DIN EN 1998-2



ICS 91.120.25; 93.040

Supersedes DIN EN 1998-2:2010-12

Eurocode 8: Design of structures for earthquake resistance – Part 2: Bridges (includes Amendment A1:2009 + A2:2011 + Corrigendum AC:2010)

English translation of DIN EN 1998-2:2011-12

Eurocode 8: Auslegung von Bauwerken gegen Erdbeben – Teil 2: Brücken (enthält Änderung A1:2009 + A2:2011 + Berichtigung AC:2010) Englische Übersetzung von DIN EN 1998-2:2011-12

Eurocode 8: Calcul des structures pour leur résistance aux séismes – Partie 2: Ponts (Amendement A1:2009 + A2:2011 + Corrigendum AC:2010 inclus) Traduction anglaise de DIN EN 1998-2:2011-12

Document comprises 152 pages

lin.de

Translation by DIN-Sprachendienst.

In case of doubt, the German-language original shall be considered authoritative.



A comma is used as the decimal marker.

National foreword

This standard has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 250 "Structural Eurocodes" (Secretariat: BSI, United Kingdom).

The responsible German body involved in its preparation was the *Normenausschuss Bauwesen* (Building and Civil Engineering Standards Committee), Working Committee NA 005-51-06 AA *Erdbeben; Sonderfragen* (Sp CEN/TC 250/SC 8).

This document includes Amendment EN 1998-2:2005/A1:2009, approved by CEN on 12 February 2009, Amendment EN 1998-2:2005/A2:2011, approved by CEN on 8 April 2011 and Corrigendum EN 1998-2:2005/AC:2010, approved by CEN on 10 February 2010.

This European Standard is part of a series of standards dealing with structural design (Eurocodes) which are intended to be used as a "package". In Guidance Paper L on the application and use of Eurocodes, issued by the EU Commission, reference is made to transitional periods for the introduction of the Eurocodes in the Member states. The transitional periods are given in the Foreword of this standard.

In Germany, this standard is to be applied in conjunction with the National Annex.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. DIN [and/or DKE] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The start and finish of text introduced or altered by amendment or corrigendum is indicated in the text by tags for Amendment EN 1998-2:2005/A1:2009,
for Amendment EN 1998-2:2005/A2:2011 and
for Corrigendum EN 1998-2:2005 AC:2010.

Amendments

This standard differs from DIN EN 1998-2:2010-12 as follows:

a) this standard is the consolidated version of the previous 2010 edition with Amendment A2:2011.

Previous editions

DIN V ENV 1998-2: 1998-07 DIN EN 1998-2: 2006-06, 2010-12

EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM

EN 1998-2

November 2005

+ A1

+ A2

+ AC

March 2009

September 2011

February 2010

ICS 91.120.25; 93.040

English Version

Eurocode 8: Design of structures for earthquake resistance - Part 2: Bridges

Eurocode 8 - Calcul des structures pour leur résistance aux séismes - Partie 2: Ponts

Eurocode 8: Auslegung von Bauwerken gegen Erdbeben -Teil 2: Brücken

EN 1998-2:2005 was approved by CEN on 2005-07-07, Amendment A1:2009 on 2009-02-12, Amendment A2:2011 on 2011-04-08 and Corrigendum AC:2010 on 2010-02-10.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

© 2011 CEN All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members.

Ref. No. EN 1998-2:2005 + A1:2009 + A2:2011 + AC:2010 E

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
F	OREWOR	D TO EN 1998-2:2005	6
F	OREWOR	D TO EN 1998-2:2005/A1:2009	6
F	OREWOR	D TO EN 1998-2:2005/A2:2011	7
1		DUCTION	
1			
	1.1.1	OPE	
	1.1.1	Further parts of EN 1998	
		PRMATIVE REFERENCES	
	1.2.1	Use	
	1.2.2		
	1.2.3	Reference Codes and Standards	
	1.2.4	Additional general and other reference standards for bridges	
		SUMPTIONS	
		STINCTION BETWEEN PRINCIPLES AND APPLICATION RULES	
		FINITIONS	
	1.5.1	General	
	1.5.2	Terms common to all Eurocodes	
	1.5.3	Further terms used in EN 1998-2	
	1.6 SY	MBOLS	
	1.6.1	General	16
	1.6.2	Further symbols used in Sections 2 and 3 of EN 1998-2	
	1.6.3	Further symbols used in Section 4 of EN 1998-2	
	1.6.4	Further symbols used in Section 5 of EN 1998-2	
	1.6.5	Further symbols used in Section 6 of EN 1998-2	19
	1.6.6	Further symbols used in Section 7 and Annexes J, JJ and K of	
	EN 1998	8-2	21
2	BASIC	REQUIREMENTS AND COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	24
		SIGN SEISMIC ACTION	
		SIC REQUIREMENTS	
		General	
	2.2.2	No-collapse (ultimate limit state)	
	2.2.3	Minimisation of damage (serviceability limit state)	
	2.3 Co	MPLIANCE CRITERIA	
	2.3.1	General	
	2.3.2	Intended seismic behaviour	26
	2.3.3	Resistance verifications	
	2.3.4	Capacity design	29
	2.3.5	Provisions for ductility	
	2.3.6	Connections - Control of displacements - Detailing	
	2.3.7	Simplified criteria	
	2.4 Co	NCEPTUAL DESIGN	36
3	SEISM	IC ACTION	39
		FINITION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION	
	3.1.1	General	
	3.1.2	Application of the components of the motion	
		ANTIFICATION OF THE COMPONENTS	
	. -		_

