



Sustainable Human–Building Ecosystems



EDITED BY Yimin Zhu, Ph.D.
Khee Poh Lam, Ph.D.
Yong Tao, Ph.D.



ARCHITECTURAL
ENGINEERING
INSTITUTE

This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

SUSTAINABLE HUMAN–BUILDING ECOSYSTEMS

SELECTED PAPERS FROM THE FIRST INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM
ON SUSTAINABLE HUMAN–BUILDING ECOSYSTEMS

October 5-6, 2015
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

SPONSORED BY

Research Coordination Network on Sustainable Human–Building
Ecosystems of the University of North Texas

The Architectural Engineering Institute
of the American Society of Civil Engineers

EDITED BY
Yimin Zhu, Ph.D.
Khee Poh Lam, Ph.D.
Yong Tao, Ph.D.



Published by the American Society of Civil Engineers

This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

Published by American Society of Civil Engineers
1801 Alexander Bell Drive
Reston, Virginia, 20191-4382
www.asce.org/publications | ascelibrary.org

Any statements expressed in these materials are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily represent the views of ASCE, which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein. No reference made in this publication to any specific method, product, process, or service constitutes or implies an endorsement, recommendation, or warranty thereof by ASCE. The materials are for general information only and do not represent a standard of ASCE, nor are they intended as a reference in purchase specifications, contracts, regulations, statutes, or any other legal document. ASCE makes no representation or warranty of any kind, whether express or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed in this publication, and assumes no liability therefor. The information contained in these materials should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for any general or specific application. Anyone utilizing such information assumes all liability arising from such use, including but not limited to infringement of any patent or patents.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Photocopies and permissions. Permission to photocopy or reproduce material from ASCE publications can be requested by sending an e-mail to permissions@asce.org or by locating a title in ASCE's Civil Engineering Database (<http://cedb.asce.org>) or ASCE Library (<http://ascelibrary.org>) and using the "Permissions" link.

Errata: Errata, if any, can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/9780784479681>

Copyright © 2015 by the American Society of Civil Engineers.
All Rights Reserved.
ISBN 978-0-7844-7968-1 (PDF)
Manufactured in the United States of America.

This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.

Preface

Welcome to the First International Symposium on Sustainable Human–Building Ecosystems!

For decades, a significant amount of research effort has been directed towards developing engineering, economic, and policy approaches to better design and maintain energy efficient buildings. Until recently, many have realized that occupant behavior is another missing piece of the equation. As the research community is quickly growing, we need a venue where researchers can share cutting edge findings in the integration of human behavioral, social and economic sciences with building design, engineering and metrology for better understanding building energy performance, environmental impacts and occupant comfort.

We received over 60 abstracts and 25 high quality papers have been accepted and included in the proceedings. The abstracts and final papers were peer-reviewed. The proceedings cover a wide range of topics, generally classified into three subject areas, namely, occupant behavior modeling and analysis, thermal comfort prediction and analysis, and innovative design, planning and policies for building energy efficiency. The proceedings also include a keynote presentation paper on human ecology and building science.

On behalf of the organizing committee, we would like to thank the School of Architecture at Carnegie Mellon University for hosting the symposium, our sponsors Autodesk Inc. and Cristal Global Engineering for their generous financial support, and reviewers for their great contribution to the review process. We also want to recognize the Predictive Modeling Network for Sustainable Human-Building Ecosystems (SHBE), a Research Coordination Network (RCN) for Science, Engineering and Education for Sustainability (SEES) funded by US National Science Foundation (NSF) for establishing the foundation for this symposium, and contributions from the participants of Annex 66: Definition and Simulation of Occupant Behavior in Buildings under the auspices of the International Energy Agency's (IEA) Energy in Buildings and Communities (EBC) Programme.

