

## DIN EN 1991-2



ICS 91.010.30; 93.040

Supersedes  
DIN EN 1991-2:2004-05

**Eurocode 1: Actions on structures –  
Part 2: Traffic loads on bridges  
(includes Corrigendum AC:2010)  
English translation of DIN EN 1991-2:2010-12**

Eurocode 1: Einwirkungen auf Tragwerke –  
Teil 2: Verkehrslasten auf Brücken  
(enthält Berichtigung AC:2010)  
Englische Übersetzung von DIN EN 1991-2:2010-12

Eurocode 1: Actions sur les structures –  
Partie 2: Actions sur les ponts, dues au trafic  
(Corrigendum AC:2010 inclus)  
Traduction anglaise de DIN EN 1991-2:2010-12

Document comprises 166 pages

Translation by DIN-Sprachendienst.

In case of doubt, the German-language original shall be considered authoritative.



*A comma is used as the decimal marker.*

## National foreword

This standard has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 250 “Structural Eurocodes” (Secretariat: BSI, United Kingdom).

The responsible German body involved in its preparation was the *Normenausschuss Bauwesen* (Building and Civil Engineering Standards Committee), Working Committee NA 005-57-03 AA *Lastannahmen für Brücken*.

EN 1991-2:2003 was approved by CEN on 28 November 2002.

This European Standard is part of a series of standards dealing with structural design (Eurocodes) which are intended to be used as a ‘package’. In Guidance Paper L on the application and use of Eurocodes, issued by the EU Commission, reference is made to compulsory transitional periods for the introduction of the Eurocodes in the member states. The transitional periods are given in the Foreword of this standard.

In Germany, this standard is to be applied in conjunction with the National Annex.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. DIN [and/or DKE] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The start and finish of text introduced or altered by amendment is indicated in the text by tags **AC** **AC**.

## Amendments

This standard differs from DIN V ENV 1991-3:1996-08 as follows:

- a) the prestandard status has been changed to that of a full standard;
- b) the standard has been brought in line with the state of the art;
- c) possible national deviations have been listed;
- d) the standard has been editorially revised.

Compared with DIN EN 1991-2:2004-05, the following corrections have been made:

- a) this standard is the consolidated version of the previous 2003 edition with Corrigendum AC:2010;
- b) the standard has been editorially revised.

## Previous editions

DIN V ENV 1991-3: 1996-08  
DIN EN 1991-2: 2004-05

English version

## Eurocode 1: Actions on structures — Part 2: Traffic loads on bridges

Eurocode 1: Actions sur les structures — Partie 2: Actions  
sur les ponts, dues au trafic

Eurocode 1: Einwirkungen auf Tragwerke — Teil 2:  
Verkehrslasten auf Brücken

EN 1991-2:2002 was approved by CEN on 2002-11-28 and Corrigendum AC:2010 on 2010-02-17.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the Management Centre or to any CEN member.

The European Standards exist in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION  
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION  
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

**Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels**

## Contents

<b><u>FOREWORD</u></b> .....	7
<u>BACKGROUND OF THE EUROCODE PROGRAMME</u> .....	7
<u>STATUS AND FIELD OF APPLICATION OF EUROCODES</u> .....	8
<u>NATIONAL STANDARDS IMPLEMENTING EUROCODES</u> .....	9
<u>LINKS BETWEEN EUROCODES AND HARMONISED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS (ENs AND ETAs) FOR PRODUCTS</u> .....	9
<u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SPECIFIC TO EN 1991-2</u> .....	9
<u>NATIONAL ANNEX FOR EN 1991-2</u> .....	11
<b><u>SECTION 1 GENERAL</u></b> .....	15
1.1 SCOPE .....	15
1.2 NORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	16
1.3 DISTINCTION BETWEEN PRINCIPLES AND APPLICATION RULES .....	16
1.4 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS.....	17
1.4.1 <i>Harmonised terms and common definitions</i> .....	17
1.4.2 <i>Terms and definitions specifically for road bridges</i> .....	19
1.4.3 <i>Terms and definitions specifically for railway bridges</i> .....	20
1.5 SYMBOLS .....	21
1.5.1 <i>Common symbols</i> .....	21
1.5.2 <i>Symbols specifically for sections 4 and 5</i> .....	21
1.5.3 <i>Symbols specifically for section 6</i> .....	23
<b><u>SECTION 2 CLASSIFICATION OF ACTIONS</u></b> .....	27
2.1 GENERAL .....	27
2.2 VARIABLE ACTIONS.....	27
2.3 ACTIONS FOR ACCIDENTAL DESIGN SITUATIONS .....	28
<b><u>SECTION 3 DESIGN SITUATIONS</u></b> .....	30
<b><u>SECTION 4 ROAD TRAFFIC ACTIONS AND OTHER ACTIONS SPECIFICALLY FOR ROAD BRIDGES</u></b> .....	31
4.1 FIELD OF APPLICATION .....	31
4.2 REPRESENTATION OF ACTIONS .....	31
4.2.1 <i>Models of road traffic loads</i> .....	31
4.2.2 <i>Loading classes</i> .....	32
4.2.3 <i>Divisions of the carriageway into notional lanes</i> .....	32
4.2.4 <i>Location and numbering of the lanes for design</i> .....	33
4.2.5 <i>Application of the load models on the individual lanes</i> .....	34
4.3 VERTICAL LOADS - CHARACTERISTIC VALUES .....	35
4.3.1 <i>General and associated design situations</i> .....	35
4.3.2 <i>Load Model 1</i> .....	35
4.3.3 <i>Load Model 2</i> .....	38
4.3.4 <i>Load Model 3 (special vehicles)</i> .....	39
4.3.5 <i>Load Model 4 (crowd loading)</i> .....	39
4.3.6 <i>Dispersal of concentrated loads</i> .....	40
4.4 HORIZONTAL FORCES - CHARACTERISTIC VALUES .....	41
4.4.1 <i>Braking and acceleration forces</i> .....	41