EN 1998-2:2005 + A1:2009 + A2:2011 + AC:2010 (E)

	3.2.1	General	39
3.2.2		Site dependent elastic response spectrum	
3.2.3		Time-history representation	40
	3.2.4	Site dependent design spectrum for linear analysis	
	3.3 SPA	ATIAL VARIABILITY OF THE SEISMIC ACTION	41
4	ANALY	'SIS	45
	4.1 MC	DELLING	45
	4.1.1	Dynamic degrees of freedom	
	4.1.2	Masses	
	4.1.3	Damping of the structure and stiffness of members	46
	4.1.4	Modelling of the soil	46
	4.1.5	Torsional effects	
	4.1.6	Behaviour factors for linear analysis	48
	4.1.7	Vertical component of the seismic action	
	4.1.8	Regular and irregular seismic behaviour of ductile bridges	
	4.1.9	Non-linear analysis of irregular bridges	
		THODS OF ANALYSIS	
	4.2.1	Linear dynamic analysis - Response spectrum method	
	4.2.2	Fundamental mode method	
	4.2.3	Alternative linear methods	
	4.2.4	Non-linear dynamic time-history analysis	
	4.2.5	Static non-linear analysis (pushover analysis)	60
5	STREN	GTH VERIFICATION	62
	5.1 GE	NERAL	62
	5.0	TERMAN CAN IN DECAMAN CONTROL CONTROL	()
	5.2 MA	TERIALS AND DESIGN STRENGTH	62
	5.2 MA 5.2.1	MaterialsMaterials	
	5.2.1 5.2.2	Materials Design strength	62 62
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN	62 62
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEG	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS	62 62 62
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS	62 62 62 64
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS	62 62 64 65
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance	62 62 64 65 66
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour	62 62 64 65 66
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SE6 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour	62 62 64 65 66
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour Structures of ductile behaviour	62 62 64 65 66 66
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour Structures of ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers	62 62 64 65 66 66 66
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1 5.7.2	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour Structures of ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers Steel or composite deck	62 62 64 65 66 66 66 66
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1 5.7.2 5.8 FO	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour Structures of ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers Steel or composite deck JNDATIONS	62 62 64 65 66 66 66 74 75
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1 5.7.2 5.8 FO 5.8.1	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour Structures of ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers Steel or composite deck JNDATIONS General	626264656666747575
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1 5.7.2 5.8 FO 5.8.1 5.8.2	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour Structures of ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers Steel or composite deck JNDATIONS General Design action effects	62626465666666747575
	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1 5.7.2 5.8 FO 5.8.1 5.8.2 5.8.3	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour Structures of ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers Steel or composite deck JNDATIONS General Design action effects Resistance verification	62626465666674757575
6	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1 5.7.2 5.8 FO 5.8.1 5.8.2 5.8.3	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour Structures of ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers Steel or composite deck JNDATIONS General Design action effects	62626465666674757575
6	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1 5.7.2 5.8 FO 5.8.1 5.8.2 5.8.3 DETAI 6.1 GE	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers Steel or composite deck JNDATIONS General Design action effects Resistance verification LING	6262646566667475757575
6	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1 5.7.2 5.8 FO 5.8.1 5.8.2 5.8.3 DETAI 6.1 GE 6.2 CO	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS DISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour Structures of ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers Steel or composite deck JNDATIONS General Design action effects Resistance verification LING NERAL NCRETE PIERS	6262646566667475757676
6	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1 5.7.2 5.8 FO 5.8.1 5.8.2 5.8.3 DETAI 6.1 GE 6.2 CO 6.2.1	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers Steel or composite deck JNDATIONS Design action effects Resistance verification LING NERAL NCRETE PIERS Confinement	626264656666747575757677
6	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1 5.7.2 5.8 FO 5.8.1 5.8.2 5.8.3 DETAI 6.1 GE 6.2 CO 6.2.1 6.2.2	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour Structures of ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers Steel or composite deck JNDATIONS General Design action effects Resistance verification LING NERAL NCRETE PIERS Confinement Buckling of longitudinal compression reinforcement	62626465666674757575767777
6	5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 CA 5.4 SEC 5.5 CO 5.6 RE 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.7 RE 5.7.1 5.7.2 5.8 FO 5.8.1 5.8.2 5.8.3 DETAI 6.1 GE 6.2 CO 6.2.1	Materials Design strength PACITY DESIGN COND ORDER EFFECTS MBINATION OF THE SEISMIC ACTION WITH OTHER ACTIONS SISTANCE VERIFICATION OF CONCRETE SECTIONS Design resistance Structures of limited ductile behaviour SISTANCE VERIFICATION FOR STEEL AND COMPOSITE MEMBERS Steel piers Steel or composite deck JNDATIONS Design action effects Resistance verification LING NERAL NCRETE PIERS Confinement	626262646566667475757677777777