Contents

Featured Paper

Human Ecology and Building Science: A Necessary Synthesis.....	1
Ardeshir Mahdavi	

Occupant Behavior Modeling and Analysis

Occupant Behaviors and Energy Use: Creating High-Performance People for High-Performance Buildings	18
Julia K. Day	

Participatory Energy Management in Building Networks	27
Mina Rahimian, Daniel Cardoso-Llach, and Lisa Domenica Iulo	

One Size Does Not Fit All: Eco-Feedback Programs Require Tailored Feedback	36
Ardalan Khosrowpour and John E. Taylor	

Development of Non-Intrusive Occupant Load Monitoring (NIOLM) in Commercial Buildings: Assessing Occupants' Energy-Use Behavior at Entry and Departure Events.....	44
Hamed Nabizadeh Rafsanjani, Changbum R. Ahn, and Mahmoud Alahmad	

Default Conditions: A Reason for Design to Integrate Human Factors	54
Arsalan Heydarian, Joao P. Carneiro, Evangelos Pantazis, David Gerber, and Burcin Becerik-Gerber	

Assessing Energy Strategies in Active Buildings Considering Human Behaviour.....	63
Ayesha Kashif, Stephane Ploix, and Julie Dugdale	

Estimating Occupancy in an Office Setting.....	72
Manar Amayri, Stephane Ploix, and Sanghamitra Bandyopadhyay	

Event-Based Parallel Simulation with a Sensing System for Occupant Distribution Estimation in the Whole Building Scale	81
Zhenning Lang and Qing-Shan Jia	

Effects of Variant Occupancy Transitions on the Energy Implications of Setpoint/Setback Control Policies	90
Zheng Yang, Ali Ghahramani, and Burcin Becerik	

Thermal Comfort Prediction and Analysis

A Study of Time-Dependent Variations in Personal Thermal Comfort via a Dynamic Bayesian Network.....	99
Ali Ghahramani, Chao Tang, Zheng Yang, and Burcin Becerik-Gerber	

Occupant Individual Thermal Comfort Data Analysis in an Office.....	108
Jie Zhao, Khee Poh Lam, Vivian Loftness, and B. Erik Ydstie	

Facial Skin Temperature as a Proactive Variable in a Building Thermal Comfort Control System	117
Bo Yi and Joon-Ho Choi	

Advancing Occupant-Centered Performance Simulation Metrics Linking Commercial Environmental Quality to Health, Behavior, and Productivity ...	126
M. Azarbayjani, D. Brentrup, and R. Cox	

Direct Measurement of Occupants' Skin Temperature and Human Thermal Comfort Sensation for Building Comfort Control.....	141
Pooya Sharifani, Suraj Talele, Junghyun Mun, and Yong Tao	

Innovative Planning, Design, and Policies for Building Energy Efficiency

Incorporation of Future Building Operating Conditions into the Modeling of Building–Microclimate Interaction: A Feasibility Approach	150
Kelly Kalvelage, Ulrike Passe, Caroline Krejci, and Michael C. Dorneich	

Measuring the Effectiveness of an Immersive Virtual Environment for the Modeling and Prediction of Occupant Behavior	159
Sanaz Saeidi, Tracy Rizzuto, Yimin Zhu, and Robert Kooima	

Integrated Project Delivery and Total Building Automation for the Nearly Net-Zero-Energy Q1 ThyssenKrupp Headquarters.....	168
Thomas Spiegelhalter	

Green Building Design as If People Mattered.....	176
Maryam H. Kashani, Lyn Bartram, and Robert Woodbury	

Integration of QFD and Utility Theory to Improve End-User Satisfaction in the Design of High-Performance Buildings.....	185
Ehsan Mostavi, Somayeh Asadi, Ebrahim Karan, and Djamel Boussaa	

The Power of Data Visualization: A Prototype Energy Performance Map for a University Campus	194
Khaled A. Tarabieh, Islam O. Elnabarawy, Islam A. Mashaly, and Yussra M. Rashed	
Using Relationship Mapping to Understand Sustainable Housing Stakeholders' Actions	204
S. Zedan and W. Miller	
The Weatherization Assistance Program: Social Policy or Energy Policy and Why It Matters	214
J. N. Terman	
Towards Multi-Objective Optimization for Sustainable Buildings with Both Quantifiable and Non-Quantifiable Design Objectives.....	223
W. Yan, M. Rahmani Asl, Z. Su, and J. Altabtabai	
Inequality as a Barrier to Green Building Policy Adoptions in Cities.....	231
Aaron Deslatte, Kathryn Wassel, and Richard C. Feiock	