4.4.2 Centrifugal and other transverse forces .....	42
4.5 GROUPS OF TRAFFIC LOADS ON ROAD BRIDGES .....	42
4.5.1 Characteristic values of the multi-component action .....	42
4.5.2 Other representative values of the multi-component action .....	44
4.5.3 Groups of loads in transient design situations.....	44
4.6 FATIGUE LOAD MODELS .....	45
4.6.1 General.....	45
4.6.2 Fatigue Load Model 1 (similar to LMI) .....	48
4.6.3 Fatigue Load Model 2 (set of "frequent" lorries) .....	48
4.6.4 Fatigue Load Model 3 (single vehicle model).....	49
4.6.5 Fatigue Load Model 4 (set of "standard" lorries) .....	50
4.6.6 Fatigue Load Model 5 (based on recorded road traffic data) .....	53
4.7 ACTIONS FOR ACCIDENTAL DESIGN SITUATIONS.....	53
4.7.1 General.....	53
4.7.2 Collision forces from vehicles under the bridge .....	53
4.7.2.1 Collision forces on piers and other supporting members.....	53
4.7.2.2 Collision forces on decks .....	53
4.7.3 Actions from vehicles on the bridge .....	54
4.7.3.1 Vehicle on footways and cycle tracks on road bridges .....	54
4.7.3.2 Collision forces on kerbs.....	55
4.7.3.3 Collision forces on vehicle restraint systems .....	55
4.7.3.4 Collision forces on structural members.....	56
4.8 ACTIONS ON PEDESTRIAN PARAPETS .....	56
4.9 LOAD MODELS FOR ABUTMENTS AND WALLS ADJACENT TO BRIDGES .....	57
4.9.1 Vertical loads .....	57
4.9.2 Horizontal force .....	57
<b>SECTION 5 ACTIONS ON FOOTWAYS, CYCLE TRACKS AND FOOTBRIDGES .....</b>	<b>59</b>
5.1 FIELD OF APPLICATION.....	59
5.2 REPRESENTATION OF ACTIONS .....	59
5.2.1 Models of the loads .....	59
5.2.2 Loading classes .....	60
5.2.3 Application of the load models.....	60
5.3 STATIC MODELS FOR VERTICAL LOADS - CHARACTERISTIC VALUES .....	60
5.3.1 General.....	60
5.3.2 Load Models.....	61
5.3.2.1 Uniformly distributed load .....	61
5.3.2.2 Concentrated load.....	61
5.3.2.3 Service vehicle .....	62
5.4 STATIC MODEL FOR HORIZONTAL FORCES - CHARACTERISTIC VALUES .....	62
5.5 GROUPS OF TRAFFIC LOADS ON FOOTBRIDGES .....	62
5.6 ACTIONS FOR ACCIDENTAL DESIGN SITUATIONS FOR FOOTBRIDGES .....	63
5.6.1 General.....	63
5.6.2 Collision forces from road vehicles under the bridge.....	63
5.6.2.1 Collision forces on piers.....	63
5.6.2.2 Collision forces on decks .....	64
5.6.3 Accidental presence of vehicles on the bridge .....	64
5.7 DYNAMIC MODELS OF PEDESTRIAN LOADS .....	65
5.8 ACTIONS ON PARAPETS.....	65