6.3	STEEL PIERS	83	
6.4	OUNDATIONS		
6.4	4.1 Spread foundation	83	
6.4			
6.5	STRUCTURES OF LIMITED DUCTILE BEHAVIOUR	84	
6.5	5.1 Verification of ductility of critical sections	84	
6.5	5.2 Avoidance of brittle failure of specific non-ductile components	84	
6.6	BEARINGS AND SEISMIC LINKS	85	
6.6	5.1 General requirements	85	
6.6	5.2 Bearings	86	
6.6	, 6		
6.6	1 0		
6.7	CONCRETE ABUTMENTS AND RETAINING WALLS	91	
6.7	1		
6.7	7.2 Abutments flexibly connected to the deck	91	
6.7	7.3 Abutments rigidly connected to the deck	91	
6.7	7.4 Culverts with large overburden	93	
6.7	7.5 Retaining walls	94	
7 BR	RIDGES WITH SEISMIC ISOLATION	95	
7.1	GENERAL		
7.2	DEFINITIONS		
7.3	BASIC REQUIREMENTS AND COMPLIANCE CRITERIA		
7.4	SEISMIC ACTION		
	4.1 Design spectra		
7.4	\sim 1		
7.5	ANALYSIS PROCEDURES AND MODELLING		
7.5			
7.5			
7.5	J = II		
7.5	<i>1</i>		
7.5	<i>1</i>		
7.5			
7.5	T		
7.6	VERIFICATIONS		
7.6	0		
7.6	0 ,		
7.6			
7.7	SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ISOLATING SYSTEM		
	7.1 Lateral restoring capability		
	7.2 Lateral restraint at the isolation interface		
7.7	7.3 Inspection and Maintenance	11/	
ANNEX	X A (informative) PROBABILITIES RELATED TO THE		
	RENCE SEISMIC ACTION. GUIDANCE FOR THE SELECTION	OF	
DESIG	N SEISMIC ACTION DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE.	118	
ANNEX	X B (informative) RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DISPLACEMENT	Ī	
	ILITY AND CURVATURE DUCTILITY FACTORS OF PLASTIC	i ·	
HINGE	ES IN CONCRETE PIERS	119	

ANNEX C (informative) ESTIMATION OF THE EFFECTIVE STIFFNESS OF REINFORCED CONCRETE DUCTILE MEMBERS	120
ANNEX D (informative) SPATIAL VARIABILITY OF EARTHQUAKE GROUND MOTION: MODEL AND METHODS OF ANALYSIS	122
ANNEX E (informative) PROBABLE MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND PLASTIC HINGE DEFORMATION CAPACITIES FOR NON-LINEAR	
ANNEY E (informative) ADDED MASS OF ENTRAINED WATER FOR	129
ANNEX F (informative) ADDED MASS OF ENTRAINED WATER FOR IMMERSED PIERS	135
ANNEX G (normative) CALCULATION OF CAPACITY DESIGN EFFECTS	137
ANNEX H (informative) STATIC NON-LINEAR ANALYSIS (PUSHOVER)	139
ANNEX J (normative) VARIATION OF DESIGN PROPERTIES OF SEISMIC ISOLATOR UNITS	142
ANNEX JJ (informative) λ-FACTORS FOR COMMON ISOLATOR TYPES	144
ANNEX K (informative) TESTS FOR VALIDATION OF DESIGN PROPERTIES OF SEISMIC ISOLATOR UNITS	147

Foreword to EN 1998-2:2005

This document (EN 1998-2:2005) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 250 "Structural Eurocodes", the secretariat of which is held by BSI.