Human Ecology and Building Science: A Necessary Synthesis

Ardeshir Mahdavi

Department of Building Physics and Building Ecology,
TU Wien, Karlsplatz 13, 1040 Vienna, Austria. E-mail: bpi@tuwien.ac.at

INTRODUCTION

Buildings represent a major class of interventions by people in the environment, resulting in resource depletion, environmental emissions, and waste generation. To date, building-related interventions, together with those involving industry and transportation, have comprised the planet's capacity to support the sustenance of future generations. This circumstance has raised the awareness regarding the importance of sustainability in the building sector. However, as in many other environmentally relevant domains, the theory (how the built environment should be) and practice (how the built environment actually is) have not converged. If we are to take the idea of sustainable buildings seriously, we need to approach it critically in the context of the complex and consequential relationships involving people, buildings, and environment. Why do we erect buildings? How can we measure their effectiveness in meeting people's requirements? How can we assess their ecological implications?

To address these questions this paper offers a broad and critical framework. Toward this end, section 2 of the paper entails a brief introduction to "Human Ecology" as a fruitful conceptual framework for the discussion of interrelationships between people and their surrounding built environment. Section 3 is dedicated to a number of essential background or boundary conditions necessary for a meaningful discussion of sustainability in the building sector. These include, amongst other topics, population growth and "life style". Section 4 is concerned with the indoor environmental (especially thermal) requirements of building users. Section 5 addresses people's passive and active influences on buildings' indoor climate and environmental performance. Section 6 summarizes the paper's conclusions.

HUMAN ECOLOGY

As a discipline, ecology deals with the relationships between organisms and their surrounding world. Accordingly, human ecology may be simply defined as the ecology of the *Homo sapiens*. There are multiple traditions in human ecology. For the purpose of the present discussion, we consider the "Vienna School of Human Ecology" (Knötig, 1992a, 1992b; Mahdavi, 1996a) and focus on a couple of its essential concepts. Construction and operation of buildings and related artifacts may be viewed as an integral part of the totality of largely regulatory operations initiated by human beings as they interact with their surrounding world. Human ecology offers a useful way of thinking about these interactions via a number of high-level yet versatile concepts. Thereby, a central pair of concepts involves:

- i. the human beings' *ecological potency*;
- ii. the surrounding world's *ecological valency* (Knötig, 1992a; Mahdavi, 1996b).

Ecological potency refers to the human repertoire of means to deal (cope, interact) with the surrounding world. *Ecological valency* denotes the totality of that surrounding world's characteristics (resources, possibilities, opportunities, challenges, risks, hazards, etc.) as it relates to, confronts, or accommodates people's *ecological potency*. Coined initially by Uexküll (1920), the concept of *ecological valency* is akin to the Gibson's concept of *affordance* (Gibson, 1977, 1979).

Given this conceptual framework, the main consideration in human ecology pertains to the complex and dynamic relationships between the ecological potency of human beings and the ecological valency of their surrounding world. We can thus broadly characterize the entire building construction and operation endeavor in human ecological terms: Buildings are mainly constructed and maintained with the (implicit or explicit) intention to favorably influence the relationship between people's ecological potency and the ecological valency of their surrounding world. Such an intention expresses itself, for example, in the "shelter function" of the vernacular architecture (Mahdavi, 1996c, 1989). In contemporary building delivery processes, this intention is often expressed explicitly and formally, for example when desirable indoor environmental conditions are specifically defined and are expected to be maintained in the course of building operation. Provision of desirable conditions for the building users, or in other words, maintaining a high degree of "habitability", may be thus seen as the central utility of buildings. The challenge is to realize habitability with a minimum on resource depletion and environmental impact.

Human ecology's concepts are also relevant to the evaluation of the habitability of the built environment. Specifically, a second pair of concepts should be mentioned, which concerns distinct aspects of the relationships between people and their surroundings. Thereby a high-level distinction is made between the *material-energetic* and *information-related* aspects of these relationships (Knötig, 1992a; Mahdavi, 1996a, 1992). These two aspects can be assigned to every entity, state, and process. The *material-energetic* aspect refers to the assumption that nothing exists unless some amount of matter or energy is involved. The information-related aspect refers to the assumption that matter and energy have a certain distribution in space and time, which can be represented in terms of a structure. An information content can be correlated with this structure.