5.9 LOAD MODEL FOR ABUTMENTS AND WALLS ADJACENT TO BRIDGES.....	65
---	----

## **SECTION 6 RAIL TRAFFIC ACTIONS AND OTHER ACTIONS**

### **SPECIFICALLY FOR RAILWAY BRIDGES.....66**

6.1 FIELD OF APPLICATION.....	66
6.2 REPRESENTATION OF ACTIONS – NATURE OF RAIL TRAFFIC LOADS .....	67
6.3 VERTICAL LOADS - CHARACTERISTIC VALUES (STATIC EFFECTS) AND ECCENTRICITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF LOADING.....	67
6.3.1 <i>General</i> .....	67
6.3.2 <i>Load Model 71</i> .....	67
6.3.3 <i>Load Models SW/0 and SW/2</i> .....	68
6.3.4 <i>Load Model “unloaded train”</i> .....	69
6.3.5 <i>Eccentricity of vertical loads (Load Models 71 and SW/0)</i> .....	69
6.3.6 <i>Distribution of axle loads by the rails, sleepers and ballast</i> .....	70
6.3.6.1 <i>Longitudinal distribution of a point force or wheel load by the rail</i> .....	70
6.3.6.2 <i>Longitudinal distribution of load by sleepers and ballast</i> .....	71
6.3.6.3 <i>Transverse distribution of actions by the sleepers and ballast</i> .....	71
6.3.6.4 <i>Equivalent vertical loading for earthworks and earth pressure effects</i> ...	73
6.3.7 <i>Actions for non-public footpaths</i> .....	74
6.4 DYNAMIC EFFECTS (INCLUDING RESONANCE) .....	74
6.4.1 <i>Introduction</i> .....	74
6.4.2 <i>Factors influencing dynamic behaviour</i> .....	74
6.4.3 <i>General design rules</i> .....	75
6.4.4 <i>Requirement for a static or dynamic analysis</i> .....	75
6.4.5 <i>Dynamic factor <math>\Phi</math> (<math>\Phi_2</math>, <math>\Phi_3</math>)</i> .....	78
6.4.5.1 <i>Field of application</i> .....	78
6.4.5.2 <i>Definition of the dynamic factor <math>\Phi</math></i> .....	78
6.4.5.3 <i>Determinant length <math>L_\phi</math></i> .....	79
6.4.5.4 <i>Reduced dynamic effects</i> .....	82
6.4.6 <i>Requirements for a dynamic analysis</i> .....	83
6.4.6.1 <i>Loading and load combinations</i> .....	83
6.4.6.2 <i>Speeds to be considered</i> .....	87
6.4.6.3 <i>Bridge parameters</i> .....	88
6.4.6.4 <i>Modelling the excitation and dynamic behaviour of the structure</i> .....	89
6.4.6.5 <i>Verifications of the limit states</i> .....	91
6.4.6.6 <i>Additional verification for fatigue where dynamic analysis is required</i> ..	92
6.5 HORIZONTAL FORCES - CHARACTERISTIC VALUES.....	93
6.5.1 <i>Centrifugal forces</i> .....	93
6.5.2 <i>Nosing force</i> .....	97
6.5.3 <i>Actions due to traction and braking</i> .....	97
6.5.4 <i>Combined response of structure and track to variable actions</i> .....	98
6.5.4.1 <i>General principles</i> .....	98
6.5.4.2 <i>Parameters affecting the combined response of the structure and track</i> ..	99
6.5.4.3 <i>Actions to be considered</i> .....	101
6.5.4.4 <i>Modelling and calculation of the combined track/structure system</i> .....	102
6.5.4.5 <i>Design criteria</i> .....	104
6.5.4.6 <i>Calculation methods</i> .....	105
6.6 AERODYNAMIC ACTIONS FROM PASSING TRAINS .....	108
6.6.1 <i>General</i> .....	108
6.6.2 <i>Simple vertical surfaces parallel to the track (e.g. noise barriers)</i> .....	109