CEN/TC 250 is responsible for all Structural Eurocodes.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by May 2006, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by March 2010.

This document supersedes ENV 1998-2:1994.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the National Standard Organisations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

[A] Foreword to EN 1998-2:2005/A1:2009

This document (EN 1998-2:2005/A1:2009) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 250 "Structural Eurocodes", the secretariat of which is held by BSI.

This Amendment to the European Standard EN 1998-2:2005 shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by September 2009, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by March 2010.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

A2) Foreword to EN 1998-2:2005/A2:2011

This document (EN 1998-2:2005/A2:2011) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC "Structural Eurocodes", the secretariat of which is held by BSI.

This Amendment to the European Standard EN 1998-2:2005 shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by September 2012, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by September 2012.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

Background of the Eurocode programme

In 1975, the Commission of the European Community decided on an action programme in the field of construction, based on article 95 of the Treaty. The objective of the programme was the elimination of technical obstacles to trade and the harmonisation of technical specifications.

Within this action programme, the Commission took the initiative to establish a set of harmonised technical rules for the design of construction works which, in a first stage, would serve as an alternative to the national rules in force in the Member States and, ultimately, would replace them.

For fifteen years, the Commission, with the help of a Steering Committee with Representatives of Member States, conducted the development of the Eurocodes programme, which led to the first generation of European codes in the 1980s.

In 1989, the Commission and the Member States of the EU and EFTA decided, on the basis of an agreement¹ between the Commission and CEN, to transfer the preparation and the publication of the Eurocodes to CEN through a series of Mandates, in order to provide them with a future status of European Standard (EN). This links *de facto* the Eurocodes with the provisions of all the Council's Directives and/or Commission's Decisions dealing with European standards (*e.g.* the Council Directive 89/106/EEC on construction products - CPD - and Council Directives 93/37/EEC, 92/50/EEC and 89/440/EEC on public works and services and equivalent EFTA Directives initiated in pursuit of setting up the internal market).

_

¹ Agreement between the Commission of the European Communities and the European Committee for Standardisation (CEN) concerning the work on EUROCODES for the design of building and civil engineering works (BC/CEN/03/89).

The Structural Eurocode programme comprises the following standards generally consisting of a number of Parts:

EN 1990	Eurocode:	Basis of structural design
EN 1991	Eurocode 1:	Actions on structures
EN 1992	Eurocode 2:	Design of concrete structures
EN 1993	Eurocode 3:	Design of steel structures
EN 1994	Eurocode 4:	Design of composite steel and concrete structures
EN 1995	Eurocode 5:	Design of timber structures
EN 1996	Eurocode 6:	Design of masonry structures
EN 1997	Eurocode 7:	Geotechnical design
EN 1998	Eurocode 8:	Design of structures for earthquake resistance
EN 1999	Eurocode 9:	Design of aluminium structures

Eurocode standards recognise the responsibility of regulatory authorities in each Member State and have safeguarded their right to determine values related to regulatory safety matters at national level where these continue to vary from State to State.

Status and field of application of Eurocodes

The Member States of the EU and EFTA recognise that Eurocodes serve as reference documents for the following purposes:

- as a means to prove compliance of building and civil engineering works with the essential requirements of Council Directive 89/106/EEC, particularly Essential Requirement N°1 Mechanical resistance and stability and Essential Requirement N°2 Safety in case of fire;
- as a basis for specifying contracts for construction works and related engineering services;
- as a framework for drawing up harmonised technical specifications for construction products (ENs and ETAs).

The Eurocodes, as far as they concern the construction works themselves, have a direct relationship with the Interpretative Documents² referred to in Article 12 of the CPD, although they are of a different nature from harmonised product standards³. Therefore, technical aspects arising from the Eurocodes work need to be adequately considered by

² In accordance with Art. 3.3 of the CPD, the essential requirements (ERs) shall be given concrete form in interpretative documents for the creation of the necessary links between the essential requirements and the mandates for harmonised ENs and ETAGs/ETAs.

³ In accordance with Art. 12 of the CPD the interpretative documents shall:

a) give concrete form to the essential requirements by harmonising the terminology and the technical bases and indicating classes or levels for each requirement where necessary;

b) indicate methods of correlating these classes or levels of requirement with the technical specifications, e.g. methods of calculation and of proof, technical rules for project design, etc.;

c) serve as a reference for the establishment of harmonised standards and guidelines for European technical approvals.

The Eurocodes, de facto, play a similar role in the field of the ER 1 and a part of ER 2.