To measure the habitability of the built environment we cannot disregard people's subjective experiences and opinions. Subjective evaluation processes of the built environment arguably involve both material-energetic and the information-related aspects of the relationships between inhabitants and the built environment. A common approach to "operationalize" such evaluation processes in planning and operating involves the use of "psycho-physical" scales. The idea is that exposure to various levels of physical (material-energetic) stimuli translates – in a more or less predictable way – into corresponding subjective experiences. For example, exposure to increasing levels of sound intensity is said to result in an experience of increased loudness and associated stress (annoyance). But it would be highly problematic to postulate a deterministic relationship between measurable environmental factors and occupants' evaluation of environmental conditions (Mahdavi, 2011a, 1996a, 1996b).

People's evaluation of exposure situations may be easier to describe and predict in when the material-energetic aspect of the environmental relationships dominates. In extreme cases of high-intensity exposure, the necessity for protective regulations is self-evident due to the obvious health hazards for the involved individuals (e.g., irreversible physical damage to the organism). It is, thus, not surprising that most efforts toward predicting the outcome of evaluation processes have focused on the identification of a measurable material-energetic scale (such as

sound pressure level) to which subjective judgments (such as the degree of annoyance) are expected to correlate. However, the relevance of internal information processing for the degree of expressed dissatisfaction associated with various energetic levels of exposure has been demonstrated in experimental psycho-acoustic experiments (see section 4.3 for a further discussion of this point).

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Motivation. We will address the immediate implications of the human factor for the built environment (i.e., human requirements and behavior) in sections 4 and 5 of this paper. But it is important that we consider a number of broader questions pertaining to the environmental implications of social development and human activity (Mahdavi, 2012): *i*) How important is the antecedent consideration of population growth, lifestyle development, as well as agricultural and industrial production for the effectiveness of sustainable building efforts? *ii*) How do the relative resource needs and environmental loads associated with building activity compare to other domains of human activity and production such as industry and transportation? *iii*) To which extent can contextual factors such as urban planning decisions and mobility solutions affect and constrain the energy and environmental performance of individual buildings? *iv*) How should we account for the impact of user behavior (including the rebound effect) on the energy and environmental performance of buildings?

Population and life style. United nation's data (medium growth scenario) projecta for 2050 alone for India and China a combined population number of three billions. Likewise, the population of Africa – slightly over 200 millions around 1950 – is projected to approach two billion by 2050. The topic of population growth containment appears thorny and difficult politically. But even if population growth is seen as an inevitable and unalterable process, at least the implications for resources, environment, as well as ecological and social systems should be frankly discussed, rather than evaded. In human ecological parlance, the ecological valency of an ecosystem can sustainably support only a finite number of people of a given ecological potency. Transgressing that limit invariably results in an ecological degradation of the environment.

The ecological strain resulting from population increase is aggravated by a parallel process involving the improvement of living standards – at least for some populations – around the world. For instance, the global primary energy consumption of China is projected to increase – from the value in 2008 – about 230% to reach roughly 200 exajoules by the year 2035 (EIA, 2012). Moreover, whereas in the last twenty years per capita energy use has been stagnating (albeit at a very high level) in countries such as United States and Germany, both per capita energy use and Gross National Income (GNI) have been increasing in China, India, and Brazil (Databank, 2012). In fact, the Gross National Product (GNP) of China and India is projected to increase within a period of 40 years (2009 – 2049) roughly by a factor of 6 and 9 respectively. Lest these assertions are misunderstood: Rise in people's standard of living is necessary, crucial, and desirable socially and ethically. However, even though not a necessity, per capita improvement in living standard is typically mirrored in per capita increase in resource depletion and environmental impact. The environmental ramifications of such developments can be easily exemplified. Human ecologically speaking, rise in indicators such as GNP and GNI can be interpreted as a population's increased ecological potency. A precarious implication