<i>6.6.3 Simple horizontal surfaces above the track (e.g. overhead protective structures).....</i>	<i>110</i>
<i>6.6.4 Simple horizontal surfaces adjacent to the track (e.g. platform canopies with no vertical wall) .....</i>	<i>111</i>
<i>6.6.5 Multiple-surface structures alongside the track with vertical and horizontal or inclined surfaces (e.g. bent noise barriers, platform canopies with vertical walls etc.).....</i>	<i>112</i>
<i>6.6.6 Surfaces enclosing the structure gauge of the tracks over a limited length (up to 20 m) (horizontal surface above the tracks and at least one vertical wall, e.g. scaffolding, temporary constructions).....</i>	<i>112</i>
<b>6.7 DERAILMENT AND OTHER ACTIONS FOR RAILWAY BRIDGES .....</b>	<b>113</b>
<i>6.7.1 Derailment actions from rail traffic on a railway bridge .....</i>	<i>113</i>
<i>6.7.2 Derailment under or adjacent to a structure and other actions for Accidental Design Situations .....</i>	<i>115</i>
<i>6.7.3 Other actions.....</i>	<i>115</i>
<b>6.8 APPLICATION OF TRAFFIC LOADS ON RAILWAY BRIDGES .....</b>	<b>115</b>
<i>6.8.1 General.....</i>	<i>115</i>
<i>6.8.2 Groups of Loads - Characteristic values of the multicomponent action .....</i>	<i>118</i>
<i>6.8.3 Groups of Loads - Other representative values of the multicomponent actions .....</i>	<i>120</i>
<i>6.8.3.1 Frequent values of the multicomponent actions.....</i>	<i>120</i>
<i>6.8.3.2 Quasi-permanent values of the multicomponent actions .....</i>	<i>121</i>
<i>6.8.4 Traffic loads in Transient Design Situations .....</i>	<i>121</i>
<b>6.9 TRAFFIC LOADS FOR FATIGUE.....</b>	<b>121</b>
<b><u>ANNEX A (INFORMATIVE) MODELS OF SPECIAL VEHICLES FOR ROAD BRIDGES.....</u></b>	<b><u>123</u></b>
<i>A.1 SCOPE AND FIELD OF APPLICATION .....</i>	<i>123</i>
<i>A.2 BASIC MODELS OF SPECIAL VEHICLES.....</i>	<i>123</i>
<i>A.3 APPLICATION OF SPECIAL VEHICLE LOAD MODELS ON THE CARRIAGEWAY.....</i>	<i>125</i>
<b><u>ANNEX B (INFORMATIVE) FATIGUE LIFE ASSESSMENT FOR ROAD BRIDGES ASSESSMENT METHOD BASED ON RECORDED TRAFFIC .....</u></b>	<b><u>128</u></b>
<b><u>ANNEX C (NORMATIVE) DYNAMIC FACTORS <math>1 + \varphi</math> FOR REAL TRAINS .....</u></b>	<b><u>132</u></b>
<b><u>ANNEX D (NORMATIVE) BASIS FOR THE FATIGUE ASSESSMENT OF RAILWAY STRUCTURES .....</u></b>	<b><u>134</u></b>
<i>D.1 ASSUMPTIONS FOR FATIGUE ACTIONS.....</i>	<i>134</i>
<i>D.2 GENERAL DESIGN METHOD .....</i>	<i>135</i>
<i>D.3 TRAIN TYPES FOR FATIGUE .....</i>	<i>135</i>
<b><u>ANNEX E (INFORMATIVE) LIMITS OF VALIDITY OF LOAD MODEL HSLM AND THE SELECTION OF THE CRITICAL UNIVERSAL TRAIN FROM HSLM-A.....</u></b>	<b><u>141</u></b>
<i>E.1 LIMITS OF VALIDITY OF LOAD MODEL HSLM .....</i>	<i>141</i>
<i>E.2 SELECTION OF A UNIVERSAL TRAIN FROM HSLM-A.....</i>	<i>142</i>
<b><u>ANNEX F (INFORMATIVE) CRITERIA TO BE SATISFIED IF A DYNAMIC ANALYSIS IS NOT REQUIRED.....</u></b>	<b><u>150</u></b>

<b><u>ANNEX G (INFORMATIVE) METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE COMBINED RESPONSE OF A STRUCTURE AND TRACK TO VARIABLE ACTIONS</u></b> .....	<b>155</b>
<u>G.1 INTRODUCTION</u> .....	155
<u>G.2 LIMITS OF VALIDITY OF CALCULATION METHOD</u> .....	155
<u>G.3 STRUCTURES CONSISTING OF A SINGLE BRIDGE DECK</u> .....	156
<u>G.4 STRUCTURES CONSISTING OF A SUCCESSION OF DECKS</u> .....	162
<b><u>ANNEX H (INFORMATIVE) LOAD MODELS FOR RAIL TRAFFIC LOADS IN TRANSIENT DESIGN SITUATIONS</u></b> .....	<b>164</b>



## Foreword

This document (EN 1991-2:2003 + AC:2010) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 250 “Structural Eurocodes”, the secretariat of which is held by BSI.

