation noise on job sites is a major reason why the use of SCC is widely growing in precast construction (Ghafoori, et al., (2014)- (Kassimi, et al., 2014). A great amount of research and understanding must go into SCC, considering the benefits that are associated with it in order to attain full potential of this material (Gibb, et al., 2012). The main additive in SCC, which makes it self-consolidating is superplasticizer (SP). This additive allows a reduction in the water to cement ratio of the concrete that in return will increase the compressive strength, and allow for

great workability of the concrete. Given the demand to use corrosion-resistant bars in the reinforced concrete members, coupled with the advantages of SCC, this research explores the bond strength of aramid fiber reinforced polymer (AFRP) bars when used in conjunction with SCC. In addition to further understanding the bond behavior of the bar and the concrete during loading, a bond slip model can display the movement and actual bonding of the bar and concrete. Perfect bonding is something that is assumed in most numerical studies of FRP reinforced concrete structures which results in non-realistic and imprecise predictions of the behavior of the structure (Lin & Zhang, 2013). Giving that the amount of data on the bond slip of FRP bars and SCC is limited, it is important that data is acquired in order to obtain an understanding and to ensure adequate bonding behavior. As previously stated, there is a lack of experimental data to express the bonding behavior between SCC and the AFRP bars for structural concrete design. Therefore, this study will provide more data concerning this concept through a series of pullout tests using AFRP bars in SCC to understand the effects of the superplasticizer and water cement ratio on the bond strength and bond slip model.

2. Previous research

Separately research on bond behavior of FRP bars and SCC is a topic which is becoming more frequent, but information of AFRP bars embedded in SCC is very limited. Research was done to show the effects of superplasticizer on the steel-concrete bond strength, (Brettmann, et al., 1986). Several different variables were taken into account in this research. The degree of consolidation and the slump of the concrete were key variables within this research. In addition, the concrete temperature and the placement of the bars were investigated as well. Result showed that high slump concrete had a higher bond strength than the concrete with low slump. Results showed that vibration on the concrete had a positive effect on the bond strength of the FRP bars and the concrete.

Testing was conducted where steel and GFRP bars were studied to show how bleeding, statistical and dynamical segregation had an effect on the bond between the bars and SCC. The bond behavior of SCC was compared to that of normal concrete (Golafshani, et al., 2014). The results given by this test showed that the bond behavior for suitable adhesion treatment of steel bars is higher than that of GFRP bars. As well, reducing the water to cement ratio and substituting it with a high powder material decreases the bond strength variations.

Data shows that AFRP bars have a higher tensile strength, elastic modulus and ultimate strain compared to that of other FRP bars. From the AFRP bars that were tested, results showed that it had a bond strength ranging from 1724–2537 MPa, elastic modulus ranging from 41–125 GPa and an ultimate strain ranging from 1.9-4.4%. These values obtain are shown to be much greater than that of Glass fiber reinforcing polymers (GFRP) bars (Kocaoz, et al., 2015).

Research was performed that further looked into the bond behavior of AFRP and CFRP (Aramid and Carbon) bars and normal concrete, (Lee, et al., 2013). This research aims to investigate how the physical characteristic such as the bar diameter and the embedment length of the AFRP and CFRP bar effect the bond behavior. This research states that an increase in embedment length and bar diameter have negative effect on the bond strength of the bar and the concrete.

Data on the bond slip of AFRP bars is something that is very limited and rare compared to that of steel bars. 30 pullout tests on GFRP bars and normal strength concrete were performed (Tastani, et al., 2005). The bar roughness and the diameter of the bar were parameter considered inside of this experiment. Results showed that the bond slip curve had a stiffer response with a lower bond stress with the smoother bar surfaces.