CEN/TC 250 is responsible for all Structural Eurocodes.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by March 2004, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by AC March 2010. AC

This European Standard supersedes ENV 1991-3:1995.

AC According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom. AC

## Background of the Eurocode Programme

In 1975, the Commission of the European Community decided on an action programme in the field of construction, based on article 95 of the Treaty. The objective of the programme was the elimination of technical obstacles to trade and the harmonisation of technical specifications.

Within this action programme, the Commission took the initiative to establish a set of harmonised technical rules for the design of construction works which, in a first stage, would serve as an alternative to the national rules in force in the Member States and, ultimately, would replace them.

For fifteen years, the Commission, with the help of a Steering Committee with Representatives of Member States, conducted the development of the Eurocodes programme, which led to the first generation of European codes in the 1980s.

In 1989, the Commission and the Member States of the EU and EFTA decided, on the basis of an agreement<sup>1</sup> between the Commission and CEN, to transfer the preparation and the publication of the Eurocodes to CEN through a series of Mandates, in order to provide them with a future status of European Standard (EN). This links *de facto* the Eurocodes with the provisions of all the Council's Directives and/or Commission's Decisions dealing with European standards (*e.g.* the Council Directive 89/106/EEC on construction products - CPD - and Council Directives 93/37/EEC, 92/50/EEC and 89/440/EEC on public works and services and equivalent EFTA Directives initiated in pursuit of setting up the internal market).

---

<sup>1</sup> Agreement between the Commission of the European Communities and the European Committee for Standardisation (CEN) concerning the work on EUROCODES for the design of building and civil engineering works (BC/CEN/03/89).

The Structural Eurocode programme comprises the following standards generally consisting of a number of Parts:

EN 1990	Eurocode :	Basis of Structural Design
EN 1991	Eurocode 1:	Actions on structures
EN 1992	Eurocode 2:	Design of concrete structures
EN 1993	Eurocode 3:	Design of steel structures
EN 1994	Eurocode 4:	Design of composite steel and concrete structures
EN 1995	Eurocode 5:	Design of timber structures
EN 1996	Eurocode 6:	Design of masonry structures
EN 1997	Eurocode 7:	Geotechnical design
EN 1998	Eurocode 8:	Design of structures for earthquake resistance
EN 1999	Eurocode 9:	Design of aluminium structures

Eurocode standards recognise the responsibility of regulatory authorities in each Member State and have safeguarded their right to determine values related to regulatory safety matters at national level where these continue to vary from State to State.

### **Status and field of application of Eurocodes**

The Member States of the EU and EFTA recognise that Eurocodes serve as reference documents for the following purposes :

- as a means to prove compliance of building and civil engineering works with the essential requirements of Council Directive 89/106/EEC, particularly Essential Requirement N°1 – Mechanical resistance and stability – and Essential Requirement N°2 – Safety in case of fire ;
- as a basis for specifying contracts for construction works and related engineering services ;
- as a framework for drawing up harmonised technical specifications for construction products (ENs and ETAs)

The Eurocodes, as far as they concern the construction works themselves, have a direct relationship with the Interpretative Documents<sup>2</sup> referred to in Article 12 of the CPD, although they are of a different nature from harmonised product standards<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, technical aspects arising from the Eurocodes work need to be adequately considered by CEN Technical Committees and/or EOTA Working Groups working on product standards with a view to achieving a full compatibility of these technical specifications with the Eurocodes.

---

<sup>2</sup> According to Art. 3.3 of the CPD, the essential requirements (ERs) shall be given concrete form in interpretative documents for the creation of the necessary links between the essential requirements and the mandates for harmonised ENs and ETAGs/ETAs.

<sup>3</sup> According to Art. 12 of the CPD the interpretative documents shall :

- a) give concrete form to the essential requirements by harmonising the terminology and the technical bases and indicating classes or levels for each requirement where necessary ;
- b) indicate methods of correlating these classes or levels of requirement with the technical specifications, *e.g.* methods of calculation and of proof, technical rules for project design, etc. ;
- c) serve as a reference for the establishment of harmonised standards and guidelines for European technical approvals.

The Eurocodes, *de facto*, play a similar role in the field of the ER 1 and a part of ER 2.